Public Record

21st Session | StuCo | Term 2025/26

30th June 2026 | 18:23 - 21:12 | SR 114 | Carl-Zeiss-Straße 3

DISCLAIMER: This translated record is not legally binding and just for informational purposes. For the legally binding document see the german version.

present MoStuCo: Andreas Bagehorn, Chiara Daskiewitsch, Jan Haedicke, Marcus Hansen (until 20:27), Stefan Huber (until 20:55), Willi Kröning (until 19:56), Niklas Menge, Robert Pohle (until 20:15), Akin Rosenkranz (until 20:11), Nele Wagner (until 20:10), Paul Weiß (until 20:10), Peter Wiemuth (until 19:25), Klara Wilde, Antonia Zahn (until 20:10), Christoph zur Mühlen (until 19:32)

excused MoStuCo: Katharina Gabriel, Bennet Hartung

unexcused MoStuCo: Clara Höpfner, John Kaube, Sander König, Maurice Reitzig, Max Weber

dormant MoStuCo: Nikolai Demisch, Aenna Fink, Carla Franke, Jonah Hohlfeld, Smilla Kreuzberg, Luisa Queck, Linda Raschke, Reiner Sandmann, Leonard Schönfeld, Henning Sylla, Lenard Thost, Götz Wagner

present cMoStuCo: Tom Daubmann (Deputy Treasurer), Max Dietrich (Election Board), Salty Eisenhauer (Department of Cultural Affairs), Kat Schmidt (Budget Manager), Karl Weber (FSR-Kom)

Guests: Lilou Gläß, Hauke Rehr, Ruben Urmoneit

Executive Board: Chiara Daskiewitsch, Jan Haedicke, Klara Wilde

IOTA 01 → 01 | 18:23 | Reports

Kat reports on Antragsgrün

- Today we are conducting a trial run with Antragsgrün. Everyone present can go to the corresponding website and use it to submit contributions to the speakers' list etc.

Max reports from the Election Board

- He has prepared statistics on the past elections, which he would like to present.
- Voter turnout has fluctuated significantly over the past 16 years. It reached its maximum in 2019 at 24.0 %, one year after the introduction of online voting and the joint holding of the election with the university's committee elections. A downward trend can be observed since then. Only 2023 was another strong year. This year, turnout in the StuCo election was 13.3 %, compared to 16.9 % in the previous year.
- Looking at voter turnout by faculty, turnout among medical students and students of economics is particularly low. Max therefore suggests that the Election Board should place a special focus next year on improving voter turnout in these faculties.
- Particularly striking is that the number of invalid votes has fallen significantly compared to previous years. Max suspects that this is related to the change of election software this year, which means that ballots are displayed differently. If a voter does not click on a ballot and selects the option "Invalid", the voter is not counted in the statistics. With the previous software, one "had to" select an option because all ballots were displayed in one large list. If one extrapolates from the invalid votes of previous years, turnout would probably have been around 15.7 %.
- Of 32 FSR elections, 20 were not fully staffed and only 3 were genuinely competitive (more candidates than available positions). In the StuCo, 3 seats remained vacant, and in 3 faculties only one list stood for election. The number of candidates for the StuCo was the second-lowest figure since 2010.
- One difficulty may have been the route to the election portal: the link was hidden in a side menu on the university's Services page, which was particularly difficult to find on mobile devices.
- **Kat** asks whether active promotion on the last day of the election increased voter turnout. She would like to know whether Max also has the turnout broken down by day, in order to compare whether more people voted on the last day this year than usual.
- **Max** explains that we currently do not have the data for the last days of previous elections, so we cannot compare the last days of the previous election cycles. He will ask Mr. Rüttger whether he can obtain this data and will provide it later.

Max reports from the Arbitration Committee

- 2 StuCo mandates have been placed into dormant status.
- An old proceeding has been concluded.
- One FSR mandate has been placed into dormant status.

Marcus reports from the StuWe Administrative Board

- The new rent calculation for student residences in particular has a direct impact on students. In future, we will increasingly rely on a cost-based rent, which is leading to jumps in rent prices at some residences due to the transition. These have, however, been manually capped again. The previous practice of surcharges and discounts for individual residences will thus be discontinued and abandoned.
- There is good news regarding BAföG: processing times are still long, but there have been significant improvements in the situation concerning advance payments, with simplifications being introduced. The important thing is still to submit essentially complete applications on time. The StuWe will also distribute information on this; this has already happened, and there is an urgent request to the StuCos to distribute the information as widely as possible.
- The annual financial statements have been approved, yay!
- As part of the new ERDF funding period, work is currently underway on realizing a new student residence on the Bachstraße site. However, this is still in its early stages; as soon as there is more information, you will get the hot news!
- There will be no termination of the city's use agreement for any floor of Haus auf der Mauer. Mr. Schubert and Ms. Lützkendorf spoke by phone and apparently clarified the matter.
- As always, please feel free to contact Helen, Marcus or Paul with any questions or feedback.
- **Stefan** asks whether there has been any feedback regarding the closure of the student residence on Stifterstraße.
- **Marcus** explains that the StuWe simply communicated the matter poorly, but all students can be offered another room.

Kat reports from Finances

- The FSR Dentistry has received a strike because a contract was concluded without the approval or knowledge of the Executive Board. A clarifying meeting is being sought but has not yet been arranged.
- The Funding Application rejected as not urgent at the previous session was not put on the Agenda today either, because it has still not been submitted in full (the form, financial plan and project description are still missing).
- The audit report of the internal audit department on the annual financial statements for 2022_23 has been received. It can be found on the website. Kat notes that the finance department is currently still dealing with it and also wants to contact the Budget Manager at the time.

Willi reports as a freelance webmaster

- **Willi** has been working on the website. It is now possible to view FSR members on the StuCo website, and their current roles are also displayed. It is even possible to view successors who have moved up. He has also updated and expanded the overview for the StuCo.
- **Andreas** wonders who is supposed to maintain this. **Willi** does not know.
- **Niklas** asks whether it would be possible to add the contact details of the FSRs. **Willi** shows how to find the contact details on the website.

- **Max** generally approves of the concept.
- **Willi** had also considered listing the Senate and Student Advisory Board mandates.
- **Marcus** thinks this is a good idea because it would simplify the Executive Board's work.
- **Willi** sees the advantage primarily at a later stage, as it would make it easier to create committee confirmations and establish a history of committee members.
- **Andreas** sees the problem that the information cannot be kept up to date and could therefore cause more harm than good. **Willi** replies that the Budget Manager already does this work anyway and that this would merely record it in writing.
- **Kat** corrects Willi: she only checks resignations irregularly and does not check dormant mandates either. Especially if this data were public and subsequently used for committee confirmations, the records would have to be maintained much more regularly and properly. Furthermore, mandates would have to be checked more thoroughly. She cannot take on this additional workload and strongly argues that it should not fall to the Executive Board either.
- **Paul** thinks that this would not create any additional workload.

Lilou reports from the Arbitration Committee

- Her term of office will end in the near future, meaning that there would be a maximum of 3 members of the Arbitration Committee. People should apply for the Arbitration Committee so that it remains able to act. This is important, for example, for placing mandates into dormant status. However, it is not possible to be a member of the Arbitration Committee and a StuCo/FSR member at the same time.

Klara reports from the Executive Board

- Klara reports various results of the meeting with the University leadership:
  - We are allowed to criticize political parties, but not call for a boycott of a party. In general, equal opportunities for the parties must be ensured. What this means in detail remains unclear not only to us, but also to various university administrations. There are simply no clear guidelines, but the possible consequences are severe.
  - The closure of the student residence was also discussed. The University leadership had not been informed by the StuWe either.
  - Scanning at university printers may become free of charge in the future. Printing could also become cheaper.
- Regarding the planned booking of an alternative room for StuCo sessions: unfortunately, we cannot yet use the wonderful room underneath the Mensa because an event takes place there until 8 p.m. However, the current session room will now be available to us every Tuesday evening.
- Frei(t)raum update: Through donations at the summer festival and a beverage sale at the university, we have raised approximately 800 €. We are planning several more cake and beverage sales.
- Response from Akrützel: Akrützel justified the article by saying that it was an opinion piece that had also been written at very short notice. Therefore, it was considered acceptable that

we had not been given an opportunity to comment beforehand. They are now offering us the opportunity to publish a counterstatement on Akrützel's Instagram.

- **Max** points out that Akrützel is obliged by both the Press Code and press law to correct false statements. These must be corrected in the same place in which the false statement was made, i.e. in the magazine and not on Instagram. In addition, Akrützel had actually promised to print information about the various committees so that the election supplement could complement this information. Unfortunately, apart from the very short article, which primarily criticized the StuCo, there was no information about the committees, meaning that the election supplement seemed somewhat contextless. He would therefore be pleased if communication with Akrützel generally improved.

IOTA 02 → 02 | 19:13 | Determination of the Quorum and Discussion & Decision of the Agenda

- Of the **34** elected MoStuCo members, **11** have suspended their mandates.
  This leaves **23** MoStuCo members eligible to vote.
- A quorum is reached when **12** MoStuCo members eligible to vote are present.
  A two-thirds majority is achieved with **16** yes votes from the voting MoStuCo members present.
- There are **14** voting MoStuCo members present; the body is therefore **quorate**. A two-thirds majority is **not possible.**

provisional Agenda

IOTA 1 Reports

IOTA 2 Determination of the Quorum and Discussion & Decision of the Agenda

IOTA 3 4. Reading & Decision: Supplementary Budget 2026_27 (HHV)

IOTA 4 Discussion: Fun Motions (Executive Board)

IOTA 5 Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds "Stay on the Ball" (Niklas Menge)

IOTA 6 Discussion & Decision: Marx4Marx Bust at ~~Karl-Marx-Allee~~ Carl-Zeiss-Straße 3 and Marx4Marx Merchandise (Marcus Hansen)

IOTA 7 Discussion: Next Session

IOTA 8 Miscellaneous

IOTA 9 Discussion: Session Colour

Discussion

- There are no motions concerning the Agenda.

Draft Resolution: The Student Council of the FSU Jena adopts the proposed Agenda.

Voting: 14 Yes / 0 No / 0 Abstention **accepted**

decided Agenda

Corresponds to the provisional Agenda.

IOTA 03 → 03 | 19:14 | 4. Reading & Decision: Supplementary Budget (HHV)

Motion Text

Dear MoStuCo,

the supplementary budget is entering its fourth round, and unfortunately there have once again been major changes. After we were all very pleased with the report from the tax office, which stated a significantly smaller amount for the additional tax payments than had been feared, the official assessment has now arrived, which unfortunately demands a considerably higher amount. This is unfortunate because it is now too late for an increase in contributions and we had relied on the statement of the respective employee that no further payments would be due. Accordingly, I have once again had to make cuts in various places in order to balance the budget. I am not happy about these changes myself and am also happy to discuss individual decisions with you again and possibly find better solutions. At the end of the day, however, the money has to come from somewhere, and this means that other budget titles have to be cut.

Regarding the presentation:
I have added an extra column showing the figures from the 2026_27 budget. In my opinion, this makes it easier to compare the respective figures and their changes between the budget and the supplementary budget. In addition, since there have been significant changes between the individual readings, I have added one column for each reading (L1, L2, L3) on the right-hand side of the table, providing an overview of the changed amounts. Together with the different colours I chose for the different readings, this will hopefully make everything a little clearer.
If this instead causes more confusion, I would appreciate feedback so that I can perhaps find a better solution for the future budget (or the fifth reading of the supplementary budget ...).
I am also attaching the preliminary explanatory statement, which will be sent to the internal audit department together with the plan after the supplementary budget has been adopted. This may make the changes made somewhat clearer. Of course, I remain available before and during the session for any questions.

Kind regards,

Kat Schmidt
Budget Manager

Discussion

- **Kat** presents the current version of the supplementary budget. There have been further changes to the amount demanded by the tax office in connection with the special VAT audit. The additional increase is due, on the one hand, to late-payment interest and, on the other hand, presumably to an error by our VAT auditor: the report assumes an additional advance

payment already made by us, but this has not been credited in the assessment. Accordingly, the amount demanded from us increases. Even when asked, Kat could not really be told how this discrepancy arose. Kat therefore called the tax office again. They were also unable to provide a concrete explanation for the discrepancy. She will therefore apply for a deferral. At least the budget title for our tax consultancy has become somewhat cheaper. Nevertheless, cuts had to be made in various other areas.

- **Willi** asks how the prize money from Akrützel is to be handled.
- **Kat** is hearing for the first time that the money has arrived. She can adjust the respective revenue and expenditure titles of Akrützel. However, she considers it difficult to deduct prize money received from Akrützel from its regular funds. In her opinion, the money may therefore only be used for Akrützel's expenditure titles. **Willi** agrees.
- **Max** had previously suggested that Akrützel could, for example, use an advertising brokerage service to find advertising partners. He therefore asks whether it might nevertheless be possible to generate revenue through advertising.
- **Kat** replies that she can only rely on what she hears from Akrützel. So far, despite its best efforts, the editorial team has not succeeded in arranging an advertising deal. As long as this is not the case, it is difficult to budget for revenue that will presumably not materialize and would ultimately be missing from the budget.
- **Max** explains that various other student magazines in Germany (e.g. UnAuf from Berlin) print advertisements in their issues. At the rates charged there, the €500 budget title envisaged in the budget could already be covered by 3–4 half-page advertisements per year. Max suspects that Akrützel has not exhausted all possibilities for attracting advertising partners, since other magazines also manage to do so.

Karl Weber is entering the session at 19:25.

Peter Wiemuth is leaving the session at 19:25.

Amendment from **Kat Schmidt**: Set the budget titles A.03.06 and E.03.06 Social Space to 1,200 € each

Amendment from **Kat Schmidt**: Set the budget title E.03.01.3 Other Revenue Akrützel to 1,500€ and the budget title A.03.01.5 Other Material Expenses Akrützel to 1,900 €

- **Kat** presents her amendments. For Frei(t)raum, the donations and revenue already received from the first sale, as well as approximately 400 € in additional revenue expected from further beverage sales and cake sales, have now been budgeted. The money raised for this project can then be transferred directly to the corresponding expenditure title. Of course, only money actually raised will be used for the refurbishment of the room. If there are no further sales, only 800 € can therefore be invested in the room. The amendment concerning the Akrützel titles results from the discussion just held.
- **Tom** asks whether the effort involved in the beverage sale is justified by the result.
- **Kat** asks Tom whether he has any alternative proposals. She would of course also prefer the money to be found elsewhere. But this is volunteer work, and sometimes a lot of work has to be put in to make a room nicer.

- **Kat** asks the Department of Internal Affairs whether amendments can nevertheless be voted on even though we do not have the required quorum for voting on the budget itself. This is confirmed.

Amendment from **Kat Schmidt**: Set the budget titles A.03.06 and E.03.06 Social Space to 1,200 € each

no objection, accepted

Amendment from **Kat Schmidt**: Set the budget title E.03.01.3 Other Revenue Akrützel to 1,500€ and the budget title A.03.01.5 Other Material Expenses Akrützel to 1,900 €

no objection, accepted

Christoph zur Mühlen is leaving the session at 19:32.

Nele Wagner is leaving the session at 19:32.

Point of Order from **Kat Schmidt**: Postponement

no objection, Point of Order accepted

IOTA 04 → 04 | 19:35 | Discussion: Fun Motions (Executive Board)

Motion Text

Hello everyone,

as proposed at the previous session, we would first like to discuss how we generally want to deal with fun motions before continuing to discuss their content or even voting on one.

So please think about your position on this and consider possible procedural proposals.

Best regards,
the Executive Board

Discussion

- **Andreas** would like to discuss how we should deal with fun motions. However, he sees no way of proceeding differently from how we currently do.

Nele Wagner is entering the session at 19:39.

- **Robert** views fun motions critically, as they threaten the seriousness of the body. He also criticizes the amount of time spent on fun motions, as everyone is already very busy.
- **Chiara** agrees with the latter point. She travels two and a half hours there and back for the StuCo session. Fun motions take time and result in fewer members attending. This can mean that we are not quorate and that the journey was consequently in vain.
- **Tom** suggests placing fun motions at the end of the Agenda, so that anyone who does not wish to participate can leave. StuCo work is generally very boring, so fun motions are a nice change of pace. Formalities should be omitted for fun motions in order to avoid unnecessary effort.
- **Paul** considers it important that fun motions remain optional. As long as decisions made through fun motions are binding, people cannot simply leave. He recommends not making binding decisions, but rather requests or recommendations. He would also like the corresponding IOTAs to be listed after "Miscellaneous" on the Agenda.
- **Marcus** thinks it is unfortunate that the importance of motions is being discussed. However, fun motions should indeed take place outside the attendance requirement.
- **Andreas** says that common decency requires fun motions to be placed towards the end of the Agenda. However, in his opinion, this has always been done. He does not feel that fun motions have become excessive. If no binding decisions are to be made, he would recommend Discussion IOTAs. He strongly advises against including fun motions in the GO in order to give them their own formalities. As long as we refrain from submitting fun motions as Releases of Funds, there should be no problems.

- **Max** also has reservations about formalizing fun motions, as this takes away some of the humour. In his experience, the best fun motions are those that arise spontaneously. He also agrees that fun motions should not involve money.
- **Stefan** notes that we are already spending a very long time discussing fun motions again. He believes that we do not need a framework. This would also create a certain potential for abuse. The GO regulates everything we need. We can gladly treat fun motions as Discussion IOTAs followed by an Opinion gathering.
- **Ruben** says that fun motions are also binding. It is therefore generally difficult to make fun motions. For example, adopting a Release of Funds would oblige us to make the corresponding purchase.
- **Paul** finds it strange to actually adopt a fun motion in any form. It must be clear from the outset that it is a fun motion that has no consequences.

Willi Kröning is leaving the session at 19:56.

- **Salty** agrees and adds that the number of fun motions is overwhelming for those who are not allowed to leave. The time of these people is not being valued.
- **Niklas** asks whether decisions on Releases of Funds really oblige us to make the purchase. This would be new to him. He also wants to clarify that nothing can be done against correctly submitted motions, regardless of whether they were submitted as fun motions or not. Motions cannot be restricted at all, or only to a limited extent within a certain framework. He is in favour of simply not overdoing things and keeping the total number of motions low.
- **Hauke** emphasizes that motions remain motions. If a member of the body considers a motion important, they can submit it. How the motion is dealt with depends on the body. There is always the possibility of submitting a Point of Order for Non-referral.
- **Stefan** agrees. All dangers associated with a fun motion arise from the body misunderstanding the motion.

Point of Order from **Stefan Huber**: Termination of the IOTA
objection from **Paul Weiß**: He would like to make his contribution to the discussion.
Voting: 4 Yes / 3 No / 4 Abstention **accepted**

IOTA 05 → 05 | 20:07 | Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds "Stay on the Ball" (Niklas Menge)

Motion Text

Good evening,

as we learned today, the Executive Board likes to stay on the ball, but when things get stressful, this sometimes seems difficult.

In reference to my questioning of the StuCo Executive Board, I would like to apologize for not staying on the ball and would now like to get back on the ball and submit the following motion:

75 € + 5 € buffer for a Women's Football Euro 2025 ball to stay on the ball.

As a budget title, I simply suggest Office Supplies 🙂

For the financial plan and form, it is too hot for me right now, but if I am in the finance department again, I will gladly fill them out on site.

Offer below; please refrain from questions – the whole joke is that these are supposed to be asked during the session ;P

Best regards,
Niklas Menge

Offer: https://www.sport-boeckmann.de/a/adidas-konektis-pro-ball-weiss-blau-rot-lucid-lemon-gr-5/jh1261-5?campaignCode=idealo

[Note from the Executive Board: If you are wondering why this IOTA is named according to a different pattern than the one normally used for Releases of Funds, we would like to briefly explain this here. Niklas submitted the Release of Funds, as can be seen from the form, as M-BALL-042-2026_27. This caused us considerable (head-)aches when naming the IOTA, so we ultimately gave up. However, the Draft Resolution contains the M-number under which it was submitted, so as not to completely spoil the fun.]

Discussion

Paul Weiß is leaving the session at 20:11.

Nele Wagner is leaving the session at 20:11.

Akin Rosenkranz is leaving the session at 20:11.

Salty Eisenhauer is leaving the session at 20:11.

Antonia Zahn is leaving the session at 20:11.

- **Chiara** introduces the topic. There are already several amendments that were submitted at the previous session.

Amendment from **Tom Daubmann**: change 75 € + 5 € buffer to 40 € + 10 € buffer
change every instance of "ball" to "dumpling"
change "Women's Football Euro 2025 ball" to giant cuddly dumpling
The financial plan will be adjusted accordingly

- In addition, Marcus Hansen had actually intended to submit the following amendment at the previous session: "All persons present must attend the public viewing of the FMI FSRe in June." However, the Postponement was adopted before the amendment could be introduced. Marcus is free to submit it again, but is not currently doing so. This may be related to the fact that there will be no further public viewings in the near future.
- **Niklas** wants to object to Tom's efforts to turn his ball into cuddly dumplings. He is also pleased that people are now constantly assuring him that they will stay on the ball. To maintain this, he would like to postpone the IOTA again.

Point of Order from **Niklas Menge**: Postponement
Objection from Andreas Bagehorn: He would prefer to close the speakers' list instead, but still hear all contributions that have already been registered.
Support from Tom Daubmann: It would be funny.
Voting: 4 Yes / 2 No / 2 Abstention **accepted**

General amusement in the room.

Personal Statement

title: -
declaring person: Niklas Menge
declaration: I think the motion has therefore fully fulfilled its purpose.

IOTA 06 → 06 | 20:14 | Discussion & Decision: Marx4Marx Bust at ~~Karl-Marx-Allee~~ Carl-Zeiss-Straße 3 and Marx4Marx Merchandise (Marcus Hansen)

Motion Text

Dear Executive Board, dear MoStuCo, dear everyone else,

Karl and Andreas Marx are important figures in the history of Friedrich Schiller University. To honour these important people, one the most famous doctor of our university and the other our current president, we should erect a bust at Carl-Zeiss-Straße 3 or convince the University leadership to honour these important people by erecting a bust.

The bust should look as follows: On one side, the face of Dr. phil. Karl Marx; on the other side, the face of Prof. Dr. Andreas Marx.

This is how we honour our most important people with the surname Marx.

Karl Marx is primarily known as the founder of Marxism.

Marx analysed capitalism as a system in which those who own property benefit from the work of those who do not. His main work, "Das Kapital", examines the functioning of capitalist economies. His ideas continue to influence many political movements today.

Prof. Dr. Andreas Marx has been President of FSU Jena since August 2024 and is a professor of biological chemistry. He established a new Vice-Presidency concerned with the university community and student engagement. He is also strongly committed to the university's excellence strategy.

It is obvious that merchandise based on this bust could also be sold in the future. I think bobbleheads of the bust would be very appropriate. The bobbleheads would wear a typical suit of Prof. Dr. Andreas Marx and have his face on one side and the face of Dr. phil. Karl Marx on the other.

Discussion

- **Marcus** explains his motion. Various university groups have been trying for some time to erect a Karl Marx bust at Ernst-Abbe-Platz. This has so far been unsuccessful, which is why he has now submitted an alternative proposal. The university could also make a lot of money from the merchandise. He also emphasizes that this is not a fun motion.

Robert Pohle is leaving the session at 20:16.

- **Tom** therefore wants to take the motion seriously and submits a Point of Order for Non-referral. He finds it difficult to make fun of our president or even create the impression that

this is happening. He would also assign the organization of the project to Marcus rather than Niklas.

- **Stefan** also finds it difficult to distinguish the two Marxe in the motion. The motion is therefore ambiguous and could be misunderstood.

Point of Order from **Tom Daubmann**: Non-referral
Objection from Marcus Hansen: What Tom says is nonsense.
Support from Stefan Huber: What Tom says is correct.
Voting: 6 Yes / 1 No / 0 Abstention **accepted**

IOTA 07 → 07 | 20:20 | Next Session

Discussion

- The body discusses whether two sessions in July are necessary, as this month is partly within the lecture period and partly within the lecture-free period, meaning that two different rules can be applied to it. The result is that, due to the lecture period, the next session would currently have to take place in two weeks. In two weeks, however, the lecture-free period will already have begun, and during the lecture-free period only one session per month is required. Accordingly, it is fine to schedule the session at some point in July.
- The next session will take place on 21 July 2026.

IOTA 08 → 08 | 20:27 | Miscellaneous

Evaluation of Antragsgrün

- **Niklas** thinks that the speakers' list is not working particularly well because it is now partly digital and partly analogue. In general, he wonders whether we need a digital speakers' list at all. It is certainly more convenient for the Session Leadership, but it could increase the barrier for Guests. He also asks whether a pad could be integrated for collecting ideas freely. **Karl** says that the easiest way to do this would be to include links to external pads. **Niklas** asks whether motions can be marked as completed to make them easier to track. This is possible.
- **Tom** thinks Antragsgrün is cool. However, he is in favour of keeping the speakers' list manual.
- **Chiara** says that the tool is not helpful for the Protocol or Session Leadership. As Session Leadership, she also cannot easily put different people on the speakers' list. When she is viewing motions, she can no longer see the speakers' list because she constantly has to scroll back and forth between the speakers' list and the motions.
- **Kat** says that it is technically possible to solve various problems Chiara has described. The speakers' list can be pinned differently. It is also quite possible and actually standard for someone other than the Session Leadership to manage the speakers' list, as Kat did today. As an admin, it is also possible to put more than one person on the speakers' list at the same time, so that the problem described by Chiara would no longer occur. The advantage of a digital speakers' list is that it can generally remember much better than a human brain who is speaking for the first time, who registered a millisecond earlier, etc.
- **Karl** agrees that admins can generally do more, but it is also usually handled in such a way that the Session Leadership does not have to perform these organizational tasks at the same time, allowing it to focus on its main task.
- **Chiara** asks what she is supposed to do as Session Leadership then.
- **Kat** replies that managing the speakers' list is not the only task of the Session Leadership. However, if Chiara would prefer the Session Leadership to manage the speakers' list as well, this can of course be done. She is only concerned that this is a lot for one person.
- **Karl** agrees that, for example, at ZaPF there is a technical team of eight people that deals exclusively with motions, the speakers' list, etc. This is of course all on a somewhat larger scale than here, but it seems quite sensible to separate the substantive Session Leadership from the technical administration.
- **Chiara** once again points to her technical problems with the tool. **Karl and Kat** once again point out that all of these problems can be solved.

Point of Order from **Niklas**: Return to the speakers' list

no objection, Point of Order accepted

- **Max** is in favour of also trying OpenSlides. He finds it more mature and clearer than Antragsgrün.
- **Andreas** says that today's session was not suitable for trying out Antragsgrün. In addition, the Session Leadership should decide on the use of digital tools. Antragsgrün has good functions, but they are merely "nice to have".

- **Stefan** sees it similarly. We might have to try it out over a few more sessions.
- **Niklas** thinks that we do not need a digital speakers' list. It is simply too much effort. However, displaying amendments is an advantage.
- **Kat** is also somewhat confused about how we ended up with Antragsgrün in the last discussion. She had actually suggested OpenSlides. However, the body then proposed Antragsgrün as a counterproposal. Antragsgrün was tried first because it was easier to set up. Contrary to what was said at the previous session, fachschaften.org does in fact not host OpenSlides, so we would first have to look into how OpenSlides could be set up ourselves. In terms of content, both platforms have their justification for different purposes. Antragsgrün is particularly suitable for preparing sessions and for submitting amendments in advance. It is also very good for documenting and comparing amendments. OpenSlides is particularly distinguished by the possibility of submitting live reactions. Since this was the original request that led to the submission of the Discussion IOTA on hand signals, it would still be worth considering.
- **Chiara** says that Antragsgrün also has advantages, but that we would definitely have to familiarize ourselves with it beforehand. It is quite overwhelming. It also cannot be expected that everyone brings their own device and independently adds themselves to the speakers' list.
- **Andreas** found Antragsgrün tiring and not particularly useful for the session materials. He prefers a consolidated PDF. **Karl** shows him that the complete session materials are also available as a PDF. **Andreas** replies that he already receives the file from the Executive Board, so he does not need Antragsgrün for that.
- **Max** finds Antragsgrün useful, especially for comparing amendments – until there are two opposing motions. OpenSlides would, however, be better for the speakers' list because it allows people to work simply with numbers, meaning that the Session Leadership can simply enter the numbers of the people who register to speak. He offers to ask fachschaften.org whether they could host OpenSlides for us on a trial basis.
- **Andreas** feels that he has now spent more time dealing with his device and less time with the room and the people. He actually thinks it would be nicer to be more present in the real world and really interact with the others.
- **Lilou** asks how the recording of the session deals with comments in Antragsgrün. **Klara** replies that the Protocol cannot simultaneously monitor and record everything happening in various comment sections. However, the respective discussions in the comments were summarized for the Protocol.
- **Niklas** speaks out against further use of Antragsgrün until we can identify clear advantages from it.
- **Max** finds it relieving, as a participant, to have an overview of the speakers' list.
- **Jan** believes that we are going around in circles a little here. He would like to discuss it internally within the Executive Board again because the Executive Board has to deal with it the most.
- **Karl**, in response to Max, notes that Guests would have to be entered beforehand for OpenSlides to work properly. Each number has to be linked to a person. OpenSlides also offers the possibility of registering oneself, which is preferred by most Session Leaderships because it reduces their workload. This would therefore essentially be identical to Antragsgrün. Un-

like OpenSlides, however, Antragsgrün does not even require an account, which makes self-registration on the speakers' list very easy.
- **Chiara** once again emphasizes that she is constantly scrolling back and forth between the IOTAs and the speakers' list. This makes Antragsgrün very confusing.

Kat would like to discuss game nights during Off-StuCo weeks

- As **Klara** reported, it was originally planned to use the booked session room for the first Off-StuCo event next week to hold a joint game night with the PAF. The PAF has now explicitly rejected holding it in any location other than Max-Wien-Platz. Even the Release of Funds already adopted explicitly requires the event to take place at MWP. The question is therefore whether we should all move to MWP next week and leave the seminar room we have already booked here unused. The original idea of also having a small beverage sale using remaining stock for Frei(t)raum had to be abandoned because Kat does not see herself carrying an entire crate of drinks up there just to sell two bottles of Spezi in the end.
- **Niklas** says that we are not dependent on the physicists.
- **Tom** thinks it is cheeky of the PAF to make us dependent on them for the game nights. He praises the FMI's rather large collection of games. We could use that instead.
- **Andreas** thinks the basic idea of a game night during Off-StuCo weeks is fantastic. He has blocked every Tuesday evening anyway. However, he would also like to stay here.
- **Klara** asks Karl whether there have been any new developments on the part of the PAF.
- **Karl** says that the PAF has decided that the game night will take place at their location.
- **Klara** concludes that the PAF apparently does not want to play along.
- **Kat** is asked for her opinion. She has none, unless tiredness counts.
- The body loosely agrees to hold a parallel game night in seminar room CZ3 - SR 114.

IOTA 09 → 09 | 21:06 | Session Colour

Discussion

- **Karl** suggests evil-PAF orange.
- **Lilou** suggests a random random colour.
- **Andreas** suggests first-year-student shopping-bag amethyst.
- **Niklas** suggests random colour 107/254/26.
- **Tom** suggests 506 nm light.

Point of Order from **Kat Schmidt**: Opinion gathering on what the Session Colour should be
objection from **Andreas Bagehorn**:
Dear (b)MoStuCo, dear Executive Board, dear Guests, dearest Fri(e)da,
at the previous session I desperately tried to make the body aware of the dangers of this tyrannical process and to motivate it to put an end to this debacle.
Unfortunately, I had to discover how deeply rooted the anti-democratic spirit already is when, during my objection, I experienced physical resistance whose obvious aim was to suppress the truth – unfortunately successfully.
Therefore, I call upon all MoStuCo members present: resist the sinister endeavour and help take one step back towards the light!
Voting: 3 Yes / 1 No / 1 Abstention **accepted**

Opinions are gathered about which colour the Session Colour of the current session should be.
Result: 2 Evil PAF Orange / 3 Random Random Colour / 2 First-Year-Student Shopping-Bag Amethyst / 2 Random Colour 107/254/26 / 1 506nm Light
→ **The Session Colour will be the Random Random Colour.**
Kat opens a random colour generator live and has the Session Colour generated.

⚽ 🏀 *We ask everyone who has read this far to stop staying on the ball – the ball is simply becoming too expensive for us and we have to save money.* 🏈 ⚽

Jena, October 5, 2026

Chiara Daskiewitsch
Session Leadership

Jan Haedicke, Klara Wilde
Protocol

Glossary

- StuCo: Student Council
- FSU: Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena
- EAH: Ernst-Abbe-Hochschule Jena
- MoStuCo: Member(s) of the Student Council
- cMoStuCo: consultant Member(s) of the Student Council
- IOTA: Item on the Agenda
- StuBei: Student Advisory Board (of the City of Jena)
- StuWe: Studierendenwerk
- FSR: Student Department Council
- GO: Order of the Student Council
- FinO: Financial Order of the Constituted Student Body
- CZS: Carl-Zeiss-Straße
- UHG: University main building (Fürtstengraben 1)
- EAP: Ernst-Abbe-Platz
- CRJ: Campusradio Jena