



FRIEDRICH-SCHILLER-  
UNIVERSITÄT  
JENA



## Public Record

# 13th Session | StuCo | Term 2025/26

10th March 2026 | 18:17 - 21:16 | SR 114 | Carl-Zeiss-Straße 3

**DISCLAIMER:** *This translated record is not legally binding and just for informational purposes. For the legally binding document see the german version.*

**present MoStuCo:** Nikolai Demisch (18:50 til 20:50), Jil Diercks, Jan Haedicke, Marcus Hansen, Stefan Huber (from 18:28), John Kaube, Willi Kröning, Robert Pohle (til 19:05), Maurice Reitzing (from 19:30), Nele Wagner, Klara Wilde

**excused MoStuCo:** Andreas Bagehorn, Chiara Daskiewitsch, Katharina Gabriel, Bennet Hartung, Niklas Menge, Max Weber, Antonia Zahn

**unexcused MoStuCo:** Carla Franke, Clara Höpfner, Reiner Sandmann, Akin Rosenkranz, Götz Wagner, Paul Weiß

**dormant MoStuCo:** Aenna Fink, Jonah Hohlfeld, Levke Jansen, Smilla Kreuzberg, Luisa Queck, Linda Raschke, Leonard Schönfeld, Luise Stroisch, Henning Sylla, Lenard Thost, Christoph zur Mühlen

**present cMoStuCo:** Tom Daubmann (Deputy Treasurer), Kat Schmidt (Deputy Treasurer)

**Guests:** Ansgar Gude (Trust Person), Max Dietrich (Arbitration Committee), Ruben Urmoneit (Arbitration Committee | til 20:30)

**Executive Board:** Chiara Daskiewitsch, Marcus Hansen, Klara Wilde

## IOTA 01 → 01 | 18:15 | Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-STURA-029-2025\_26 – Canva Pro Annual Subscription Departments (Kat Schmidt)

### Motion Text

See project description

### Discussion

- **Kat** updates on the overall situation: Canva has since recommended the Canva for Campus program to us. Under this program, the university purchases “staff licenses” and receives 50 free student licenses for each staff license. A minimum of 25 staff licenses is required to be accepted into the program. According to Canva, this would be worthwhile for our university, as more than 1 000 Canva accounts already use uni-jena.de email addresses and a large portion of these accounts pay for a Pro subscription.

Kat then did some research; among other things, she called the AStA in Mainz, since Canva had told her that the program had already been successfully implemented there. However, the accounting department in Mainz was not yet aware of this change; the AStA apparently continues to use standard Pro accounts.

Kat then called various offices at our university to find out who is currently using Canva. The underlying assumption was that students would be less likely to use their university email for such an account, since they only have access to that email address during their studies. However, it turned out that none of the offices Kat contacted (University Public Relations, Equal Opportunity, Department 4, Printing Services) currently use Canva. The University Communications team has used Canva in the past but has now switched to the Adob package, which is made available to employees under the framework agreement with TU Ilmenau. Kat then, of course, looked into whether it would be possible for us to be included in this framework agreement as well, but unfortunately, that is not possible.

Kat also asked University Department 2 whether they were aware of any regular payments for Canva (e.g., direct debits, etc.). Department 2 stated that they were not aware of any such payments and that, at most, these might be processed via the credit cards available to the institutes and divisions. The printing service stated that they still occasionally receive print templates designed in Canva, but they were unable to tell Kat whether this is done by specific divisions or working groups. A request to the Software and Procurement Team has so far gone unanswered.

Kat then replied to the contact person at Canva, explaining that any implementation of Canva for Campus – even if the university were to decide to go ahead with it – it would likely be a long-term project and, furthermore (based on our contact with Mainz), it remains unclear to us whether we would benefit from this offer at all, as we do not want students to be provided with private Canva accounts and then have to use these for committee work. That would have exactly the same drawbacks of linking designs to individual accounts, as discussed last week; moreover, it would be difficult to share designs amongst team members, as there would be no

team structure for these private accounts.

The contact person at Canva has not yet replied to this email. Kat therefore currently assumes the following:

The existing Canva Teams subscription can continue as before, i.e. € 90 per account for a total of 5 accounts organised into a team structure. According to the current budget, these accounts are intended for departments, working groups, campus media, the Executive Board and other structures that only need the subscription for a short period, for example (e.g. the Market of Opportunities).

The planned subscription for the FSRs cannot be implemented as a Teams subscription, as this subscription model is no longer available. Consequently, the cost of a standard Pro subscription must be taken into account, i.e. € 110 per account. Currently, 20 student department councils have confirmed that they are interested in the subscription; a further four have yet to respond (the deadline is 11 March). Kat has therefore drawn up three different financial plans for this release of funds: Version 1 assumes that two FSRs share a subscription, Version 2 uses three, and Version 3 uses four. Kat has provided these revised plans to the Executive Board so that they can be discussed during the session and modified if necessary. Kat has also provided the current plan for M-STURA-029 in case any changes need to be made here.

Kat once again advocates combining the discussion on both budget allocations under this agenda item, so as not to prolong the meeting unnecessarily.

- **Max** adds: The question is how much longer the legacy offering will remain in place. This may not be relevant right now, but it should definitely be kept in mind for future decisions.

*Stefan Huber is entering the session at 18:28.*

- **Kat** explains the various financial plans.
- **John** explains that he uses Canva fairly regularly and wonders whether a single Canva subscription wouldn't be enough, as you could create different templates.
- **Kat** explains that, according to users, problems with copying or downloading occur quite frequently. Occasionally, users accidentally corrupt each other's designs.
- **John** imagines that not everyone works within a single account, but rather that a template is created for everyone, which they can each download and then edit in their own (free) accounts.
- **Tom** explains that Canva does not allow an account to use Premium features or edit Premium designs, even if these were created and shared by a Premium account.
- **Ruben** explains that simply providing a single link would very likely cause resentment among the FSRs.
- **Kat** agrees with this view and points out that it is often the case that private individuals from FSRs cover the costs of premium subscriptions themselves. If there are still no premium accounts available for FSRs to use, this system is likely to continue.
- **Ansgar** thinks it sounds as though there are more questions than there were last week. Wouldn't it make more sense to postpone the matter again?
- **Marcus** replies that the next meeting will probably take place on 24 March, which would leave very little time before 28 March (the date of the subscription renewal).
- **Klara** thinks it's certainly possible, but it would be very tight, especially for the finances.

- **Max** explains that, in his view, having three FSRs on one account offers the best value for money.
- **Kat** returns to the subject of urgency and adds that she sees things in much the same way as Klara. However, it would actually be very difficult on 24 March to sort out with all the FSRs who they want to share an account with and which email address should be used. But all of this needs to be decided by 28 March. The only other option Kat could see here would be to ask everyone about their preferences now, for all eventualities. In response to the last query, Kat explains that, as things stand, three FSRs per account work fine. It is difficult to say exactly how well four FSRs per account would work, but it is unlikely to be much worse than three FSRs per account.
- **Ruben** says that we should probably include in the resolution that the FSR-Communication will meet in the near future, perhaps next January, to discuss how well this would work.
- **Kat** suggests, as an alternative, simply running the accounts via StuCo email addresses. These would then need to be set up by the IT team (e.g. Canva1-6), which Kat would first need to clarify. The clear advantage would be that the accounts would not be tied to individual FSRs, which would make the allocation of FSRs to accounts less urgent and also allow for possible new configurations in the future (FSRs joining or leaving).
- **Max** suggests that FSRs located close to one another should share an account. He also suggests that, as part of the terms of use, FSRs should be required to provide feedback on how they are using the subscription, so that the StuCo can assess the effectiveness of the purchase when renewing the annual subscription. **Kat** submits an amendment to this effect on behalf of the FSRs.

*Nikolai Demisch is entering the session at 18:50.*

- **Willi** asks whether it isn't unfair to the FSRs if they lose their Canva if they don't report back.
- **Kat** explains that she does not regard it as unfair if there are terms of use for a service made available to the FSRs. Particularly as there have been several reminders about this and reports submitted after the deadline are still being accepted, she does not see this as a problem. Ultimately, we simply need to know whether the service is being used, as otherwise it is not a sensible use of our funds. We are therefore reliant on feedback.
- **Klara** suggests a compromise: an evaluation will take place in September; FSRs that do not take part will be informed that, in the absence of a response (even if submitted at a later date), they cannot be included in the next subscription cycle.
- **Willi** thinks the change in terms of office means it's still unfair on the new FSR members, as they cannot give any feedback in September. **Marcus** suggests that a second confirmation phase should take place in January. If FSRs really fail to confirm their participation in both rounds and do not respond to a reminder either, then it is clear that they do not wish to continue with the subscription.
- **Ruben** explains that the Student Council is flexible enough to sort this out even if the deadline hasn't been met.
- **Kat** asks if there is any further feedback regarding STURA-029. **Ansgar** notes in this regard that – as discussed last week – the Canva Teams subscription would then actually need to be scaled down accordingly, so that the same or at least a comparable number of structures

share an account. **Kat** agrees with this statement in principle – however, Kat is concerned that downgrading the team subscription would be irreversible and that we would therefore be ruling out or limiting the option of a cheaper subscription version in the future.

- It is being discussed whether it would be possible to transfer individual accounts to FSRs. **Kat** isn't actually sure about this, but would like to give it a try.
- **Nikolai** explains that he doesn't see why we should pay for the Campus Media account as well, given that Campus Media already have such a huge budget.
- **Max** points out that the Akrützel also has to use this money to print a newspaper.
- **Nikolai** replies that Akrützel has a print run of 2 000 copies per issue. This could certainly be reduced, as evidenced by the sighting of various issues of Akrützel lying on the floor of the university buildings.
- **Jan** asks what the issue number of the magazine has to do with Canva.

**Point of Order** from **Max Dietrich**: Return to the topic

*objection* from **Marcus Hansen**: These falsehoods cannot be left unchallenged.

**Voting**: 7 Yes / 0 No / 3 Abstention

**accepted**

### Declaration regarding the vote

**title**: Akrützel-editor-in-chief on #1

**declaring person**: Marcus Hansen

**reading aloud**: yes

**received**: 18:57

**declaration**: The MoStuCo have a say in the number of issues of Akrützel published, specifically whenever a new printing contract is being discussed. However, the view of Akrützel's editors-in-chief is more important to me here than my own personal opinion.

- **Ruben** explains that he is against this – “we” against “them” – especially as it isn't that much money.
- **Kat** suggests that we could try to fit two of the FSR accounts into the five Legacy Teams accounts. This would reduce the number once again and would roughly achieve the numerically equal ratio requested by Ansgar (approximately four active structures per account). However, as mentioned, Kat needs to check whether this is even possible. Furthermore, it would make sense to agree on the same usage requirement for all structures, so the relevant clause should also be added to this resolution text.

**Amendment** from **Max Dietrich**: Add a second sentence: The structures using the subscription must provide feedback on the frequency and productivity of use by September 2026, so that we can better assess the future provision of the service.

**no objection, accepted**

*Robert Pohle is leaving the session at 19:05.*

**Draft Resolution:** The Student Council of the FSU Jena decides the release of funds M-STURA-029-2025\_26 for annual Canva Pro subscriptions for departments, amounting to € 450, from budget item A.09.01.3.1, subject to approval by the finances. The structures using the subscription must provide feedback on the frequency and productivity of use by September 2026, so that we can better assess the future provision of the service.

**Voting:** 8 Yes / 0 No / 1 Abstention

**accepted**

## IOTA 02 → 02 | 19:08 | Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-KOM-015-2025\_26 – Canva Pro Annual Subscription FSRs (Kat Schmidt)

### Motion Text

Hello everyone,

While preparing the release of funds for the FSR-Communication, we were unsure whether two FSRs could share the same account, so we initially budgeted for one subscription per FSR. I will provide an updated financial plan during the session that also takes into account the feedback received from the FSRs by that time.

On February 18, 2026, the FSR Committee voted 16-0-1 in favor of releasing the funds, with a total approved amount of € 2 250.

You can find all further details in the project description. The financial plan has already been updated.

Best regards  
The Executive Board

### Discussion

- This discussion has already taken place under the previous IOTA.
- **Kat** points out that Andreas's amendment from last week has been deferred and therefore still needs to be put to the vote.

**amendment from Andreas Bagehorn:** Change the total amount to € 450

**Voting:** 3 Yes / 6 No / 0 Abstention

**rejected**

**Amendment from Kat Schmidt:** Add a second sentence: The FSRs using the subscription must provide feedback on the frequency and productivity of use by September 2026, so that we can better assess the future provision of the service.

**no objection, accepted**

**Point of Order from Kat Schmidt:** Gathering of opinions on which of the three amounts is preferred.

**Objection from Klara Wilde:** Is this a preliminary ruling?

**withdrawn**

- A lengthy discussion on the interpretation of the Order of the StuCo, which ultimately concluded that the members of the Arbitration Committee present do not see any problem with it.

**Point of Order from Jil Diercks:** Gathering of opinions on which of the three amounts is preferred.  
**no objection, Point of Order accepted**

**Opinions are gathered about which of the three amounts, with the corresponding financial plan, is preferred: € 1,320 (two FSRs per account), € 880 (three FSRs per account) or € 660 (four FSRs per account)**

**Result:** 1320€: 4; 880€: 4; 660€: 5

**amendment from Kat Schmidt:** In accordance with the committee's decision, please amend the approved amount to € 660.

**Voting:** 4 Yes / 2 No / 3 Abstention

**accepted**

**Draft Resolution:** The Student Council of the FSU Jena decides the release of funds M-KOM-015-2025\_26 for annual Canva Pro subscriptions for FSRs, amounting to € 660, from budget item A.01.35, subject to approval by the finances. The FSRs using the subscription must provide feedback on the frequency and productivity of use by September 2026, so that we can better assess the future provision of the service.

**Voting:** 9 Yes / 0 No / 0 Abstention

**accepted**

## IOTA 03 → 03 | 19:19 | Discussion & Decision: More Matrix Messages with MoStuCo (Karl Weber)

### Motion Text

Dear cMoStuCo and MoStuCo,

Wouldn't it be great to chat via instant messenger instead of always writing an email just to clarify something quickly?

Since potentially confidential information is exchanged through this medium, I would suggest using a space on the university's Matrix server ([chat.uni-jena.de](https://chat.uni-jena.de)) for this purpose. At FSR PAF, we switched three months ago, and everyone—especially PAFLove—is very happy.

The major advantages of Matrix ([matrix.org](https://matrix.org) | <https://github.com/matrix-org>) are that the entire service runs on the university's servers. Additionally, chats are end-to-end encrypted.

Best regards

Karl Weber

### Discussion

- **Klara** reads out the text provided by Andreas:

“Dear MoStuCo, dear Executive Board, most beloved Fri(e)da,

Every time the discussion about messaging platforms comes up, the same old arguments resurface. As I am unfortunately unable to attend the session, I would therefore like to briefly address these points here.

In this regard, I hope we can all agree, first and foremost, that all committee work will eventually switch to Matrix/Element. After all, it is not just that data protection is a greater priority here than with other services. The entire administration and storage are handled internally by the university. This means we will be independent of companies whose interests do not align with those of the student body and which, for the most part, are also based outside the EU. In the current climate, it should by now be clear to everyone why this is desirable.

So let's move on to the usual 'counterarguments':

**That's another messaging app.** I totally get that you don't want to have to use yet another messaging app. But guess what? I managed without Telegram for years right up until this term. And besides, every service has people who don't use it. So that's not really a counterargument: it just makes a case for using Matrix.

Because once we reach the point where Matrix is used across all committees, this problem will no longer exist. And we, as the Student Council, have the opportunity to lead by example.

**Emails actually work really well.** Of course. Emails are fucking awesome! That's why nobody wants to get rid of them. However, there are situations where emails reach their limits, especially when it comes to quick updates or coordination. That's where Matrix comes in.

**Hardly anyone uses Matrix.** That's exactly the problem! But if nobody takes the first step – 'because nobody uses it' – then things will never change. Let's be the beacon of progress and take a step in the right direction.

**It's all so complicated/Not everyone is tech-savvy.** In my experience, using Matrix is practically identical to using similar services. I also know that there are a few Matrix enthusiasts among us who are more than happy to offer a helping hand. Although this shouldn't usually be necessary. Just to give you an idea: 1. Go to chat.uni-jena.de 2. Enter your URZ login details 3. Profit.

So please don't be misled by the poor public perception. We have a real opportunity here to steer the work of the committees in a positive, sustainable direction. Let's take this step together!

- Andreas or Karl (I'm not entirely sure myself)"

**Point of Order from Marcus Hansen:** non-referral

*objection* from **Willi Kröning:** formally

**Voting:** 2 Yes / 6 No / 1 Abstention

**rejected**

- **John** explains that he's not at all tech-savvy, but he did exactly as described and it works. He's in favour of it.
- **Nikolai** also likes Matrix, as he is in favour of using European/German companies. He is in favour of data being stored in Europe.
- **Ansgar** points out that it is not a European company – however, the data is in fact stored within the university's systems.
- **Klara** is reminding everyone once again that all students already have a Matrix account.
- **Max** would also like to add that using the infrastructure sends a positive signal to the university.
- **John** asks what the board's view is, as they will have to deal with this more closely.
- **Klara** says she would be happy if we didn't have to use Telegram anymore in future.
- **Marcus** gives up his resistance.
- **Willi** says that Karl will be pleased.

**Draft Resolution:** The Student Council of the FSU Jena decides to use the university's Matrix server as a direct messaging platform for internal communication.

**Voting:** 8 Yes / 0 No / 1 Abstention

**accepted**

## **IOTA 04 → 04 | 19:28 | Discussion & Decision: Cease-and-desist letter Volunteer Reception (Executive Board)**

### **Motion Text**

Hello everyone,

We received two cease-and-desist letters on 2 March 2026. We now wish to discuss these at the Student Council session so that we can decide whether or not to sign the relevant declarations of discontinuance.

As the Executive Board and Finances, our main concern at present is the vague wording of the statements. We have therefore drafted the text of the resolution below, setting out our proposed amendments. Alternative proposals are, of course, welcome at any time.

You can find the full letters in the non-public session materials. These should also give you a deeper insight into the issues and background.

In addition, in the event that the committee decides to have the cease-and-desist declarations signed, we have prepared a release of funds for the required costs under the following agenda item.

Best regards

The Executive Board

### **Discussion**

- **Klara** presents the motion and explains that we have requested an extension of the deadline because the StuCo sessions were badly timed. At the volunteers' reception, a word cloud was used to ask what motivates people. In doing so, a problematic phrase was used, which is now the subject of this cease-and-desist letter.

Regarding the letter, Klara explains that the message did not actually come from Willi; Willi had simply tried, together with Peter, to lighten the tense atmosphere a little. Klara also points out that, in her email – which is also mentioned in the letter – she made various proposals for addressing the matter. However, she received no response to these proposals, so none of them have been implemented to date, as she naturally did not wish to draw further attention to the matter through such a process without first discussing it with the person concerned.

In summary, Klara believes that there were clearly a number of misunderstandings which have now led to this unfortunate situation.

Klara also points out formal issues with the letter, which are already addressed in the draft resolution. Furthermore, Klara feels that the wording regarding “tolerance” is too vague: would this already include private dissemination of the statement, something of which the StuCo is completely unaware and over which it has no influence?

*Maurice Reitzig is entering the session at 19:30.*

- **Nikolai** asks how legally binding this cease-and-desist letter is and whether this has already been checked.

- **John** asks whether this is indeed this year's volunteers' reception, as the letter mentions 2025. **Klara** confirms that it is, so it must be a typo.
- **Ruben** asks whether the letter came from a lawyer. The answer is yes.
- **John** finds it difficult to accept that the Student Council should be held accountable for statements made by an individual. He feels the same way about Akrützel.
- **Klara** agrees with John on the first point, but not on the second, as the Akrützel is ultimately represented by the student body.
- **Max** explains that this statement wasn't even visible on the final version of the slide. Those present considered other points to be far more important.
- **Klara** explains that there was no longer any direct access to the word cloud once the next link was displayed.
- **Nikolai** strongly advises against giving in on this matter, as it could haunt the student body for a long time to come. The Student Council should take a firm stance here.
- **Klara** asks how we intend to proceed. She stresses that, should the Student Council vote against signing the cease-and-desist letter, we will in any case need to approve funding for any legal fees sooner or later.
- **Nikolai** explains that he is in favour of rejecting this cease-and-desist letter.
- **Max** would like to reiterate that the comment was indeed understood by everyone present as satire and was therefore not taken as an actual accusation.
- **Klara** explains that she would still be willing to carry out the proposed follow-up tasks to ensure that this is fully achieved.

*Willi Kröning is leaving the session at 20:05.*

*Nele Wagner is leaving the session at 20:05.*

- **Max** would be in favour of postponing the decision until more detailed advice can be obtained from the lawyer.
- **John** is against signing; he also believes that the potential financial risk of signing the cease-and-desist letter is significantly greater than any legal fees.

*Willi Kröning is entering the session at 20:09.*

*Nele Wagner is entering the session at 20:09.*

- **Nele** believes that holding a vote at this stage would be irresponsible.
- **Stefan** asks whether rejecting the text of the resolution would mean that the declaration would not be signed at all. **Klara** confirms this.
- **John** thinks a rejection would be more effective in sending a message. He is therefore in favour of a vote.
- **Max** suggests, as an alternative, that the board be given a free hand in this decision.
- **Klara** explains that she is against being given free rein. She doesn't want to be held responsible in the end for having to make a decision on her own.
- **Kat** adds that, very recently – or rather, during the review process – a general decision was taken to always put such matters before the committee. In general, it is better to maintain

as much transparency as possible with the Student Council; the Executive Board should not make any decisions on its own in this regard.

- **Ansgar** believes that rejecting the resolution does not necessarily mean deciding not to sign the declaration.
- **Nikolai** understands the desire to postpone the decision, but does not want to wait another whole month for a decision to be made here. He is also opposed to leaving the board to make this decision on its own.
- **The Executive Board** states that due to asterisk-IOTA, it is very, very likely that another meeting will have to be held in March. The meeting is currently scheduled for 24 March.
- **Stefan** believes that a vote at this stage is appropriate; if necessary, a different or further decision can be taken in two weeks' time. The committee has now been sufficiently informed about the risk of legal proceedings.

**Point of Order from John Kaube:** Immediate vote

**no objection, Point of Order accepted**

**Draft Resolution:** The Student Council of the FSU Jena decides to request an amendment to the cease-and-desist declaration in the following respects:

1. The correct name of the contracting party: Student body of Friedrich Schiller University Jena, represented by the Executive Board
2. An amendment which clearly stipulates that the student body can only undertake to restrict the official actions of its committees and sub-structures in the manner specified in the contract. The actions of private individuals, even if they are members of the student body, cannot be monitored or regulated. This is due to the special nature of the student body as the representative body of all students.

If these points are accepted and implemented by the other party, the Executive Committee may sign the amended document in its capacity as the legal representative of the Student Body.

Any counter-proposal that deviates from these demands or has been amended in any other way must be approved once again by the committee.

**Voting:** 1 Yes / 8 No / 1 Abstention

**rejected**

## **IOTA 05 → 05 | 20:22 | Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-STURA-030-2025\_26 – Attorney fees (Executive Board)**

### **Motion Text**

see project description

### **Discussion**

- **Kat** takes this opportunity to point out that, according to the legal costs calculator, the total cost risk in the event of an actual court case at first instance would be € 2 031.19. Including any late payment charges, the worst-case scenario here would be around € 2 200.
- As we have refused to sign the cease-and-desist declaration, it naturally makes no sense at present to pay the opposing party's legal costs associated with it either. **Kat**, however, is in favour of leaving the release of funds open for the time being, rather than rejecting it. This way, it can still be used or rejected in the future.

**Point of Order from Willi Kröning: Postponement**

**no objection, Point of Order accepted**

## **IOTA 06 → 06 | 20:24 | Discussion & Decision: Cease-and-desist letter Frieta (Executive Board)**

### **Motion Text**

Hello everyone,

We received two cease-and-desist letters on 2 March 2026. We now wish to discuss these at the Student Council session so that we can decide whether or not to sign the relevant declarations of discontinuance.

As the Executive Board and Finances, our main concern at present is the vague wording of the statements. We have therefore drafted the text of the resolution below, setting out our proposed amendments. Alternative proposals are, of course, welcome at any time.

You can find the full letters in the non-public session materials. These should also give you a deeper insight into the issues and background.

In addition, in the event that the committee decides to have the cease-and-desist declarations signed, we have prepared a release of funds for the required costs under the following agenda item.

Best regards

The Executive Board

### **Discussion**

- **Klara** explains the motion. She does not understand why the cease-and-desist notice comes from two private individuals rather than from Frieta GbR. It is alleged that falsehoods were discussed at a session in April 2024. Furthermore, it is claimed that falsehoods were contained in the session materials, although these materials were amended prior to the session. A cease-and-desist notice was subsequently issued. To this end, discussions were held with the Legal Department by the Executive Board at the time. However, in hindsight, there were staff changes in both the Legal Department and the Executive Board at around the same time, meaning that the fact that something else was due to follow was overlooked. The Akrützel article in question contains only one statement in the subjunctive, which is said to have been made at the relevant Student Council session.
- **Max** asks what those statements were supposed to be.
- **Kat** and **Klara** explain the statements allegedly made, based on the lawyer's letter.
- **Max** wonders to what extent anyone would still be talking about Frieta and Frieta 2.0 these days.
- **Nikolai** asks whether we said at the time, "We'll pay for that." Klara explains that no one ever said, "We'll pay for that.", neither the board nor the committee. Nikolai adds that we cannot be held responsible for the Akrützel's mistakes. He asks whether the Akrützel could be given more autonomy, so that it would no longer be considered part of the StuCo's structure and the StuCo would no longer have to take responsibility for statements made there.
- **Klara** replies that this would be difficult to implement, as the Akrützel is currently a StuCo

project.

**Point of Order from Tom Daubmann:** Return to the topic

*objection* from **John Kaube:** formal

**Voting:** 8 Yes / 2 No / 0 Abstention

**accepted**

- **Max** asks who is responsible for ensuring compliance with press law. The board is currently unsure about this, and further enquiries are being made.
- **John** is not, in principle, strongly opposed to this cease-and-desist letter, as the points are defined much more clearly and would not restrict our current work.
- **Max** asks what the legal position is exactly. He is in favour of seeking legal advice in this matter as well, before anything is signed.
- **Ansgar** sees no reason why this cease-and-desist letter should be treated differently from the previous one.

*Nikolai is leaving the session at 20:42.*

- **Klara** explains that this specifically concerns the Frieta and Frieta 2.0 events, not future Frieta events.
- **Kat** draws attention to the wording “costs that have been incurred or will be incurred”, which could lead to future payment obligations.
- **John** replies that this still refers to Frieta and Frieta 2.0. However, we should indeed change the wording to make it clearer.
- **Max** points out that the StuCo Executive Board must then ensure, at all times, that the matter is not overlooked. He fears institutional amnesia.
- **Stefan** agrees with John that these statements only apply to Frieta and Frieta 2.0. He asks what will happen if we adopt the resolution, as we will still be past the deadline.
- **Kat** points out that we requested an extension in both cases. The reason given was that the Student Council had to meet twice before a vote could be taken on the agenda item.
- **Klara** adds that we have asked for a one-month extension.

**Point of Order from Stefan:** Postponement

**no objection, Point of Order accepted**

## **IOTA 07 → 07 | 20:52 | Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-STURA-031-2025\_26 – Attorney fees (Executive Board)**

### **Motion Text**

see project description

### **Discussion**

- **Kat** takes this opportunity to provide information on the total cost risk; Juris suggests a figure of € 2115.37 (for two opposing clients), and including any additional costs, the total would then be around € 2300.

**Point of Order from Willi Kröning: Postponement**

**no objection, Point of Order accepted**

**IOTA 08 → 08 | 20:53 | Reports****Tom reports from his work**

- **Tom** realised 90 minutes ago that he had made a big mistake, and he is very annoyed.
- Unfortunately, due to Tom's frustration and the poor acoustics, it remains unclear exactly what mistake Tom made.

**Marcus reports from the executive board**

- On 5 March 2026, a meeting with Department 4 finally took place again. Unfortunately, they were unable to provide much information regarding the quiet rooms, as this falls under the remit of the Occupational Safety Unit. However, they are still searching for a suitable room in CZS 3. They have, however, found a room that can be shared with the Nightline. We have passed this offer on to the Nightline and are currently awaiting their response. There is also news regarding Frei(t)raum. There are now specific conditions under which food sharing can resume. One of these is a written agreement with Department 4 or the University. Ideally, this should also be co-signed by the Student Body. Many of our requests for improvements to the room (walls, lighting, rewiring, painting...) can be implemented. Someone else from Department 4 will be in touch with us regarding this.
- Applications for the Election Committee are open until 18 March – please apply and/or spread the word! Otherwise, the committee elections will be difficult to organise. You can also stand for election if you are a member of the Election Committee.

**Max** asks what exactly the Election Committee does.

**Kat** replies that, when she asked a similar question, she was told that (apart from the chair) it wouldn't involve an enormous amount of work, at least if the StuCo decides to hold online elections again.

**Willi** adds that this should mainly involve a few meetings with the legal department (or Rüttger) and the drafting of the relevant documents.

- We have received invitations to several events, including an invitation to an FES conference on Progressive Economic Policy Day 2026 and an invitation to the Local Government Conference organised by the Green Party's parliamentary group in the Bundestag. Please do get in touch if you are interested.
- We have also received an invitation for our delegates to the Paradies 21 steering group and have reminded them of this.
- The Diversity Office has asked us if we would like to take part in Diversity Day with a stand or an activity; the event will take place on 19 May from 11am to 3pm. If you are interested, please do get in touch with us.

**Klara** announces that we should gather opinions on whether we can and want to run a stand by the next session at the latest. She therefore asks everyone to give it some thought.

**Willi** does not see the point of a general StuCo stand, but would prefer that our relevant departments (Queerparadies, Social Affairs, Diversity, IntRo) run a stand either jointly or individually, as this is where the specialist knowledge lies.

**Klara** says that Queerparadies has already been contacted via email, but it could certainly still be forwarded to the other departments.

- We have been asked if we would like to take part in the Market of International Opportunities on 15 April. If you are interested, please do get in touch with us; we need to give our response shortly.

**Klara** asks if anyone would be interested. The silence is deafening. Klara will therefore send out another email, perhaps even contact IntRo again, and then provide an update by the end of the week.

- There will be a meeting of the Student Health Steering Group tomorrow at 9.30 am. Marcus will be attending.
- We have received a press release from the AStA at the University of Frankfurt regarding the exclusion of bookshops from the German Booksellers' Award ceremony.
- Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions.

### **Klara reports from the extended executive committee of the university**

- At the last meeting of the Extended Executive Committee on 9 March 2026, there was extensive discussion regarding Framework Agreement VI, the associated financial uncertainties, and how the university intends to address them. The University Leadership has drawn up "guard rails" which will ultimately serve as a basis for the specific cost-saving measures. The current timetable runs until December, and there are to be regular consultations with the faculties, departments and committees.
- **Several people** are making silly jokes about guard rails.
- **Kat** asks whether there are any issues in this regard that the student body should examine more closely, and whether it would therefore make sense to set up a working group. **Klara** replies that, unfortunately, very little concrete information is currently available – neither figures nor specific topics.

### **Willi reports on the breakthrough**

- **Willi** has rearranged the office and is looking forward to connecting the executive board and finance offices with a door.

### **Nele talks about her resignation**

- **Nele** has handed in her resignation, effective 27 April 2026.

### **Marcus talks about his resignation, which he has not yet submitted**

- **Marcus** has announced that he will step down on 13 April so that a new board can be elected on 14 April. He has asked the members of the StuCo to consider whether they might like to join the board in future. It's great fun for the whole family.

**Kat talks about her current project, the cellar**

- **Kat** asked Department 4 during our meeting last week whether there is a room in the basement of CZS 3 (possibly the former quiet room) that could be used as a larger storage space for the FSRs. The reason for the enquiry was that some FSRs have no offices, or only very small ones, where storage is not really possible. Unfortunately, it doesn't look as though there are any vacant rooms at the moment. One possibility, however, would be for us to offer to swap with another group for the current FSR-Communication storage room – this room isn't ideal for us because, although it's high up, it isn't very deep, and, more importantly, we can't really put heavy items on the top shelves. However, if there are any university departments that, for whatever reason, have 3-metre-long posts or similar items in storage, it is quite possible that they would welcome the opportunity to move them to a new location. Kat is therefore asking everyone to consider whether they are in contact with any such department.

## **IOTA 09 → 09 | 20:49 | Determination of the Quorum and Discussion & Decision of the Agenda**

### **Determination of a quorum**

- Of the **35** elected MoStuCo members, **11** have suspended their mandates. This leaves **24** MoStuCo members eligible to vote.
- A quorum is reached when **13** MdStuRa members eligible to vote are present. A two-thirds majority is achieved with **16** yes votes from the voting MoStuCo members present.
- There are **09** voting MoStuCo members present; the body is therefore **not quorate**. A two-thirds majority is **not possible**.

### **Preliminary Agenda**

- TOP 1 \*Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-STURA-029-2025\_26 – Canva Pro Annual Subscription Departments (Kat Schmidt)
- TOP 2 \*Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-KOM-015-2025\_26 – Canva Pro Annual Subscription FSRs (Kat Schmidt)
- TOP 3 \*Discussion & Decision: More Matrix Messages with MoStuCo (Karl Weber)
- TOP 4 \*Discussion & Decision: Cease-and-desist letter Volunteer Reception (Executive Board)
- TOP 5 \*Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-STURA-030-2025\_26 – Attorney fees (Executive Board)
- TOP 6 \*Discussion & Decision: Cease-and-desist letter Frieta (Executive Board)
- TOP 7 \*Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-STURA-031-2025\_26 – Attorney fees (Executive Board)
- TOP 8 Reports
- TOP 9 Determination of the Quorum and Discussion & Decision of the Agenda
- TOP 10 4. Reading & Decision: Amendments about Employment Contracts (Internal Affairs Department and Management)
- TOP 11 Discussion & Decision: ballot vote semester ticket (Department SemTiX)
- TOP 12 Discussion & Election: Editor-in-Chief Campusradio (Executive Board)
- TOP 13 Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-STURA-032-2025\_26 – Total cost risk lawsuit Person 6 / Student Body (Executive Board)
- TOP 14 Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-STURA-033-2025\_26 – Rest cost risk lawsuit Person 4 / Student Body (Executive Board)

TOP 15 Discussion & Election: Chief Delegation KTS (Executive Board)

TOP 16 Discussion & Election: Deputy Delegation KTS (Executive Board)

TOP 17 Discussion & Decision: Annual Financial Statements 2021 Q1 (Executive Board)

TOP 18 Discussion: Next Session

TOP 19 Miscellaneous

TOP 20 Discussion: Session Colour

## **Discussion**

- At a board meeting, the executive board recognised the urgency of including an agenda item for discussion and decision-making regarding the election procedure for committee elections; the executive board proposes that this be added as a NEW IOTA 18.
- The agenda was not adopted due to the lack of a quorum.

## **IOTA 10 → 10 | 21:14 | Next Session**

### **Discussion**

- Klara suggests the week after next, i.e. 24 March 2026.
- There are no objections.
- Kat would like to thank the people who have turned up for the third week in a row.

## **IOTA 11 → 11 | 21:15 | Miscellaneous**

- There is nothing else.

## **IOTA 12 → 12 | 21:16 | Session Colour**

### **Discussion**

- The session colour for this session will once again be chosen by the protocol.

*🍄 We wish everyone who has read this far the best of luck during exam season.  
We hope this little break from procrastination was both informative and entertaining. 🍄*

**Jena, April 18, 2026**

---

**Klara Wilde**  
*Session Leadership*

---

**Kat Schmidt, Marcus Hansen**  
*Protocol*

### **Begriffserklärung**

- StuCo: Student Council
- FSU: Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena
- EAH: Ernst-Abbe-Hochschule Jena
- MoStuCo: Member(s) of the Student Council
- cMoStuCo: consultant Member(s) of the Student Council
- IOTA: Item on the Agenda
- StuBei: Student Advisory Board (of the City of Jena)
- StuWe: Studierendenwerk
- FSR: Student Department Council
- GO: Order of the Student Council
- FinO: Financial Order of the Constituted Student Body
- CZS: Carl-Zeiss-Straße
- UHG: University main building (Fürstengraben 1)
- EAP: Ernst-Abbe-Platz
- CRJ: Campusradio Jena