Public Record

19th Session | StuCo | Term 2025/26

26th May 2026 | 18:22 - 22:01 | SR 114 | Carl-Zeiss-Straße 3

DISCLAIMER: This translated record is not legally binding and just for informational purposes. For the legally binding document see the german version.

present MoStuCo: Andreas Bagehorn, Chiara Daskiewitsch, Katharina Gabriel (til 19:08), Jan Haedicke, Marcus Hansen (from 18:25), Clara Höpfner (18:30 til 21:38), John Kaube, Willi Kröning, Niklas Menge, Robert Pohle (from 20:00), Maurice Reitzig (18:30 til 21:30), Paul Weiß (til 20:11), Klara Wilde, Antonia Zahn (til 19:50)

excused MoStuCo: Bennet Hartung, Nele Wagner, Max Weber, Peter Wiemuth, Christoph zur Mühlen

unexcused MoStuCo: Stefan Huber, Akin Rosenkranz, Leonard Schönfeld, Henning Sylla, Götz Wagner

dormant MoStuCo: Nikolai Demisch, Aenna Fink, Carla Franke, Jonah Hohlfeld, Sander König, Smilla Kreuzberg, Luisa Queck, Linda Raschke, Reiner Sandmann, Lenard Thost

present cMoStuCo: Tom Daubmann (Deputy Treasurer), Max Dietrich (Arbitration Committee & Election Board | 19:03 til 21:33), Ansgar Gude (Election Board), Anne Kaufmann (Department of Internal Affairs & Election Board), Kat Schmidt (Budget Manager)

Guests: Lilou Gläß

Executive Board: Chiara Daskiewitsch, Jan Haedicke, Klara Wilde

IOTA 01 → 01 | 18:22 | Reports

Tom reports from the KTS

- Tom reports that the current situation in Saxony-Anhalt was discussed and that people were selected for accreditation.

Marcus Hansen is entering the session at 18:25.

Andreas reports on the KoMa

- Two years after his abduction, the people of Bonn handed over our mascot, "Friedrich the Mathematician", to us. However, there are doubts as to whether it is really him. In addition, his personal belongings—such as his T-shirt—have gone missing.
- There was a resolution that is not entirely irrelevant to us: It clearly advocated for a "right to physical alternatives". Issues such as the change to the locking system on Seidelstraße (Committee meeting on Feb. 18 / Student Council meeting on Feb. 24) thus appear to be a Germany-wide phenomenon that concerns students.

Andreas reports from the election committee

- Last week was the deadline for submitting nominations; once again, most nominations came in on the deadline day, many in the final hour. In the StuRa, 3 seats will be left vacant due to a lack of candidates (1 in Theology, 2 in Life Sciences); in the FSRs, at least 3 candidates have been found in every department, but there will only be "real elections" in 5 student departments.
- A nomination was not approved because the candidate was running for two FSRs. Since the question of which FSR the candidate preferred remained unanswered until our meeting, we had to decide which of the two nominations to approve. However, because the other FSR is preferred, an appeal was filed against this decision, which is now before the Arbitration Commission.

Anne talks about KoaLa

- The KoaLa event took place successfully over the weekend. The Teacher Training Department apologizes for the mess at the camp.

Kat reports as an HHV

- We received a funding request from an external party. Kat would like to reject it because, while it barely fits within the budget at the moment, it would be completely unsustainable in the future (if the supplemental budget were to be approved). However, she considers funding our internal structures—such as the departments—more important than funding external requests, so she does not want to adjust the supplemental budget accordingly.

Chiara reports for MDR Thüringen

- There are currently rumors circulating at various universities that those designated as "Universities of Excellence" are making their exams more difficult in order to reinforce their elite and excellence status.
- **Willi** says that it isn't relevant to us yet, since we aren't a "University of Excellence" yet.
- **Chiara** responds that it is indeed relevant, since the university is aiming for it anyway.
- **John** replies that it's difficult to find students who can provide a comparison. Most students only take exams in one year and one subject. No one can really have an overview of the entire university.
- **Willi** counters that other universities also lack transparency when it comes to their pursuit of excellence status. It's very different here. Our presidential board has communicated this goal very transparently and proactively from the very beginning.
- **Klara** agrees with John and Willi and adds that the new general examination regulations only went into effect this semester. Any changes to exams and other forms of assessment could therefore be due to this as well.

Klara reports on her conversation with Department 4

- We were invited to the meeting after all.
- There was also the question of whether we had noticed more graffiti.
- Two of the large lecture halls in CZS 3 are scheduled to be closed during the next break from classes so that they can be equipped with electrical outlets. As proposed, every fifth row will be equipped with outlets.
- Outlets could be installed on the walls in the seminar rooms and classrooms HS 4–9. That's still more than we had before, and at the moment there are no feasible solutions for these rooms.
- Allocation ratios for seminar and lecture hall facilities should also be established.
- However, the planning will take quite a while.
- Since some other locations are also poorly equipped, the FSRe are being asked where there are still urgent needs.
- **Willi** comments that he can imagine having electrical outlets in the rows of the lecture halls, but not in the seminar rooms, since the rows there are not fixed.
- **Kat** adds that floor outlets aren't very practical because they're often dirty and aren't closed properly afterward. Ceiling outlets received little support. Unfortunately, medical students were hardly taken into account in the surveys regarding power supply, since their facilities are often managed by the UKJ rather than the university.
- **Marcus** asks why there aren't more wall outlets.
- **Kat** replies that the wall outlets were removed from the lecture halls due to the risk of accidents. They pose a tripping hazard, which a lecturer had complained about.

Klara reports from the Equality and Diversity Steering Group

- There was another discussion about the new concept for the SSZ, which would create a central forum for all student services so that students can go to one central location to get help. Stu-

dents should also be involved in the planning process. However, they have hardly participated in, for example, the surveys on this topic. Klara suspects that many students simply don't care what such a forum looks like or whether one even exists. They want to get help when they need it, not be shuffled from one counseling center to the next. So there's a risk that, in the future, students will just be sent in circles at a central in-person location. At least, though, there would be a cool, big staircase where you could go and cry it out.

- In addition, so many university departments have switched to the ticketing system that different departments can no longer find each other's contact information.
- There has been a request for information about accessibility on flyers. That sounds like a very good idea, and we could adopt it as well.
- The Diversity Lab was also presented once again.
- Most recently, there has been discussion about the composition of selection committees for personnel positions.
- **Lilou** asks if the "crying stairs" will be padded as well.
- **Klara** isn't sure. She also doesn't know how the office situation will unfold for the staff at the counseling centers or whether they are satisfied with the proposal.

Paul Weiß is leaving the session at 18:51.

Chiara talks about the medical students

- It seems that DKMS has written to every student council in Jena about the campus campaign. The FSR Medicine is already organizing something in connection with it, but no further details are available.
- **Kat** asks what the medics are planning to do.
- **Chiara** replies that, unfortunately, she doesn't know.

Paul Weiß is entering the session at 19:00.

Kat reports on the FSR SpoWi strike

- The FSR for Sports Sciences received a strike from Kat, the HHV, because they made a binding reservation for a party without approval from the funding committee and then canceled it at the last minute, resulting in a 100 € cancellation fee.
- **Willi** asks who will cover the costs.
- **Klara** replies that she is fundamentally opposed to placing a personal burden on students because she fears this will make future FSR members afraid of making mistakes.
- **Jan** is against funding from the student body.
- **Willi** says that the email correspondence will make it clear whether it was intentional and what consequences we might face.
- **Klara** says we won't have any problems with the event organizer.
- **Kat** says that we were used as a scapegoat without being responsible.
- **Klara** asks whether the email thread should be sent to the MdStuRa with certain parts redacted.
- **Niklas** refuses to do this as long as it doesn't involve any expenses.

- **Tom** says that care must be taken to keep track of the expenses separately.
- **Niklas** requests that the discussion be postponed.
- **Kat** says she will attach the results of the discussion to the next meeting.

IOTA 02 → 02 | 19:07 | Determination of the Quorum and Discussion & Decision of the Agenda

Determination of a Quorum

- Of the **34** elected MoStuCo members, **10** have suspended their mandates.
  This leaves **24** MoStuCo members eligible to vote.
- A quorum is reached when **13** MdStuRa members eligible to vote are present.
  A two-thirds majority is achieved with **16** yes votes from the voting MoStuCo members present.
- There are **13** voting MoStuCo members present; the body is therefore **quorate**. A two-thirds majority is **not possible.**

Preliminary Agenda

IOTA 1	Reports
IOTA 2	Determination of the Quorum and Discussion & Decision of the Agenda
IOTA 3	2. Reading & Decision: Supplemental Budget 2026_27 (HHV)
IOTA 4	Discussion & Decision: Q1 2021 Financial Statements (HHV)
IOTA 5	Discussion & Election: Student Advisory Board (Executive Board)
IOTA 6	Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-STURA-004-2026_27 – Expense Reimbursement for the Ballot Vote Commission (Kat Schmidt)
IOTA 7	Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-STURA-004-2026_27 – Expense Compensation for the deputy HHV (Kat Schmidt)
IOTA 8	Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-STURA-005-2026_27 – Expense Compensation for the deputy HHV (Kat Schmidt)
IOTA 9	Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-KOM-006-2026_27 – FSR Informatics Closed-door-meeting (Executive Board)
IOTA 10	Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-KOM-013-2026_27 – FSR Geography Closed-door-meeting (Executive Board)
IOTA 11	Discussion & Decision: Cafeteria Committee Delegation (Katharina Gabriel)
IOTA 12	Discussion: Online Ballot Vote (Kat Schmidt)
IOTA 13	Discussion: Next Session
IOTA 14	Miscellaneous
IOTA 15	Discussion: Session Colour

Discussion

There are no comments on the agenda.

Draft Resolution: The Student Council of the FSU Jena adopts the agenda below.

Voting: 12 Yes / 0 No / 0 Abstention **accepted**

Approved Agenda

In accordance with the tentative agenda

Katharina Gabriel is leaving the session at 19:08.

IOTA 03 → 03 | 19:08 | 2. Reading & Decision: Supplementary Budget 2026_27 (HHV)

Motion Text

Dear MdStuRa,

Here is the promised supplemental budget.

To make it as easy to understand as possible, I've made two major changes to the presentation:
First, I've added an extra column showing the figures from the 2026–27 budget. In my opinion, this makes it easier to compare the respective figures and the changes between the budget and the supplemental budget.
Second, I'm attaching the preliminary explanatory memorandum, which will be sent to Internal Audit along with the plan once the supplemental budget is approved. This way, the changes that have been implemented may already be more clearly justified. Of course, I remain available before and during the meeting to answer any questions.

Best regards

Kat Schmidt
Budget Manager

Discussion

- **Kat** explains the supplemental budget and the changes that have been made. Even before the meeting, there was a question about why the budget line item for back taxes is again set at only €5,000, even though we already know we have to pay 7,200 €. The problem is that, since we cannot record any increased contributions as revenue, the remaining 2,200 € cannot be covered. To do so, we would need to save 2,200 € elsewhere. But Kat doesn't really see that as a viable option.
  One possible suggestion would therefore be to simply not pass a supplemental budget at all. With an overdraft, the "Tax Arrears" line item would still be high enough. However, Kat doesn't really see this as a long-term solution either, because certain other changes (especially increasing the "Legal Costs" line item) would already make sense. That is why there should be a supplemental budget.
  The supplemental budget could be approved in its current form. However, that would ultimately mean that we are approving a budget that we know will not match our actual expenditures. Kat is therefore very critical of this approach.
  A third option would therefore be to not approve anything at first and to wait and see for the time being.
- **Andreas** asks why there is revenue listed under Personnel*costs*.
- **Kat** replies that she's wondered the same thing. The title is called that because it's derived

from the expenses and includes the payments we receive from health insurance companies when our employees are sick.

- **Marcus** asks what we should do now.
- **Kat** replies that we basically have the three options described. Of course, we could also consider cutting other line items to raise the necessary 2,200 €. However, in many cases we're already operating on a very tight budget—and in the supplemental budget, the margins are even tighter than in the original budget. Cutting costs for departments is also difficult, as many would become unable to function. Kat would therefore find this very difficult, because she doesn't want to restrict the departments either.
- **Anne** replies that it is difficult at the moment because the funds needed for the presentations cannot yet be estimated.

Point of Order from **Willi Kröning**: Termination of the Reading

no objection, Point of Order accepted

IOTA 04 → 04 | 19:21 | Discussion & Decision: Q1 2021 Financial Statement (HHV)

Motion Text

Dear MdStuRa,

I am hereby resubmitting the Q1 2021 financial statements. This may trigger a certain sense of déjà vu for some of you—yes, the financial statements have already been approved once.
However, while writing the report, it became apparent that we should also list the accounting errors related to the Wenig case as such, rather than assigning them to the normal budget line items. Therefore, in consultation with Internal Audit, there is now a separate line item for this.

More specifically, there are two accounting errors in the quarter under review:

- One related to budget line A.05. An incorrect entry was identified here that had been posted under "Other Supraregional Political Representation" (budget line A.05.02) in the amount of 1,498.78 €. This would have resulted in a massive overspend for that budget line, as only 150 € had been allocated to it. By correcting the incorrect entry, the line item is no longer overdrawn, as this reduces the actual expenditures to 0 €.
- one related to Title A.07. An incorrect entry was identified here that had been posted as "Legal Assistance" (Title A.07.02) in the amount of 2,121.39 €. Here, too, correcting the entry can prevent what previously appeared to be an overspend on the title.

In total, these two entries amount to 3,620.17 €. This amount is now recorded under the account set up for incorrect entries.

If further clarification is needed, I'd be happy to say a few more words about it at the meeting. Otherwise, nothing should have changed.

Discussion

- **Kat** presents the motion. The annual financial statements for the transitional fiscal year 2021 Q1 were already approved in April. However, they failed to take into account that this period also included payments that were initially recorded incorrectly because it later turned out that they were the result of embezzlement. Since the total amount involved is nearly 40,000 €—funds that were embezzled over several fiscal years—Kat, in consultation with the internal audit department, has added a separate line item for this in the annual financial statements.
- **Max** asks how much has been repaid so far.
- **Kat** replies that we need to pay closer attention to ensuring we receive the repayments and that the late-payment interest continues to rise.

- **Klara** contacted the lawyer, who kindly asked the district attorney's office where the payment—which had been promised for late 2025—was. The issue had slipped a bit under the radar. But Klara is now on top of it.
- **Niklas** asks how much money would have to be evaded in order to save on the semester fee. People would like someone to figure that out.

Draft Resolution: The Student Council of the FSU Jena approves the present annual financial statements for the transitional fiscal year 2021 Q1 and hereby supersedes the version approved on April 21, 2026.

Voting: 12 Yes / 0 No / 0 Abstention **accepted**

IOTA 05 → 05 | 19:33 | Discussion & Election: Student Advisory Board (Executive Board)

Motion Text

We currently have several open positions at StuBei.
Fortunately, we've received an application!
You can find it in the non-public meeting materials.

Best regards
The Executive Board

Discussion

- **Julius** introduces himself.
- **Tom** asks about his favorite dinosaur. It's the pterodactyl.
- **Max** asks what his favorite emoji is. It's the heart.
- **Marcus** asks which is his favorite season of Yu-Gi-Oh! Julius can't say.
- **Kat** asks which projects he is particularly proud of.**Julius** is in charge of organizing the standard FSR events and the Physics Ball. He's also currently trying to organize a Science Slam.

Julius Hindersin is leaving the session at 19:36.

Lilou Gläß is entering the session at 19:36.

It is being discussed internally.

Willi Kröning is leaving the session at 19:38.

Julius Hindersin is entering the session at 19:39.

Mandate Review and Counting Commission consisting of **John Kaube, Tom Daubmann and Andreas Bagehorn**

no objections

Willi Kröning is entering the session at 19:40.

Election for: Student Advisory Board (*3 available position(s)*)
candidates + Election results:

- Julius: 11
- No: 0
- Absention: 1

is elected, participates in

IOTA 06 → 06 | 19:45 | Discussion & Decision: M-STURA-004-2026_27-Expense Reimbursement for the Ballot Vote Commission (Kat Schmidt)

Motion Text

See project description

Discussion

- **Kat** presents the motion. She based it on previous years' practices and the guidance of the election committee.
- **Tom** asks how much extra work Moritz had to do compared to her and Jasper.
- **Kat** says she can't really judge that. However, the role of chairperson comes with not only a greater workload but also greater responsibility.

Draft Resolution: The Student Council of the FSU Jena resolves to approve funding M-STURA-004-2026_27 in the amount of 100 €, thereby providing a one-time expense allowance to Moritz Zank as chair of the referendum committee on the 2026 semester ticket.

Voting: 12 Yes / 0 No / 0 Abstention **accepted**

IOTA 07 → 07 | 19:45 | Discussion & Decision: M-STURA-005-2026_27-Expense Compensation for the deputy HHV (Kat Schmidt)

Motion Text

See project description

Discussion

- **Kat** submitted an amendment to the text of the resolution because someone pointed out an error to her.
- **Andreas** explains that the error is found in only one part of the financial plan and not in the text of the resolution.

Amendment from **Kat Schmidt**: In the text of the resolution, change the approved amount to 50 €
withdrawn

- **Tom** asks if that person actually did more work, especially compared to Kat.
- **Kat** responds that serving on the board means even more work. Besides, there wouldn't have been enough money in the budget line item for another full-time position, and she's satisfied with the full-time position she has in the finance department.

Draft Resolution: The Student Council of the FSU Jena resolves to approve the release of funds M-STURA-005-2026_27 in the amount of 50 €, and thereby to grant a one-time expense allowance to Jasper Robeck as a member of the referendum committee on the 2026 semester ticket.

Voting: 12 Yes / 0 No / 0 Abstention **accepted**

IOTA 08 → 08 | 19:50 | Discussion & Decision: M-STURA-006-2026_27 - Expense Compensation for the deputy HHV (Kat Schmidt)

Motion Text

At our last meeting, we appointed Rika as our new deputy HHV!
Now, of course, she should also receive the appropriate compensation.
The details can be found in the budget approval section of the public meeting materials.

Discussion

- Willi has submitted an amendment to round down the prorated expense allowance for May to a round number.
- **Niklas** would also like to see nicer-sounding amounts, but he's more in favor of rounding up than rounding down.
- **Klara** wonders how we handled this in the past and would like to see a consistent procedure in the future so that it's fair for everyone.
- **Kat** says she received an expense allowance for the entire month, even though she wasn't elected until the 5th. She hopes that people will actually receive their expense allowances for the full term of office.
- **Willi** suggests dividing the month into three parts and then calculating the expense reimbursements.
- **Marcus** would like to see different classifications for different offices.
- **Andreas** wants an exact calculation, since these are clearly defined.
- **Kat** agrees.
- **Marcus** submits a change request that rounds up the prorated expense allowance for May.
- The committee is discussing which motion will be voted on first.

amendment from **Willi Kröning**: In the text of the resolution, change the amount "696.77 €" to "695.00 €" and the amount "96.77 €" to "95.00 €".

Voting: 1 Yes / 10 No / 0 Abstention **rejected**

amendment from **Marcus Hansen**: In the text of the resolution, change the amount "696.77 €" to "700 €" and the amount "100 €" to "95.00 €".

Voting: 4 Yes / 4 No / 3 Abstention **rejected**

Amendment from **Andreas Bagehorn**: In the text of the resolution, add the word "deputy" before the word "budgetary responsibility".

Point of Order from **Marcus Hansen**: immediate vote

no objection, Point of Order accepted

Draft Resolution: The Student Council of the FSU Jena Resolves to approve funding M-STURA-006-2026_27 in the amount of 696.77 € and, accordingly, a monthly expense allowance of 150 € for Rika König as the StuRa's deputy budget officer from June 2026 until the end of her term of office, no later than September 2026, and a one-time expense allowance of 96.77 € for May 2026.

Voting: 9 Yes / 0 No / 3 Abstention **accepted**

IOTA 09 → 09 | 20:10 | Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-KOM-006-2026_27 – FSR Informatics Closed-door-meeting (Executive Board)

Motion Text

See the project description. The FSR Committee has already issued a positive opinion (17/0/2).

Discussion

- **Kat** presents the budget approval.
- There are no further questions.

Draft Resolution: The Student Council of the FSU Jena Approves the release of funds M-KOM-006-2026_27 in the amount of 624.00 € from budget line A.01.35 (FSR-KOM) for the FSR Informatics Closed-door-meeting.

Voting: 12 Yes / 0 No / 0 Abstention **angenommen**

Paul Weiß is leaving the session at 20:11.

IOTA 10 → 10 | 20:12 | Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-KOM-013-2026_27 – FSR Geography Closed-door-meeting (Executive Board)

Motion Text

See the project description.
The FSR Committee has already issued a positive recommendation (16/2/0).

Since the committee meeting, the HHV has had to adjust the amount again for the following reason: As discussed at the committee meeting, only 9 people will be attending the Closed-door-meeting. Accordingly, the price for accommodations must be reduced to 820 € in accordance with the occupancy agreement.
Regarding Saturday's lunch, in addition to the removal of the tenth person, there is a further reduction: You will receive a total per diem of 28 €, from which breakfast and dinner will be deducted, as these are included in the booking (see administrative regulations regarding §7, para. 2, point 2). Therefore, €18.50 will be deducted from your 28 €. Consequently, a maximum of 9.50 € remains for lunch, which we can provide to you as a per diem.

This results in a total amount of 905.50 €.

Discussion

- **Kat** presents the motion. It concerns the release of funds from the FSR Committee, where it has already received a favorable recommendation. There is also a "backup funding allocation". This means that the FSR would finance the Closed-door-meeting using its own funds should the StuRa not approve it. However, it is currently unclear whether they would actually be able to do so. Ticket sales for a party are currently underway. Unfortunately, sales are not going particularly well. A loss is expected, but the exact amount is still unclear.
- **Willi** says that it is foreseeable that the FSR will not be able to cover the entire KlaTa.
- **Andreas** asks for more details about the FSR's financial situation.
- **Kat** replies that the last two celebrations had resulted in a deficit. The most recent one had taken place in the previous fiscal year, so they had started the new year with a deficit.
- **Niklas** summarizes that the FSR has repeatedly organized parties with Minus. He opposes funding half-board, since breakfast and dinner can be arranged much more cheaply on our own. The student council shouldn't have to pay the price for the FSR's mismanagement.
- **Robert** disagrees, because no one plans to run an event at a loss. It would be unfair to hold this against the FSR.
- **Andreas** sums up the situation: They lost a lot of money on two events and then wanted to hold a Closed-door-meeting; they decided to plan it with half-board, while other student councils organize much more cost-effective Closed-door-meetings by cooking themselves or holding a smaller Closed-door-meeting nearby.

- **Jan** thinks it's unfair that the two canceled events are preventing a class trip, since that couldn't have been foreseen. However, he also thinks the half-board is too expensive.
- **Kat** projects the figures onto the wall and explains how the FSR's events have gone so far and what the costs have been.
- **Niklas** is not, in principle, opposed to KlaTa, nor does he accuse the FSR of being to blame for the failed events. However, he is opposed to the FSR Committee funding the semi-retired KlaTa.
- **Marcus** adds that KlaTas events could also take place in Jena.
- **Kat** adds that no one will be left to foot the bill.
- **Klara** adds that the contract has already been signed, since the backup funding has been approved. Consequently, it is no longer possible to reschedule this Closed-door-meeting.
- **Kat** adds that the FSR was aware that they would be left to cover the costs if the StuRa opposed it.
- **Andreas** asks whether, in the event of a rejection, we as the Student Council wouldn't then be "the bad guys".
- **Kat** replies that the FSR was aware of the risks. However, they do not expect a complete rejection.
- **Lilou** asks if the half-board reservation can still be canceled.
- **Klara** says no. It's all tied up in a contract.
- **Niklas** announces his amendment: the cost of lodging will be covered, but breakfast and dinner will not.

amendment from **Niklas Menge**: Change 905.50 € to 487.00 €

Voting: 5 Yes / 4 No / 2 Abstention **accepted**

Draft Resolution: The Student Council of the FSU Jena approves the release of funds M-KOM-013-2026_27 in the amount of 487 € from budget line A.01.35 (FSR-KOM) for the Geography Student Council's Closed-door-meeting.

Voting: 10 Yes / 0 No / 1 Abstention **accepted**

IOTA 11 → 11 | 20:44 | Discussion & Decision: Cafeteria Committee Delegation (Katharina Gabriel)

Motion Text

Hello everyone,

Unfortunately, Katharina has stepped down from the cafeteria committee, so we'd like to appoint someone new to this role.

Here's some information about the cafeteria committee in case you're interested in the position: Meetings are held once per semester—usually in late May/early June and mid-November/early December—always on a weekday at 2 p.m. for about 2 hours. The responsibilities include writing emails to gather feedback from students (FSRe, MdStuRa, departments, etc.) and then attending the meetings—so it's very manageable and not very time-consuming, since you can split the work with two other delegates anyway (and that way, you're not the only student there). By the way, representatives from the StuWe, the chefs, EAH students, and Zeiss employees are present at the meetings. The atmosphere is quite relaxed, and we've already been able to achieve things through discussion, such as the preview images of the food in the EAP cafeteria.

Discussion

- **Niklas** would like to serve on the cafeteria committee.
- **John** makes a suggestion to Robert, who accepts it.
- **Ansgar** quotes Marcus:

  Niklas can do a lot of things.
- **Max** asks what Niklas's favorite cafeteria dish is.
- **Niklas** says that we're having pasta today.
- **Tom** asks what Niklas thinks of the vegan options and asks which cafeteria is Niklas's favorite.
- **Niklas** likes the vegan options, but he thinks the selection is still too limited at the moment. The Philosophy Cafeteria is his favorite cafeteria.
- **Andreas** asks what Niklas thinks about the sugary donut falling into the water.
- **Niklas** thinks the price increase for snacks is probably okay, as long as the hot meals remain affordable. However, he needs more detailed data.
- **Marcus** asks what both candidates think about a possible €10 increase in the semester fee so that the €2 meal price can be reduced to €1.50.
- **Robert** is himself an avid cafeteria diner and opposes the increase in the semester fee.
- **Niklas** supports the increase out of a sense of solidarity.
- **Tom** asks Robert the same questions.
- **Robert** says that the Philo cafeteria is his favorite. Although he doesn't take advantage of the vegan options very often, he is in favor of having meat-free dishes available.

Niklas Menge is leaving the session at 20:57.

Robert Pohle is leaving the session at 20:57.

Es wird intern diskutiert.

Niklas Menge is entering the session at 21:04.

Robert Pohle is entering the session at 21:04.

- **Marcus** hopes that the delegates will advocate for more french fries in the cafeteria.

Amendment from **Andreas**: Replace the underscore in the resolution text with "Niklas Menge". Add the following to the second resolution:
"The Student Council of the FSU Jena appoints Robert Pohle as a delegate to the cafeteria committee of the Thuringia Student Services Organization."

no objection, accepted

Draft Resolution: The Student Council of the FSU Jena appoints Niklas Menge as its alternate representative on the cafeteria committee of the Thuringia Student Services Organization.

Voting: 8 Yes / 0 No / 3 Abstention **accepted**

Draft Resolution: The Student Council of the FSU Jena The Student Council appoints Robert Pohle as its alternate representative on the cafeteria committee of the Thuringia Student Services Organization.

Voting: 5 Yes / 2 No / 4 Abstention **accepted**

IOTA 12 → 12 | 21:07 | Discussion: Online Ballot Vote (Kat Schmidt)

Motion Text

Dear MdStuRa,

We've successfully completed a referendum, and another one—this time to amend the bylaws—is already in the early planning stages. Another referendum on the next semester ticket will also be on the agenda by the summer semester of 2027 at the latest.

Against this backdrop—and considering the fact that it was already really difficult this time around to find enough people for the committee and volunteers for all the events (a huge thank you to everyone once again!)—the question arose as to whether the referendum could also be conducted online.

Accordingly, Max has already been in talks with Mr. Rüttger, who pointed out, above all, that a cutoff date would then have to be set for finalizing the voter rolls. Technically, however, implementation would now be possible—thanks to the change in service provider and the associated ability to hold multiple votes per year. I cannot find any provision in our Rules of Procedure that explicitly requires a ballot-box vote.

That's why I'd like to discuss with you whether and how we could envision an online alternative. I think the advantages of this option are relatively clear. However, we should also consider any potential disadvantages, especially regarding visibility and voter turnout. For these reasons, my suggestion is that, in the event of an online vote, we should still set up information booths in various university buildings during the week of the vote. These would essentially be information points designed to raise awareness of the vote and provide further details. This would reduce the burden on staff and the organization (e.g., no more borrowing and hauling around ballot boxes and voting booths), which could be really helpful in recruiting volunteers as well.
But for now, this is just my off-the-cuff idea; I'm sure there are other good suggestions or perhaps more specific comments on how to implement it.

I look forward to a lively discussion with you all!

Best, Kat

Discussion

- **Kat** presents the motion.
- **Andreas** explains that our GO actually doesn't allow it at the moment. But this could be changed.
- **Kat** says that the Rules of Procedure also state that a vote by mail must take place. That wasn't

the case last time either.

- **Andreas** says that this is optional.
- **John** is in favor of an online vote.
- **Andreas** asks Max what he thinks about online voting, since he had recently spoken out against it.
- **Max** replies that he stands by his opinion, but that this is no reason for him to refuse to cooperate on such matters.
- **Jan** reflects on the tangible presence that is lost through online voting.
- **Andreas** therefore supports Kat's suggestion to set up an information booth.
- **Niklas** asks whether enough volunteers were found during the last vote and whether the workload would have been significantly lighter if there had been an online election.
- **Kat** says there were many volunteers. However, she particularly remembers having to transport ballot boxes, voting booths, voter rolls, ballots, etc.—all packed in trash bags—to the UHG in the pouring rain. That could be avoided. Furthermore, the volunteers could only be at one location at a time. With an online election featuring information booths, they could be better distributed.
- **Marcus** says that those who voted against the measure were not involved in the election assistance effort.
- **Andreas** disagrees, since he and Niklas have both taken on shifts and, besides, aren't entirely opposed to online voting.
- **Klara** points out that the printer wasn't very reliable. The pouring rain was also a very memorable experience. In addition, students often didn't know that they had to fold the ballots.
- **Andreas** notes that people often don't know how to vote or fold their ballots. This is a problem that extends beyond membership votes.
- **Niklas** points out the advantage that the Student Council demonstrates its presence through the ballot-box election. However, he, too, is in favor of granting discharge to the election workers.
- **John** is in favor of an online vote.
- **Marcus** says that we save money by using online voting.
- **Niklas** thinks the ballot boxes are simply aesthetically pleasing and asks whether we would actually save money, or if we have to pay for the university's online voting tool.
- **John** wants to move away from the topic of money when it comes to elections. Elections are important, so it's perfectly fine for them to cost money.
- The consensus within the committee is that the online elections conducted through the university using the new tool should not cost us anything. However, we will check again just to be sure.
- **Andreas** would be willing to work on a rule change regarding online ballots. However, this will likely not be ready in time for the next meeting or the one after that.

Maurice is leaving the session at 21:29.

Clara is leaving the session at 21:29.

IOTA 13 → 13 | 21:32 | Next Session

Discussion

- **Andreas** is against having a meeting in two weeks, since the FMI faculty party is taking place at that time.
- The next meeting is scheduled for June 16.

IOTA 14 → 14 | 21:38 | Miscellaneous

Tom on the topic of embezzlement

- Several million would have to be evaded in order to reach the desired interest rate.

Willi and His Telescope

- He's going to look at the moon today after the meeting. Everyone in attendance is cordially invited to join him.

Marcus talks about Klara's birthday

- Marcus belatedly congratulates Klara and wants to sing.
- Klara doesn't want that.

The discussion is conducted by a show of hands

- The committee held an in-depth discussion about hand signals and whether new hand signals should be introduced, for example, for fact-checking or false statements. It was agreed that an agenda item for this topic would be submitted at the next meeting.

IOTA 15 → 15 | 21:50 | Session Colour

Discussion

- **Niklas** suggests 254/57/201.
- **Andreas** suggests UDE Math Octopus Yellow.
- **Tom** suggests the PAF Orange.
- **Marcus** suggests #9333EA.
- **Kat** wants Q1-2021-Green.
- **Marcus** wants the color Substitute Bench Green.
- **Ansgar** wants Bluefoot-blue.
- **Willi** wants LEIFI purple.
- **Andreas** wants FMI Blue.
- **Anne** presents a report on Teaching Department—Red.

Opinions are gathered about which should be the session color for the current session.
Result: 8 254/57/201 / 4 UDE Math Octopus Yellow / 2 #9333EA / 7 2021 Q1-Green / 2 Substitute Bench Green / 3 PAF Orange / 4 Bluefoot-blue / 3 FMI Blue / 3 Teaching Department—Red / 4 LEIFI purple
→ **Die Sitzungsfarbe wird 254/57/201.**

☀ *Wir wünschen allen, die bis hierhin gelesen haben, eine sonnige Woche und grüßen all' unsere Leser*innen. Wir freuen uns auf euer Feedback.* ☀

Jena, October 5, 2026

Klara Wilde
Session Leadership

Chiara Daskiewitsch, Jan Haedicke
Protocol

Begriffserklärung

- StuCo: Student Council
- FSU: Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena
- EAH: Ernst-Abbe-Hochschule Jena
- MoStuCo: Member(s) of the Student Council
- cMoStuCo: consultant Member(s) of the Student Council
- IOTA: Item on the Agenda
- StuBei: Student Advisory Board (of the City of Jena)
- StuWe: Studierendenwerk
- FSR: Student Department Council
- GO: Order of the Student Council
- FinO: Financial Order of the Constituted Student Body
- CZS: Carl-Zeiss-Straße
- UHG: University main building (Fürtstengraben 1)
- EAP: Ernst-Abbe-Platz
- CRJ: Campusradio Jena