Public Record

16th Session | StuCo | Term 2025/26

21st April 2026 | 18:22 - 20:35 | SR 114 | Carl-Zeiss-Straße 3

DISCLAIMER: This translated record is not legally binding and just for informational purposes. For the legally binding document see the german version.

present MoStuCo: Andreas Bagehorn, Chiara Daskiewitsch, Katharina Gabriel (til 20:24), Jan Haedicke (til 20:11), Marcus Hansen (til 20:30), Bennet Hartung (til 20:24), Clara Höpfner (til 20:30), Stefan Huber, Willi Kröning, Niklas Menge, Maurice Reitzig (til 20:30), Nele Wagner (from 20:01), Paul Weiß, Klara Wilde, Antonia Zahn (18:55 til 19:22), Christoph zur Mühlen (til 19:22)

excused MoStuCo: John Kaube, Robert Pohle, Max Weber, Peter Wiemuth

unexcused MoStuCo: Akin Rosenkranz, Henning Sylla, Götz Wagner

dormant MoStuCo: Nikolai Demisch, Aenna Fink, Carla Franke, Jonah Hohlfeld, Sander König, Smilla Kreuzberg, Luisa Queck, Linda Raschke, Reiner Sandmann, Leonard Schönfeld, Lenard Thost

present cMoStuCo: Tom Daubmann (Deputy Treasurer | from 20:01), Max Dietrich (Election Board), Florentine Derbitz (CRJ), Ansgar Gude (Election Board), Dario Holz (Akrützel) Anne Kaufmann (Election Board and Department of Internal Affairs), Kat Schmidt (Deputy Treasurer), Karl Weber (FSR-Kom Speaker | from 19:33)

Guests: Max Dietrich (Arbitration Committee), Lilou Gläß (Arbitration Committee), Ansgar Gude (Election Board Election)

Executive Board: Chiara Daskiewitsch, Klara Wilde

IOTA 01 → 01 | 18:22 | Discussion & Decision: Annual Financial Statements 2021 Q1 (Executive Board)

Motion Text

Hi,

We're making good progress.
Here's the first of the old financial statements.

Warmest regards

Discussion

- **Willi** explains that he made some changes to the formatting and the tables at the end, but that was just to make things clearer.
- In addition, a theoretical asset value based on the inventory lists has already been added. This does not yet reflect the actual situation, as numerous disposals are still being identified and must be recorded during the current inspections. However, this can only result in a lower asset value. The annual financial statements therefore reflect the maximum value.
- **Bennet** asks how new purchases should be handled in the future. **Willi** replies that the exact procedure is currently still being coordinated within the Finances; an email will be sent out regarding this at a later date.

Draft Resolution: The Student Council of the FSU Jena Approves the present annual financial statements for the transitional fiscal year 2021 Q1.

Voting: 14 Yes / 0 No / 0 Abstention **approved**

IOTA 02 → 02 | 18:26 | Discussion & Decision: Coordination of the Market of Opportunities 2026 (Klara Wilde)

Motion Text

Dear All,

The last Market of Opportunities took place in October 2025. The MdM is a major student event that showcases the wide range of cultural, political, and social opportunities the city has to offer to newcomers at our university. In addition to booths run by various non-university and non-student groups, clubs and organizations—either composed entirely of students or providing support services for them—also introduce themselves.
In recent years, the MdM has grown to a significant size and requires central coordination to maintain an overview and, for example, handle communication with exhibitors and Department 4, as well as keep track of the schedule and finances.

We are looking for at least one new coordinator for the 2026 Market of Opportunities.

Discussion

- **Marcus** nominates Andreas. **Andreas** nominates Marcus in return.
- **Both** refuse. **Chiara** is unhappy with the progress made so far.

Point of Order from **Klara Wilde**: Postponement
Objection from **Kat Schmidt**: Everyone is welcome to sit here for another two minutes, feeling uneasy.
withdrawn

- **Anne** asks if there has already been an Instagram call-to-action or something similar. **Klara** replies that this hasn't happened yet because, based on experience, it always takes a little while, and the hope—both today and two weeks ago—was that someone from the committee would simply step forward. But if no one comes forward now, then that would still be an option.
- The committee sits in a somber silence. No one speaks up.

Point of Order from **Marcus Hansen**: Postponement
no objection, Point of Order accepted

IOTA 03 → 03 | 18:32 | Reports

Chiara Reports from the Executive Board

- We received an email from the International Office. They want to record a podcast and are looking for people from the StuRa to participate. If you're interested, please contact the executive board.
- One MoStuCo has left, so we now have only 34 MoStuCo (no replacement). Another member has resigned; Peter Wiemuth will take his place.

Marcus reports on the KTS

- The next KTS meeting is this Friday.
- A report on this meeting will be presented at the next StuCo meeting.

IOTA 04 → 04 | 18:35 | Determination of the Quorum and Discussion & Decision of the Agenda

Determination of a quorum

- Of the **34** elected MoStuCo members, **11** have suspended their mandates.
  This leaves **23** MoStuCo members eligible to vote.
- A quorum is reached when **12** MdStuRa members eligible to vote are present.
  A two-thirds majority is achieved with **16** yes votes from the voting MoStuCo members present.
- There are **14** voting MoStuCo members present; the body is therefore **quorate**. A two-thirds majority is **not possible.**

vorläufige Tagesordnung

IOTA 1 *Discussion & Decision: Annual Financial Statements 2021 Q1 (Executive Board)

IOTA 2 Discussion & Decision: Coordination of the Market of Opportunities 2026 (Klara Wilde)

IOTA 3 Reports

IOTA 4 Determination of the Quorum and Discussion & Decision of the Agenda

IOTA 5 5. Reading & Decision: Amendments about Employment Contracts (Internal Affairs Department and Management)

IOTA 6 Discussion & Election: Executive Board (Executive Board)

IOTA 7 Discussion & Decision: Online-Election (Election Board)

IOTA 8 Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-KOM-001-2026_27 – Participation at IFaTa (FSR Classical Studies)

IOTA 9 Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-KOM-005-2026_27 – KIF 54,0 (FSR Computer Science)

IOTA 10 Discussion & Decision: Expansion ballot vote - amendment to the constitution (Max Dietrich)

IOTA 11 Discussion: Next Session

IOTA 12 Miscellaneous

IOTA 13 Discussion: Session Colour

Discussion

- **Niklas** Given the IOTAs, he asks when the motion regarding IOTA 10 was received and whether this was ten business days before the meeting date.**Klara** replies that this is not the case.

Point of Order from **Niklas Menge**: Item 10 has been removed from the agenda, as motions for a membership vote are subject to a ten-day deadline

no objection, Point of Order accepted

Draft Resolution: The Student Council of the FSU Jena adopts the agenda below.

Voting: 14 Yes / 0 No / 0 Abstention **accepted**

Approved Agenda

IOTA 1	*Discussion & Decision: Annual Financial Statements 2021 Q1 (Executive Board)
IOTA 2	Discussion & Decision: Coordination of the Market of Opportunities 2026 (Klara Wilde)
IOTA 3	Reports
IOTA 4	Determination of the Quorum and Discussion & Decision of the Agenda
IOTA 5	5. Reading & Decision: Amendments about Employment Contracts (Internal Affairs Department and Management)
IOTA 6	Discussion & Election: Executive Board (Executive Board)
IOTA 7	Discussion & Decision: Online-Election (Election Board)
IOTA 8	Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-KOM-001-2026_27 – Participation at IFaTa (FSR Classical Studies)
IOTA 9	Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-KOM-005-2026_27 – KIF 54,0 (FSR Computer Science)
IOTA 10	Discussion: Next Session
IOTA 11	Miscellaneous
IOTA 12	Discussion: Session Colour

IOTA 05 → 05 | 18:40 | 5. Reading & Decision: Amendments about Employment Contracts (Internal Affairs Department and Management)

Motion Text

Dear all,

In collaboration with management and the legal department, changes to the regulations regarding employment contracts and personnel have been discussed over the past few months. You will find the results in the attachment. First, there are two sets of amendments (financial order and order of the StuCo) that are to be formally adopted. We have also attached a side-by-side comparison of the old and new versions to better illustrate the changes.

Best regards
The Internal Affairs Department

Addendum: A proposed amendment was put forward, but a formal motion to amend has not yet been filed: §19 (3), first sentence, revised ("A selection committee appointed by the Executive Board shall conduct the selection of applicants and may conduct interviews.") and a new fourth sentence ("Not all members of the selection committee are required to participate in the interviews; at least one member of the Executive Board and one other member of the committee must be present.") is to be added.

Discussion

- **Niklas** presents the motion. It has been discussed at length in recent meetings. He has nothing further to add.
- **Andreas** points out that a majority required to amend the bylaws is not needed.
- No further questions

Draft Resolution: The Student Council of the FSU Jena adopts the following amendments to the 6th Amendment to the Financial Regulations and the 8th Amendment to the Rules of Procedure of the Student Body of FSU Jena.

Voting: 14 Yes / 0 No / 0 Abstention **approved**

IOTA 06 → 06 | 18:42 | Discussion & Election: Executive Board (Executive Board)

Motion Text

Hello everyone,

We're once again looking for a third board member.
If you're interested in the position, have any questions, or anything else, feel free to reach out to us anytime. We'd really appreciate it if we didn't have to stay just the two of us for quite so long this time.

~~Love~~ and desperate regards
Klara and Chiara 😢

Discussion

- **Klara and Chiara** are very lonely.
- **Anne** suggests Andreas and Niklas.
- **Marcus** suggests Katharina, Jan Haedicke, and Andreas as well.
- **Katharina** nominates Anne. Anne is not a student representative, so the nomination is not valid.
- **Andreas** rejects.
- **Niklas** is having problems with his FSR sofa. He won't have time for the board until those issues are resolved. That's why he'd really only like to do that if the sofa issue gets resolved quickly.
- **Katharina** would be coming in with burnout, but she refuses.
- **Jan** has too much math in his life, so he's turning it down. But he might reconsider.
- **Chiara** notes that she also suffers from burnout and has trouble with math, but is still on the Executive Board.
- The field of candidates has thus been narrowed down to just one. The **Executive Board** asks Niklas to introduce himself.
- **Niklas** steps forward and introduces himself (both times). He is Niklas. He serves on the StuRa on behalf of the FMI. He's been on the StuRa for quite some time now, which isn't necessarily a point in his favor, but it does mean he already has experience on the executive board. Although he knows that the work on the executive board has changed in recent years, he hopes that he's still retained some useful knowledge.
- **Niklas** When asked, Niklas explains the sofa situation: The FMI-FSRe members have moved, but a sofa is still needed to furnish the office. He is currently in active discussions with the finance department about this.
- **Paul** asks if he'll only run for office if he gets his couch in return.
- **Niklas** explains that he would run for office even under these circumstances, but that he must first devote most of his time to resolving the sofa issue. Once that matter is settled, he would

then have more time for his duties on the Executive Board.

- **Andreas** asks to what extent the FMI's game nights would be affected by Niklas's role on the board. **Niklas** fears that they wouldn't be affected that much, since he isn't actively involved in them anyway.
- **Kat** notes that it would look very strange to the public if the Finance Department were to approve the sofa tomorrow.
- **Niklas** doesn't expect that either. He'd like to meet with the finance department again anyway to discuss the matter.
- **Anne** asks about his availability.
- **Niklas** replies that three years ago, in addition to serving on the Executive board, he also organized "KlaVoWo", but he has since stepped down from that role. Besides, that would give him a great excuse to skip the lecture, which he wanted to skip anyway.

Antonia Zahn is entering the session at 18:54.

- **Lilou** asks if he would like some cake if he were elected, and also whether Anne Day could still take place.
- **Niklas** replies that he takes the first part of the question as an offer and really appreciates it. Regarding the second question, he says that, as a member of the Executive Board, his Tuesday evenings are even more tied up than usual anyway.
- **Klara** asks if Niklas knows what's in the storage cabinet—the one that isn't the filing cabinet. **Niklas** doesn't know.**Klara** asks if Niklas would be willing to look into this with the rest of the board. **Niklas** agrees and remarks that it sounds like a "brute-force approach".

Niklas is asked to step outside.
There is an internal discussion.
Niklas is asked to come back in.

Mandate Review and Counting Commission consisting of **Andreas Bagehorn, Stefan Huber and Kat Schmidt**

no objections

Election for: Executive Board (*1 available position(s)*)
candidates + Election results:

- Niklas Menge: 6 Yes / 4 No / 5 Absention

not elected

Discussion

- **Anne** points out that, in principle, another round of voting would still be possible. **Kat** is in favor of simply voting for Niklas again. **Klara** asks for additional nominations. No one speaks up.**Willi** is in favor of giving up. The Student Council is giving up.

IOTA 07 → 07 | 19:08 | Discussion & Decision: Online-Election (Election Board)

Motion Text

Dear MdStuRa,

At the penultimate meeting, you already voted on the election procedure. However, we have now realized that this resolution can only be adopted based on "a proposal from the Election Committee" (see §14, para. 2).
To remedy this situation, we are hereby formally proposing it to you once again.

Best regards
The Election Committee

Discussion

- **Andreas** presents the motion. We have already passed the resolution, but we did so too early. Actually, the Student Council must pass this resolution *following* a proposal from the Election Committee. That is why we wanted to repeat the resolution now to correct the error.
- There are no questions.

Draft Resolution: The Student Council of the FSU Jena resolves to conduct the 2026 committee elections as an online election with the option of voting by mail.

Voting: 15 Yes / 0 No / 0 Abstention **accepted**

IOTA 08 → 08 | 19:13 | Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-KOM-001-2026_27 – Participation at IFaTa (FSR Classical Studies)

Motion Text

The FSR Committee issued a positive opinion on this funding approval on April 15, 2026.
Details (including the voting results) can be found in the funding approval document in the public meeting materials.

Discussion

- Since no member of the FSR Classical Studies is present, **Chiara** presents the motion.
- The FSR Committee issued a favorable opinion on the release of funds on April 15, 2026.
- **Klara** asks why the Finance Committee approved the release of funds. She takes issue with the fact that the expenditures were made before the FSR had even approved the motion at the Kom meeting. She understands that there is a practical justification for purchasing train tickets, as their prices rise quickly. The matter has been promptly rectified (despite the long time that has passed since the proposal was posted), as the first Kom meeting and StuRa meeting of the semester were used for this purpose. However, she also points out that the AltWis were already aware of the problem at the previous Kom meeting and were actively advised during and after the meeting to follow a different procedure. For this reason, she does not understand why that did not happen (e.g., through a backup funding authorization).
- **Marcus** agrees with Klara.
- **Stefan** emphasizes that he believes this was not intentional, but rather the result of a misunderstanding.
- **Kat** spoke with the FSR during and after the Kom. She tried to explain the situation to the members, but these were only verbal agreements. It is therefore quite possible that a misunderstanding occurred or that the information was not properly communicated to the rest of the FSR. She also points out that there is no issue with the participation fees, which is why the funding request should under no circumstances be rejected in its entirety.
- **Niklas** also sees no issues regarding the conference fees. He thinks a warning to the FSR makes sense and asks whether the topic was brought up again at the committee meeting.
- **Kat** explains that the issue was not brought up at the committee meeting.
- **Willi** responds to repeated calls for him to speak by pointing out that his remarks are parliamentary interjections.

Point of Order from **Niklas**: Back to the topic

no objection, Point of Order accepted

- **Willi** is opposed to rejecting the funding approval. There was a similar situation with the BIOS. In that case, however, a more affordable option was found, which is why the connection that had already been purchased could not be financed. Since that is not the case here, he would advocate for approving the funding. Regarding the warning Niklas mentioned, he refers to our strike system and suggests simply inviting the FSR-Kom delegates to a meeting.

This way, it wouldn't affect those who weren't even present at the FSR-Kom meeting and therefore may not have received the correct information.

- **Ruben** agrees with Willi. The amount of money involved is relatively small. He thinks it's a good opportunity to talk to the people in charge one more time.
- **Klara** would like to clarify that she wasn't trying to reject the idea at all. She just found it interesting that it wasn't discussed at all at the FSR-Kom meeting, and that's why she wanted to bring it up again.
- **Max** checked again. The tickets are actually cheaper right now.
- **Willi** advocates that such comments (including those from guests) should be brought up at the FSR Committee meeting. It is very unfortunate when issues are not addressed until the StuRa meeting, and this can lead to the FSR Committee feeling bypassed or sidelined.
- **Ruben** thinks it's perfectly fine for people to post the comments they want to post wherever they choose.
- **Klara** would like to defend herself to Willi by explaining that, as a guest at FSR-Kom meetings, she does not put in the same amount of preparation as she does for StuRa meetings. Since she only became aware of the issue during the FSR-Kom meeting, she did not want to say anything potentially incorrect in that context. She only looked into it more thoroughly afterward.
- **Kat** would also like to defend Klara on this point: Klara was a guest at the meeting; it is not her responsibility to delve deeply into the meeting materials. The discrepancy should have been noticed by the voting delegates.
- **Willi** agrees with the argument that detailed preparation isn't necessary. However, he believes that questions that come up spontaneously should also be asked, since they may provide new input for a discussion.

Christoph zur Mühlen is leaving the session at 19:22.

Draft Resolution: The Student Council of the FSU Jena resolves to approve funding M-KOM-001-2026_27 for the FSR Classic Studie's participation in the IFaTa in the amount of 132.98 € from budget line A.01.35 FSR-Kom.

Voting: 12 Yes / 1 No / 1 Abstention **approved**

IOTA 09 → 09 | 19:32 | Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-KOM-005-2026_27 – KIF 54,0 (FSR Computer Science)

Motion Text

The FSR Committee issued a positive opinion on this funding approval on April 15, 2026.
Details (including the voting results) can be found in the funding approval document in the public meeting materials.

Discussion

- **Chiara** is looking for a nice "info" sweater to wear when she presents the proposal.
- **Ansgar** presents the budget approval (even without the info sweater). The info and bio-info teams also want to go to their BuFaTa.

Karl is entering the session at 19:33.

- **Marcus** asks why such a small time savings justifies spending so much money on train tickets.
- **Kat** explains that we have already discussed this at length at the FSR Committee meeting. She asks the Kom representatives if they could briefly summarize the discussion or if Marcus could provide the current version of the minutes.
- **Willi** summarizes the discussion by noting that the issue of time savings was actually debated at greater length and that the outcome was not unanimous. Ultimately, however, only two FSRs opposed the release of funds.
- **Bennet** asks why eight people are coming along.
- **Ansgar** explains that two FSRs are coming along, meaning four people per FSR. That's a slightly higher, but not unusual, number of participants for a BuFaTa (per FSR). Last time, there were also 7 or 8 people who went.
- **Andreas** wants to correct Chiara by pointing out that it's not just the "Infos" that have nice sweaters, but the entire FMI.
- **Max** would like to add that the KIF is a very large student conference where, for example, a large number of workshops take place simultaneously. Therefore, an FSR must be represented by a certain number of people in order to truly take full advantage of the KIF.
- **Marcus** doesn't think it's cost-effective, especially since the KIF takes place twice a year. That would amount to 1,800 € per year.
- **Andreas** emphasizes that the release of funds has already been approved. This includes a review of cost-effectiveness and economy.
- **Kat** thinks the bill is outrageous. Just because the KIF takes place twice a year doesn't mean the FSR always goes there or that the trip is going to be that expensive again. **Marcus** counters that the next one is in Bochum, so it certainly won't be any cheaper.**Kat** counters that so far, no one has even hinted at wanting to go to Bochum.
- **Willi** agrees with Kat about BuFaTas. Besides, the Kom has more than enough money.
- **Bennet** understands that the Finance Committee has already approved the release of funds. However, as a committee, we are not necessarily required to reach the same conclusion.

- **Andreas** explains what he meant in his last post: Until now, whenever there were questions or criticism regarding cost-effectiveness, the response has always been that the Finance Department has yet to review the matter and that the HHVs simply would not approve the release of funds if it were found to be uneconomical. That was the point he was making when he noted that the release of funds had already been reviewed by the HHVs.
- **Max** explains that promoting cross-regional networking is one of our responsibilities under the bylaws. This doesn't work effectively if we only meet once a year.
- **Bennet** partly agrees with Max, but still sees ways to save money on the return trip. He believes the point of the outbound trip is that arriving on time is important. However, he still doesn't see the point of the return trip.
- **Ansgar** asks whether anyone has looked into how much time was saved as a result of the budget allocation that was just approved under the previous agenda item. She finds the ratio of time saved to per-person spending to be very comparable.
- **Niklas** also points out once again that, in his view, looking at the costs per person does not result in particularly high amounts either. So if the total amount is too high for the StuRa, then in his view the discussion should focus instead on the StuCo and the FSRe agreeing on a "reasonable" number of people who can be sent.
- **Kat** explains that this issue has already been discussed at the FSR-Kom. Larger FSRs should not be at a disadvantage simply because their total amount is higher, even though there is no difference in the amount per person.
- **Andreas** agrees with Kat.
- **Marcus** doesn't want the money to come from the FSR-Kom and suggests instead that the Kom cover the fees and that the FSRs pay for the train tickets themselves.
- **Anne** points out that the FSR-Kom's stance has been clear for years: the full contribution for BuFaTa and similar organizations is paid. The only recurring topic of discussion in the FSR-Kom has been how to handle the share for closed-door meetings. Interregional networking is one of the student body's main tasks, and its funding is specifically one of the FSR-Kom's areas of responsibility. This should not be prevented by the StuRa. She also points out that elections are coming up soon. The FSRs are extremely important for university policy. Networking—including across different "generations" of FSR members—makes an important contribution to the health and longevity of the FSRs and to motivation for participation in university politics.
- **Willi** believes that we can discuss cost-effectiveness and frugality, but not whether the money comes from the FSR-Kom or not. We should simply look at whether the relevant budget line has enough funds. We can also look at the FSRe's budget line. Then we'll quickly see that the FSRe doesn't have the money for this important task and needs its funds for its events.
- **Marcus** thanks Willi for the explanation.
- **Bennet** explains that we are not discussing participation itself, but only one of the trips.
- **Max** believes that a promotion policy might be a better solution here, partly to reduce the constant need to discuss individual cases.
- **Paul** emphasizes that the FSR Committee has already expressed its support for this and that the funds come from the FSR Committee's budget. He therefore does not see it as the StuRa's

role to override the FSR Committee's decision on this matter after the fact—especially since the FSR Committee has sufficient funds.

- **Stefan** agrees with Paul. He also emphasizes once again that the FSR has to leave at 3 a.m., even though the trip is expensive.
- **Niklas** agrees with the previous speakers and adds that, essentially, three things are being proposed in the FSR-Kom: KlaTas, BuFaTas, and inventory purchases. Of these three, he believes the BuFaTas are the most important because they simply bring a great deal of intellectual enrichment and new life to university politics. He also reiterates that the FSR-Kom has expressed a positive opinion and recalls his previous suggestion to shift the focus of the discussion. Anyone who would like to participate in discussions regarding the FSR-Kom's responsibilities is more than welcome to attend FSR-Kom meetings.

Nele Wagner is entering the session at 20:01.

Tom Daubmann is entering the session at 20:01.

- **Ruben** emphasizes once again that we're talking about two FSRs. He also really doesn't like the "tradition" argument. Otherwise, he, too, believes that a standard should be established here.

Point of Order from **Marcus**: immediate vote

Objection from **Kat:** If Marcus is allowed to speak, the others should also be allowed to share their thoughts. Closing the speaking list is a better compromise between making progress and having a discussion.

Support from **Ruben:** Maybe we should move the discussion to "Miscellaneous"

Voting: 7 Yes / 5 No / 3 Abstention **approved**

Draft Resolution: The Student Council of the FSU Jena Resolves to approve funding M-KOM-005-2026_27 for the participation of the FSRe Info and Bioinfo in KIF 54.0 in the amount of 900.00 € from budget line A.01.35 FSR-Kom.

Voting: 12 Yes / 2 No / 1 Abstention **approved**

IOTA 11 → 10 | 20:06 | Next Session

Discussion

- **Klara** asks for comments or requests. Holding a meeting next week would have the advantage of allowing a successor to be elected the very day after Nele steps down as HHV. However, she also understands if the committee does not want to meet two weeks in a row again.
- **Niklas** is coming in two weeks.
- **Marcus** wants to let the finance department decide.
- **Nele** points out that, unfortunately, the Finance Committee also depends on the votes of the MoStuCo members, so it's no use if the date only works for the Finance Committee.

Point of Order from **Katharina**: Opinion Gathering

no objection, Point of Order accepted

Opinions are gathered about when the next meeting will take place.
Result: 8 for in two weeks / 10 for next week
→ **The next meeting is scheduled for next week.**

IOTA 12 → 11 | 20:09 | Miscellaneous

Website

- **Anne** wonders how certain changes to the website are made. She had to search for a while to find Anja's phone number, and she finds the new color used for schedule changes hard to read.
- **Willi** responds to this. He has been granted access to the website. This was done in consultation with our webmaster. So far, there have been no complaints regarding the colors, etc.
- **Kat** suggests that Anja should be listed higher up on the finance page.
- **Anne** would also like to note, regarding the images, that she finds the phrasing "No image provided" particularly problematic when it comes to employees.
- **Chiara** was not previously aware that we now have photos on the website. **Andreas** asks whether this means that various people whose photos are currently marked as "not provided" were not informed at all. **Willi** responds by saying that everyone was informed. **Chiara** is not on Matrix and therefore did not see the message.
- **Max** points out that there are various websites you can use to check the contrast ratio. The ratio Willi chose for the orange text is actually very poor.

Church for Sale in Erfurt

- **Marcus** changes the subject very abruptly and suggests that the Student Council consider buying a church in Erfurt.
- **Ansgar** asks if this isn't an issue for the StuCo in Erfurt.

Guidelines for Travel Expenses

- **Kat** resumes the discussion that was postponed from IOTA 09 and asks if anyone is interested in drafting guidelines for travel expenses at BuFaTas.
- **Max** replies that the university—whose name he's not supposed to mention (Bonn)—has a program like that, which could serve as a source of inspiration.
- **Linus** isn't sure if that would actually be helpful for the HHVs in the end.
- **Willi** explains that the guideline is intended more for the FSR Committee (and potentially the StuRa), so it does not pertain to HHV decisions.
- **Kat** agrees and adds that this request specifically stems from the fact that discussions in the FSR-Kom currently often follow the pattern "They were allowed to do that, so why are you trying to forbid us from doing it now?" We'd simply like to avoid that.
- **Stefan** wonders what the point of the guideline is if it isn't mandatory.
- **Max** doesn't understand why the policy has to be nonbinding. In Bonn, the rule is binding. However, with advance notice, it is possible to violate the normal rules.
- **Andreas** believes that even a non-binding guideline here in Jena could be helpful, because discussions are currently based solely on gut feelings.
- **Stefan** asks if we can't just stick to the ThürRKG.

- **Lilou** adds that this can also be helpful for the FSRs in guiding them as they prepare the funding approval and in getting a rough estimate of what is realistic.

Katharina Gabriel is leaving the session at 20:24.

Bennet Hartung is leaving the session at 20:24.

- **Max** clarifies that there are also state regulations in Bonn. He explains the procedure they followed: They reviewed the funding approvals (or equivalents) from the past two years and used them to create a sort of table based on the formula "for decision type X, Y € per person is funded (via the FSR-Kom)"
- **Ansgar** asks whether the StuRa or the FSR-Kom should draft the guidelines now.
- **Niklas** believes the responsibility lies primarily with the FSR Committee, since this involves decisions and discussions within the FSR Committee.
- **Kat** adds that the FSRe would also feel sidelined if the StuRa came up with a plan and imposed it on them.
- **Stefan** suggests the title "Handout" instead of 'Guideline' because "Guideline" sounds too prescriptive to him.
- **Kat** responds that a "guideline" is indeed different from a "rule," and that the term "guideline" itself implies a certain degree of optionality. However, the FSRs can certainly agree on precise definitions of these terms.

IOTA 13 → 12 | 20:28 | Session Colour

Discussion

- **Niklas** suggests the surprise color 97/186/254.
- **Tom** suggests LEIFI-purple.
- **Max** suggests (hard-to-read) Willi orange.
- **Marcus** suggests lemon-juice yellow.
- **Anne** suggests #800000.
- **Stefan** suggests the Walter-friends.de blue.
- **Ansgar** suggests Bluefoot Blue.
- **Karl** suggests PAF orange.
- **Chiara** suggests Starfish Orange.

Point of Order from **Andreas**: Opinion on the choice of session color
no objection, Point of Order accepted

Opinions are gathered about which should be the session color for the current session.
Result: 6 Surprise Color 97/86/254 / 6 LEIFI Purple / 2 (hard-to-read) Willi Orange / 1 Lemon Juice Yellow / 2 #800000 / 3 Walter-friends.de Blue / 4 Bluefoot Blue / 5 PAF Orange / 4 Starfish Orange
→ **Runoff vote between Surprise Color and LEIFI Purple**

Point of Order from **Anne**: Opinion gathering
no objection, Point of Order accepted

Opinions are gathered about which should be the session color for the current session.
Result: 8 Surprise Color 97/86/254 / 9 LEIFI Purple
→ **The theme color for the session will be LEIFI purple.**

We wish everyone who has read this far a great vote.

Jena, October 5, 2026

Chiara Daskiewitsch
Session Leadership

Klara Wilde, Kat Schmidt
Protocol

Begriffserklärung

- StuCo: Student Council
- FSU: Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena
- EAH: Ernst-Abbe-Hochschule Jena
- MoStuCo: Member(s) of the Student Council
- cMoStuCo: consultant Member(s) of the Student Council
- IOTA: Item on the Agenda
- StuBei: Student Advisory Board (of the City of Jena)
- StuWe: Studierendenwerk
- FSR: Student Department Council
- GO: Order of the Student Council
- FinO: Financial Order of the Constituted Student Body
- CZS: Carl-Zeiss-Straße
- UHG: University main building (Fürtstengraben 1)
- EAP: Ernst-Abbe-Platz
- CRJ: Campusradio Jena