Public Record

23rd Session | StuCo | Term 2025/26

11th August 2026 | 18:18 - 20:23 | SR 114 | Carl-Zeiss-Straße 3

DISCLAIMER: This translated record is not legally binding and just for informational purposes. For the legally binding document see the german version.

MdStuRa present: Andreas Bagehorn, Chiara Daskiewitsch, Jan Haedicke, Marcus Hansen (18:25 to 19:25), Vincent Kempfer, Sander König (18:47 to 18:50), Willi Kröning, Niklas Menge, Akin Rosenkranz (18:50 to 19:30), Paul Weiß (until 19:49), Klara Wilde, Christoph zur Mühlen (until 19:30)

MdStuRa excused: Katharina Gabriel, John Kaube, Robert Pohle, Max Weber,

MdStuRa unexcused: Clara Höpfner, Stefan Huber, Maurice Reitzig, Peter Wiemuth, Antonia Zahn

MdStuRa with suspended mandates: Nikolai Demisch, Aenna Fink, Carla Franke, Bennet Hartung, Jonah Hohlfeld, Smilla Kreuzberg, Luisa Queck, Linda Raschke, Reiner Sandmann, Leonard Schönfeld, Henning Sylla, Lenard Thost, Götz Wagner

bMdStuRa present: Tom Daubmann (deputy treasurer)

Guests: Lilou Gläß (from 18:23), Hauke Rehr, Christoph Rippl, Klap Shah (18:45 to)

Executive Board: Chiara Daskiewitsch, Jan Haedicke, Klara Wilde

IOTA 01 → 01 | 18:22 | Reports

Klara reports from the Executive Board

- We had an extraordinary Executive Board meeting earlier, at which we suspended Bennet Hartung's mandate.
- Unfortunately, we had to report a data protection incident on 03 August 2026. Among other things, it concerns the unauthorized distribution of data from an FSR. As a consequence, we provided our FSRe with another training session on email forwarding and data protection and are currently developing new data protection guidelines. We are very happy to receive input on this.
  - **Christoph** points out that we should bear in mind that the dental and medical students do not have StuRa email addresses, but rather addresses provided by the university hospital.
- A new financial plan for Haus auf der Mauer was also recently adopted. A solution has been found with the city in this regard, and the 2025 accounting will be submitted afterwards.
  - **Paul** asks what would happen if the city applied for the tender and other student initiatives subsequently complained.
  - **Klara** will ask the Studierendenwerk about this again.

Willi reports from Finance

- The inventory is finally complete!

Tom also reports from Finance

- Willi stole his report.

Willi reports once again from Finance and on a meeting of the Studierendenwerk

- The Finance Office now has more string lights, more plants and less dust. Jan asks whether the office now has a clean-girl aesthetic. Willi confirms this. Tom intervenes as a clean-girl expert: This is factually incorrect. It has merely been cleaned.
- Willi also attended the presentation of the architectural firms for the new dormitory on Mauerstraße: People from the city were also present. Sustainability and individual apartments will be prioritized in the future. The new building is supposed to be completed in two years.

IOTA 02 → 02 | 18:36 | Determination of the Quorum and Discussion & Decision of the Agenda

Determination of quorum

- Of the **34** elected MdStuRa, **13** MdStuRa have a suspended mandate.
  This means there are **21** voting MdStuRa.
- A quorum is reached with **11** voting MdStuRa present.
  A two-thirds majority requires **14** Yes votes from the voting MdStuRa present.
- **10** voting MdStuRa are present, so the body does **not have a quorum**. A two-thirds majority is therefore **not possible.**

The session is interrupted at 18:39 for 5 minutes.
The Session proceeds at 18:47.

Sander König is entering the session at 18:47.

Determination of quorum

- Of the **34** elected MdStuRa, **13** MdStuRa have a suspended mandate.
  This means there are **21** voting MdStuRa.
- A quorum is reached with **11** voting MdStuRa present.
  A two-thirds majority requires **14** Yes votes from the voting MdStuRa present.
- **11** voting MdStuRa are present, so the body **has a quorum**. A two-thirds majority is **not possible.**

Sander is leaving the session at 18:49.

provisional agenda

IOTA 1 Reports

IOTA 2 Determination of the Quorum and Discussion & Decision of the Agenda

IOTA 3 6. Reading & Decision: Supplementary budget 2026_27 (HHV)

IOTA 4 Discussion & Decision: Annual financial statement 2025_26 (HHV)

IOTA 5 Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-KOM-024-2026_27 – BuFaTa food (FSR SpoWi)

IOTA 6 Discussion & Decision: Funding Application FA-STURA-003-2026_27 – Nawli Nawrati (Kalp Jigar Shah)

IOTA 7 Discussion & Decision: Funding Application FA-STURA-004-2026_27 – Diwali Celebration 2026 (Tarun Bhanwarlal Choudhary)

IOTA 8 Discussion: Next Session

IOTA 9 Miscellaneous

IOTA 10 Discussion: Session Colour

Discussion

- **Niklas** would like to move the annual financial statement ahead of the supplementary budget.
- There are no objections.

Draft Resolution: The Student Council of the FSU Jena adopts the agenda below.

Voting: 10 Yes / 0 No / 0 Abstention **adopted**

adopted agenda

IOTA 1 Reports

IOTA 2 Determination of the Quorum and Discussion & Decision of the Agenda

IOTA 3 Discussion & Decision: Annual financial statement 2025_26 (HHV)

IOTA 4 6. Reading & Decision: Supplementary budget 2026_27 (HHV)

IOTA 5 Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-KOM-024-2026_27 – BuFaTa food (FSR SpoWi)

IOTA 6 Discussion & Decision: Funding Application FA-STURA-003-2026_27 – Nawli Nawrati (Kalp Jigar Shah)

IOTA 7 Discussion & Decision: Funding Application FA-STURA-004-2026_27 – Diwali Celebration 2026 (Tarun Bhanwarlal Choudhary)

IOTA 8 Discussion: Next Session

IOTA 9 Miscellaneous

IOTA 10 Discussion: Session Colour

IOTA 04 → 03 | 18:49 | Discussion & Decision: Annual financial statement 2025_26 (HHV)

Motion Text

Dear MdStuRa,

yes, there was still that thing - after we were granted a three-month extension due to the inventory process, the annual financial statement for 2025_26 now has to be adopted and submitted. Unfortunately, as I cannot attend the meeting myself, I am instead providing you with my explanations, which will be submitted to Prof. Marx together with the annual financial statement. Questions can of course also be sent by email before the meeting.

Best regards and see you around,
Kat

Discussion

Akin is entering the session at 18:50.

- There is already an amendment from **Willi**. It is presented. Several asset values changed as part of the inventory process.
- **Willi** explains the overhead projector to Jan. **Jan** insists on calling the projector a Polylux.

Amendment from **Willi**: Change the amounts in "3. Asset register – C: Tangible assets" for the fiscal years as follows ("–" implies no change), and all resulting totals accordingly:
2016 | 666,94 € | –
2017 | 7.530,73 € | 7.560,41 €
2018 | 557,60 € | –
2019 | 2.476,36 € | 2.475,28 €
2020 | 14.206,06 € | –
2021Q1 | 1.466,68 € | –
2021_22 | 13.030,42 € | 13.034,85 €
2022_23 | 14.353,59 € | –
2023_24 | 13.542,09 € | –
2024_25 | 19.015,87 € | 17.945,35 €
2025_26 | 12.048,73 € | 12.570,06 €

no objection, accepted

- **Niklas** on the FSR collective account: He does not understand why nothing is booked to this collective account. There are also gaps in the explanatory statement.
- **Willi** originally had the idea of introducing this account. In the past, he was unable to represent caps in a meaningful way and therefore introduced this item. Furthermore, it provides us with an item that can be used to cover other items in the event of overspending.

- **Niklas** points out that this coverage is then missing from the explanatory statement. In addition, would one not normally book surplus funds to the reserves?
- **Willi** explains that capped reserves are virtual reserves and not actual reserves, so they cannot be included in the budget plan.
- **Niklas** asks again whether additions to the explanatory statement would therefore be necessary.
- **Klara** asks whether such adjustments could also be made editorially after adoption.
- **Niklas** considers this more of a substantive change.
- **Willi** emphasizes that we are not adopting the explanatory statement, but only the annual financial statement (i.e. the tabular form).
- **Niklas** agrees in principle. However, since we cannot really verify the table, this is difficult. The explanatory statement is intended to make it transparent how overspending is covered.
- **Willi** points out that we can also submit an amendment to the text. In addition, all MdStuRa have full access rights and could check everything.
- **Andreas** qualifies this by saying that checking everything in detail would mean preparing one's own annual financial statement. That is not realistically possible. With an explanatory statement, the key message is understandable and the table becomes comprehensible.
- **Niklas** continues: What we discuss here does not necessarily have to be what ends up in the explanatory statement if we only adopt a table. The table alone is not particularly useful. It also gives you a better understanding when you know the explanations. In addition, outsiders can understand the annual financial statement through the explanatory statement.
- **Jan** asks whether Niklas therefore does not want to adopt the annual financial statement.
- **Niklas** would in fact not adopt the annual financial statement, including the explanatory statement, in its current form.
- **Willi** points out that we would have to amend the decision text anyway if we wanted to adopt them as a single unit. We are already very transparent compared to other structures. He believes that adopting an explanatory statement would impose requirements on volunteers that are too high.
- **Niklas** asks whether the internal audit does not require an explanatory statement anyway. He also explains that it is of course sufficient to list deviations from the budget plan, because that is precisely what is interesting. It simply needs to be clear where the money comes from in the event of overspending.
- **Tom** asks whether it is not the HHV's explanatory statement rather than the StuRa's. He thinks we should not put words into Kat's mouth.
- **Willi** agrees with Tom. The StuRa is not accountable; the HHV is.
- **Niklas** understands this. However, since we elect, potentially remove, and discharge the HHV, he would like to know what accountability this person provides.
- **Paul** asks whether the question is now whether the explanatory statement should merely be presented to the StuRa or adopted as well.
- **Willi** explains that the explanatory statement has been provided, but Niklas would like to adopt it as a unit together with the annual financial statement. The person responsible for the budget would also be particularly pleased if the annual financial statement were adopted

today, as otherwise it could no longer be submitted on time.

- **Andreas** returns to Tom's point: We do not have the right to put words into Kat's mouth, but we do have the right to ask questions and critically scrutinize matters. After all, Kat is not a dictator.
- **Paul** is in favor of presenting the explanatory statement, but against adopting the statement itself.
- **Willi** disagrees with the statement "dictator". The HHV cannot decide about funds alone. Finance only administers the funds and always needs decisions from the respective bodies.
- **Jan** thinks the discussion is going in circles, or rather that it is no longer about the annual financial statement.
- **Niklas** clarifies that Andreas referred to Kat as "*not* a dictator".
- **Tom** suggests moving parts of this discussion to the meeting at which Kat's discharge is decided. Today, only the tabular annual financial statement should be adopted. Only after it has been audited can it be decided whether the HHV should be discharged.

Draft Resolution: The Student Council of the FSU Jena adopts the presented annual financial statement for the fiscal year 2025_26.

Voting: 9 Yes / 1 No / 1 Abstention **adopted**

IOTA 03 → 04 | 19:18 | 6. Reading & Decision: Supplementary budget (HHV)

Motion Text

Dear MdStuRa,
we are now entering the 6th reading, so our supplementary budget is already old enough to start school.
The following changes have been made compared to the previous version:

- A.09.01.3.2 Maintenance of accounting software: Unfortunately, we received notice that the subscription will become more expensive starting in September, so this item had to be increased slightly.
- A.10.02.3 Further AEs: Since one person from the referendum commission has waived their AE, this item could be reduced by 50 €.
- A.02.11 Social Affairs: This item was reduced by 20 € in order to balance the budget. Since the department is currently blocked due to a lack of financial training, no funds have been used or can currently be used during this semester anyway.

In addition, the designation of item A.03.01.5 was changed to A.03.01.2 to reflect the deletion of the items in between.

As always, I look forward to your comments.

Best regards from the person responsible for the budget,
Kat

Discussion

- **Niklas** thinks large collective items are silly if nothing is booked to them. He therefore thinks it would be better to book the money to reserves.
- **Jan** asks why he is only bringing this up in the 6th reading.
- **Niklas** only noticed the problem while going through the annual financial statement, because he had previously assumed that things were actually booked to this item. He will also discuss this directly with Kat, but wanted to discuss it in the body today already.
- **Willi** has already transparently explained the current use and booking of the items when they were introduced. There has been no negative feedback so far. In his opinion, they provide a more convenient solution.
- **Niklas** can only remember the justification that the expenditures are foreseeable.
- **Christoph** does not really care how it is handled in the end, as long as Finance does it properly.

Point of Order from **Christoph**: Postponement

no objection, Point of Order accepted

Christoph is leaving the session at 19:27.

IOTA 5 → 5 | 19:27 | Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-KOM-024-2026_27 – BuFaTa food (FSR SpoWi)

Motion Text

See project description

A positive statement from the FSR-Kom is available. (7/0/2)

Discussion

- **Willi** explains the issue with the missing backup allocation of funds. In addition to the Kom allocation of funds, there should actually have been a backup allocation of funds from the FSR, which should have been submitted before the BuFaTa. This was also adopted, but not submitted. The Kom allocation of funds was only submitted after the BuFaTa.
- **Tom** asks whether the subsequent approval is compatible with the ThürRKG.
- **Willi** explains that a subsequent decision is in itself acceptable if it was applied for in time. Unfortunately, this was not the case here.
- **Klara** adds that, if we wanted to be very generous with the SpoWis, since they had already made inquiries beforehand, we could discuss whether this already counts as an application. However, it is actually clear that submitting the allocation of funds constitutes the application.
- **Paul** asks whether the financial officers of the FSR have received financial training. If so, they should know better.
- **Willi** replies that all of the SpoWis' finance officers passed the exam.
- **Vincent** explains that although the FSR-Kom had expressed its support, it probably did not fully realize that the necessary deadline had been exceeded by submitting the application afterwards.

Draft Resolution: The Student Council of the FSU Jena adopts the allocation of funds M-KOM-024-2026_27 in the amount of 100 € for the participation of the FSR Sports Science in the BuFaTa Essen 2026 from budget item A.01.35 FSR-Kom.

Voting: 0 Yes / 8 No / 1 Abstention **rejected**

IOTA 6 → 6 | 19:32 | Discussion & Decision: Funding Application FA-STURA-003-2026_27 – Nawli Nawrati (Kalp Jigar Shah)

Motion Text

Hello StuRa FSU,

as every year, we are happy to organize our Navli Navratri Jena dance festival again this October.

This year is particularly special for us. After one year in Weimar, we are bringing our festival back to Jena – the place where it all began. We are very happy to continue this tradition in Jena and celebrate together with the students.

First of all, we would like to sincerely thank the StuRa of FSU for its long-standing support. Since 2019, we have been able to successfully organize our festival with your help. Until 2023, the StuRa of FSU was an important partner for us and its support made a significant contribution to the development of Navli Navratri Jena.

Over the past two years, our organizing team has changed considerably. Many of the former organizers have left Jena and new students have joined the team. This change was a major challenge for us, and it took some time until we were able to work together again as a stable team. By now, we have rebuilt a good group of organizers and would like to continue and improve our festival in the coming years.

Unfortunately, we did not submit a funding application to the StuRa of FSU in the past two years. As a result, we did not receive enough financial support for the event and had to make up for a loss in 2024. Thanks to the great support of our community, we were able to overcome this difficult situation. This showed us how important our festival is to our community and how strong our sense of solidarity has remained.

For this reason, we would now like to resume the successful cooperation of previous years and submit our funding application for Navli Navratri Jena 2026. We would be very happy if the StuRa of FSU could support us again and if we could continue our cooperation in the coming years.

I have sent you a summarized funding application containing the financial plan and project description. You will find all important information about our event there.

We would be very happy if our funding application could be discussed at the next StuRa meeting. If necessary, I would also be happy to attend in person to present the project and answer questions. Thank you very much for your time and support. We are very much looking forward to resuming our cooperation with the StuRa of FSU and continuing to enable cultural events for students in Jena together.

Best regards,
Kalp Shah

Discussion

- **Kalp** is present and introduces the project.
- **Willi** asks whether Kat has already informed him about our financial situation. The budget item for external projects is almost exhausted and could not be overspent enough to finance the project.
- **Kalp** is aware of this. However, he has not found any other funding yet.
- **Jan** explains that Kalp can also contact individual FSRs himself to secure funding.

Point of Order from **Paul**: Postponement

no objection, Point of Order accepted

Jan Haedicke and Kalp Shah leave the meeting at 19:43.
Klara takes over as chair of the meeting.

IOTA 7 → 7 | 19:43 | Discussion & Decision: Funding Application FA-STURA-004-2026_27 – Diwali Celebration 2026 (Tarun Bhanwarlal Choudhary)

Motion Text

see project description
Note from the Executive Board: The project description states 2027 as the year. However, this is of course about the event taking place this year.

Discussion

- This project has the same problem as the previous one.
- **Klara** asks whether anyone from the project's organizing team is present. They are not. She asks whether there is nevertheless a need for discussion. There is not.

Point of Order from **Willi**: Postponement

no objection, Point of Order accepted

IOTA 08 → 08 | 19:45 | Next Session

Discussion

- **Klara** explains that EC2U would like to introduce itself at the next meeting. They would prefer 15 September for this.
- There are no counterproposals. The next meeting is therefore scheduled for 15 September.

IOTA 09 → 09 | 19:47 | Miscellaneous

Willi on his new spreadsheet project

- The Finance spreadsheets now communicate with each other. Automation is great and makes Finance's work easier.

Paul is leaving the session at 19:49.

Jan is entering the session at 19:49.

Jan takes over as chair of the meeting again.

Insurance

- **Jan** presents three offers for legal expenses insurance for the entire student body that the Executive Board has received:
  1. Rental legal protection, administrative legal protection (higher education law and BAföG matters), legal protection in contract and property law, telephone consultation, cost: 4,50 € per person for one year
  2. + Employment legal protection, cost: 5,85 € per person for one year
  3. + Legal protection for road users (passengers, bicycle riders), cost: 8,35 € per person for one year
- This would only be possible through an increase in the semester fee. Therefore, the proposal would be to decide on this by referendum or similar if the StuRa is in favor.
- **Lilou** thinks that if it is going to be put to a vote anyway, she does not particularly mind. However, more legal disputes would not necessarily relieve the BAföG office either and could therefore further delay BAföG payments.
- **Tom** feels that this would once again be an offer that students do not know about and would not use. Insurance also always comes with problems. There are also inexpensive options available directly to students.
- **Niklas** adds that other insurers would also have to be contacted for comparison offers. Ultimately, we would probably pay more money than the student body would receive in benefits. Overall, he therefore does not see the need and would advise against the effort.
- **Vincent** also opposes the offer. Our energy would be better spent on information materials than on a referendum about this.

Question regarding Akrützel and Widersetzen

- **Lilou** asks what happened with the matter concerning Akrützel and Widersetzen.
- **Jan** summarizes the latest status again. Nothing new has happened.

Demonstration in Magdeburg

- **Klara** reports that Uni gegen Rechts informed the Executive Board about a demonstration in Magdeburg on 05 September on the occasion of the state elections there. There will also be a university block at the demonstration, which, among other things, advocates for the continued existence of constituted student bodies.
- **Andreas** thinks it is nice when student bodies support each other and suggests asking the student body in Mainz whether we can use their buses for this.

Jena Crowd

- The Executive Board was advised to use Jena Crowd to collect donations for Frei(t)raum. **Klara** asks the body for opinions on this.
- **Andreas** and **Niklas** support the idea.
- **Lilou** thinks that the appeal could also generally draw more attention to Frei(t)raum.

IOTA 10 → 10 | 20:16 | Session Colour

Discussion

- **Tom**: Inventory sticker blue
- **Andreas**: Folder turquoise
- **Niklas**: Random color 127/170/249
- **Vincent**: Cherry red
- **Lilou**: random random color
- **Chiara**: Sun yellow
- **Jan**: S.T. gray
- **Hauke**: Neon black
- **Willi**: Klara's top orange
- **Willi**: Willi's shirt lilac

Point of Order from **Klara Wilde**: Opinion poll on what the meeting color should be.
objection from **Andreas**:
Dear StuRa, dear Executive Board, dear guests, dearest Fri(e)da,
we remember the last meeting: the meeting color was the "random color". And this has already happened repeatedly. The message is clear: the body and its guests refuse to decide on this momentous question.
And this has clear advantages: We will neither be plagued by PAF orange, nor will violent battles break out between different color factions.
We should therefore respect this will of the body as a signal of peace and carry it forward.
This would surely also give our frightened French neighbors a little more peace and security in their everyday lives.
Voting: 4 Yes / 2 No / 1 Abstention **adopted**

Opinions are gathered about what the meeting color of the current meeting should be.
Result: 1 Inventory sticker blue / 3 Folder turquoise / 4 Random color / 4 Cherry red / 4 random random color / 4 Sun yellow / 1 S.T. gray / 2 Neon black / 4 Klara's top orange / 5 Willi's shirt lilac
→ **The meeting color will be Willi's shirt lilac.**

☀ 🌊 *We wish everyone who has read this far a successful exam period and a wonderful lecture-free period.* 🌊
☀

Jena, October 5, 2026

Jan Haedicke
Session Leadership

Kat Schmidt, Klara Wilde
Protocol

Glossary

- StuCo: Student Council
- FSU: Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena
- EAH: Ernst-Abbe-Hochschule Jena
- MoStuCo: Member(s) of the Student Council
- cMoStuCo: consultant Member(s) of the Student Council
- IOTA: Item on the Agenda
- StuBei: Student Advisory Board (of the City of Jena)
- StuWe: Studierendenwerk
- FSR: Student Department Council
- GO: Order of the Student Council
- FinO: Financial Order of the Constituted Student Body
- CZS: Carl-Zeiss-Straße
- UHG: University main building (Fürtstengraben 1)
- EAP: Ernst-Abbe-Platz
- CRJ: Campusradio Jena