



## Public Record

# 12th Session | StuCo | Term 2025/26

03rd March 2026 | 18:25 - 20:53 | SR 114 | Carl-Zeiss-Straße 3

***DISCLAIMER: This translated record is not legally binding and just for informational purposes. For the legally binding document see the german version.***

**present MoStuCo:** Andreas Bagehorn, Marcus Hansen, Clara Höpfner (18:30 til 20:49), Stefan Huber, Willi Kröning, Niklas Menge, Maurice Reitzing (from 18:30), Akin Rosenkranz, Paul Weiß, Klara Wilde, Antonia Zahn

**excused MoStuCo:** Chiara Daskiewitsch, Jil Diercks, Katharina Gabriel, Jan Haedicke, Bennet Hartung, John Kaube, Robert Pohle, Nele Wagner, Max Weber

**unexcused MoStuCo:** Carla Franke, Reiner Sandmann, Götz Wagner

**dormant MoStuCo:** Nikolai Demisch, Aenna Fink, Jonah Hohlfeld, Levke Jansen, Smilla Kreuzberg, Luisa Queck, Linda Raschke, Leonard Schönfeld, Luise Stroisch, Henning Sylla, Lenard Thost, Christoph zur Mühlen

**present cMoStuCo:** Tom Daubmann (Deputy Treasurer), Florentine Derbitz (CRJ), Salty Eisenhauer (Department of Cultural Affairs | til 19:50), Kat Schmidt (Deputy Treasurer), Torsten Steinbrecher (System Administration | til 20:00)

**Guests:** Lilou GläÙ, Ansgar Gude, Hannah Bachmann (18:40 til 19:22), Nikku Kohan (til 20:00)

**Executive Board:** Chiara Daskiewitsch, Marcus Hansen, Klara Wilde

## IOTA 01 → 01 | 18:25 | Discussion & Election: Trust Person (Executive Board)

### Motion Text

Hello everyone,

We want to fill the last position of trust persons.

A few sessions ago, we already elected the trust persons for the StuCo and the FSRs; now we would like to elect the trust person for the StuCo departments.

We introduced this role during the last term, and it has proven to be very helpful and valuable. That is why we would like to fill this position again.

Best regards,

The Executive Board

### Discussion

- **Salty** introduces themselves: Salty is a head of the department of cultural affairs and a member of the teacher training department, and would very much like to take on the role because it is an important and necessary position. A discussion with a previous trust person regarding the responsibilities has already taken place.

*Salty Eisenhauer is leaving the session at 18:29.*

*Salty Eisenhauer is entering the session at 18:30.*

**Amendment from Marcus Hansen:** replace “\_\_\_\_\_” with Salty Eisenhauer  
**no objection, accepted**

**Point of Order from Andreas Bagehorn:** Secret Ballot  
**no objections allowed → Point of Order accepted**

**Mandate Review and Counting Commission** consisting of **Stefan Hubert, Andreas Bagehorn, Willi Kröning**  
**no objections**

*Maurice Reitzig is entering the session at 18:30.*

*Clara Höpfner is entering the session at 18:30.*

**Draft Resolution:** The Student Council of the FSU Jena appoints Salty Eisenhauer as trust person for the StuCo.

**Voting:** 8 Yes / 0 No / 3 Abstention / 0 Invalid  
**appointed, accepts**

## IOTA 02 → 02 | 18:35 | Discussion & Election: IT/System Administration small (Executive Board)

### Motion Text

Hello everyone,

The position for IT/System Administration small was advertised. We received two applications. You can find the application materials in the non-public session materials.

### Discussion

- **Nikku** introduces herself. Nikku has already gotten a little taste of the work and could definitely see herself continuing with it.
- **Willi** asks if Nikku already has any projects in mind that she would like to work on.
- **Nikku** replies that a fix for a specific folder color is currently in the works. Nikku would also like to continue working on the Nextcloud. Nikku could also see themselves revising the StuCo Wiki or its tech pages. In general, though, Nikku is simply happy to tackle whatever tasks come up.

*The Public is leaving the session at 18:39.*

*Hannah Bachmann is entering the session at 18:40.*

- **Hannah** has just arrived and would like to briefly introduce herself. Hannah studied computer science in recent years and would like to return to the IT field. She has already gained experience in volunteer work, particularly in the area of political activism.
- **Niklas** asks whether Hannah has any experience in system administration, that is, managing multiple accounts.
- **Hannah** explains that she hasn't done that yet, in that sense.
- **Willi** asks if Hannah has any projects in mind that she'd like to work on.
- **Hannah** explains that her main goal is to make things easier for those who come after her—that is, people working in IT and people using the technology.
- **Willi** points out that this is a personnel matter and that the public must therefore be excluded.

*Hannah Bachmann is leaving the session at 18:43.*

*It is being discussed internally.*

*The Public is entering the session at 18:51.*

### Election

**Mandate Review and Counting Commission** consisting of **Stefan Hubert, Andreas Bagehorn and Willi Kröning**

**no objections**

**Draft Resolution:** The Student Council of the FSU Jena elects the following position: System Administration (small).

**Voting:** 1 Hannah / 9 Nikku / 0 No / 1 Abstention / 0 Invalid

**Nikku is elected, accepts**

## IOTA 03 → 03 | 18:57 | Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-STURA-028-2025\_26 – Hard Drive Upgrade for Server Infrastructure (Willi Kröning)

### Motion Text

Hello everyone,

The IT team has some suggestions for improving the reliability of the StuCo infrastructure. These proposals can be implemented one after another and independently of one another.

GaLieGrü

Willi

### Discussion

- **Willi** has submitted the release of funds, but does not feel technically qualified to provide further details here. He is therefore asking the IT team to take care of this for him.
- **Torsten** explains that we need to purchase hard drives, as this hasn't been done in quite some time and the available storage space is slowly running out. In addition, Torsten does not consider the current backup system ideal, since we only have a single backup in the same server cabinet. Therefore, Torsten would like to set up a second backup, which requires additional hard drives. Hard drives are also ideal for data storage because they are inexpensive and offer a lot of storage space.
- Looking ahead, this purchase also makes a lot of sense, as it ensures that an alternative is available in the event of any failures in the currently used electronics, which can then be quickly installed.
- **Willi** explains that this expenditure will cause us to exceed the budget limit for this category. We can exceed the limit by 1.5 times during the fiscal year, but we'll have to figure out where to get the money from at the end of the fiscal year.
- Follow-up from **Niklas**: By how much would that extend the title? **Willi** thinks it must be an overdraft of about €1 000.
- **Niklas** asks why the same type of hard drive is consistently purchased only for the server. Wouldn't it make more sense to always use the same type so that they are more easily interchangeable?
- **Torsten** replies that it is indeed best practice to use different hard drives so that they don't all fail at the same time. However, this isn't as critical for the server, since the hard drives are supposed to be replaced gradually and therefore shouldn't all fail at the same time.
- **Paul** would like to know if the finances already have a rough idea of which budget line the funds should be drawn from.
- **Willi** is considering the title "Revenues of the FSRs".
- **Stefan** explains that he thinks this purchase makes sense, especially since the price of hard drives can rise at short notice.

- **Torsten** explains that, at least when it comes to RAM, no improvement is in sight for the next few years.
- **Marcus** replies that hard drives are also unlikely to get any cheaper in the coming years due to the AI bubble.

**Amendment from Kat Schmidt:** Delete “subject to approval by the Finances” from the resolution text, as this has now been granted.

**no objection, accepted**

**Draft Resolution:** The Student Council of the FSU Jena decides to allocate € 3 600 from budget line A.09.01.3.3 “Computer Technology of the Student Council – Miscellaneous” for the purchase of new hard drives to expand the server infrastructure.

**Voting:** 11 Yes / 0 No / 0 Abstention

**accepted**

## IOTA 04 → 04 | 19: | Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-STURA-029-2025\_26 – Canva Pro Annual Subscription Departments (Kat Schmidt)

### Motion Text

See project description

### Discussion

- **Kat** presents the motion. The proposal is to purchase Canva Pro subscriptions for various student structures. Three years ago, her FSR was not permitted to obtain such a subscription; in January, Kat learned that the departments have had such a subscription for a year now. She would like to continue this and offer all departments the opportunity to participate, with at least two or three departments sharing an account. The same applies to the campus media; Akrützel has already responded positively, as one person is currently covering these costs privately. These organizations are listed in M-STURA-029. At the same time, M-KOM-015 is being submitted, which covers the costs for the FSRs. This was drafted jointly at the FSR-Communication meeting.
- **Kat** It is currently uncertain whether the figures from the upcoming release of funds for the FSRs can remain as they are, since Canva has changed its subscription system. Kat is currently in contact with Canva Support regarding this matter. She was offered Canva Education, but she believes this was a misunderstanding. Therefore, she recommends postponing at least the agenda item regarding the FSRs and not making a decision until more information is available. She has already adjusted the budget so that two FSRs would share an account, resulting in a total cost of only € 1 170. However, as mentioned, the overall situation remains unclear. The existing subscription for the departments could likely continue as before, so this could be decided today. Only a few specific details regarding the allocation of the five accounts, which are already reflected in the budget, would change. Kat therefore does not consider it important whether this funding approval is also postponed or decided upon today. She advocates holding the discussion on both funding approvals under this IOTA and not reopening the discussion under the next IOTA.
- **Niklas** asks how many designs were created last year using the current subscription. **Salty** replies that the departments for teacher training and culture created at least forty. **Marcus** says that Queerparadies should also show similar numbers.
- **Niklas** says that, in total, fewer than forty designs from all departments were posted on Instagram and asks what else Canva is used for. **Klara** replies that these can include flyers, posters, and printed materials, for example. This was done, for instance, for the Market of Opportunities. Various other designs can also be created on Canva; this was done, for example, for the handouts in October.
- **Marcus** adds that even many of the designs posted on Instagram are no longer available, since they were shared only as Stories. A review of the non-temporary posts is therefore insufficient to compile a definitive list.

- **Niklas** asks why Adobe wasn't listed as a comparable quote.
- **Kat** explains that the Adobe plan she found would be significantly more expensive at € 25 per month and therefore isn't really a good comparison.
- **Andreas** asks why people don't use free alternatives.
- **Akin** asks how many Canva Pro accounts there would be. **Kat** answers: The departments would retain 5 accounts. As things stand, the FSRs would have 13 accounts if two FSRs each shared one account.
- **Akin** also responds to Andreas and Niklas's questions by noting that Canva is significantly easier to use, especially for people without prior experience. This is a clear difference from vector graphics programs. Akin believes that the basics of graphic design should be part of the committee's toolkit. The FSRs should also have access to this. He also advocates using Pro versions rather than Business versions.
- **Salty** agrees with Akin. Inkscape, in particular, is definitely too complicated for people who currently use Canva, especially when it comes to the graphic design skills required.

*Hannah Bachmann is leaving the session at 19:22.*

- **Andreas** generally understands the point of Canva. So what's the advantage of the Pro version?
- **Klara** replies that the Pro version simply offers significantly more options when it comes to design templates. Certain features, such as image editing, are also completely behind the paywall.
- **Stefan** adds that the designs can also be exported in significantly higher quality.
- **Niklas** explains that you can achieve much better quality with Inkscape—even he can create nice designs with it. Over the past few years, hundreds of free designs have been created using Inkscape at the FMI. He generally asks what value Canva Pro offers in terms of cost-effectiveness. He believes that such offers are intended for companies that produce a massive number of Instagram Stories.
- **Kat** points out that using personal accounts not only involves spending personal funds, but also means that old design templates may eventually become unavailable due to staff changes and would then have to be recreated, which would entail additional work. Kat would also like to reiterate that she is currently in contact with Canva Support and is still working out which offer would be the best fit for us. She has recently been offered Canva Education, but she believes this is based on a misunderstanding due to a cultural or language barrier, so Kat would like to clarify this further first.
- **Marcus** explains that, ultimately, this debate is similar to the one about the semester ticket. Many students have to use their own money, even though we could provide this service to our students for free through our committee work.
- **Salty** understands Niklas and Andreas' concerns. They explain that Canva is a user-friendly option for a design program. It's fairly straightforward when it comes to copyright and simplifies the work of the various committees.
- **Akin** adds that, following Niklas' line of reasoning, we would be better off not funding anything at all. Akin sees it as our responsibility to support the work of the committees and

to streamline it wherever possible. We should certainly assess in the future how many FSRs actually make use of the program and then make adjustments accordingly.

- **Niklas** would like to point out that the templates should be stored in the FSR-Communication-Cloud, as that is the only way to ensure they are truly secure. Regarding the financing of purchases, he explains that he and his FSR have had to discuss finances with the finances several times for every major future purchase. That is why he would like to ask the same questions here as well, to ensure the sensible use of our funds. He has less of a problem with multiple FSRs sharing an account; he just doesn't want us to spend so much money on it.
- **Paul** questions whether Niklas' motivation stems from the approach he described. He believes that the discussion should be brought to a close if no new points are raised.
- **Niklas** refers to his suggestion that the departments and the FSRs share 2–3 accounts each.
- **Kat** addresses the previous points and explains that she did not perceive the discussion as "questions". She does not wish to reject questions per se and finds them very sensible, especially given the amount involved. She also considers the division of the account among multiple structures to be a sensible measure; however, she would like to point out that there are significantly more interested FSRs than departments, and therefore she does not understand why both interest groups should receive the same number of shared accounts.
- **Niklas** revises his proposal as follows: one shared account for the departments, and four shared accounts for the FSRs.
- **Klara** explains that the reason for limiting FSR accounts to a maximum of two per account is to prevent FSRs from accidentally ruining the templates.
- **Akin** explains that sharing can also create other problems. The FSRs should definitely agree beforehand on who they will share with.
- **Andreas** says that, as mentioned earlier, the designs should definitely be saved outside of Canva (preferably in the cloud). That way, it's not such a big deal if someone accidentally changes the design of another structure. He asks whether anyone has already tried using a shared account.
- **Kat** responds that there were two tests in which three devices were logged into the same account at the same time. It was possible to edit within a single design or work on three designs simultaneously. However, Kat isn't sure whether this would work in the long term or if Pro accounts are somehow protected against this.
- **Akin** points out that problems can arise, especially when editing, moving, and exporting files simultaneously. Designs that are not yet complete—and therefore have not yet been saved—are extremely vulnerable to being accidentally altered by others.
- **Paul** asks again whether there are currently plans to create a separate account for each FSR. **Kat** replies that this was the case in the submitted financial plan. After that, the tests described could finally be carried out. Kat has since updated the financial plan so that two FSRs would always share an account, thereby cutting the total cost in half.
- **Kat** can't say for sure what kind of offer Canva will make; once Canva Support gets in touch with Kat, we can still vote on it then. So she would suggest postponing the decision.

*Torsten Steinbrecher is leaving the session at 20:00.*

*Nikku Kohan is leaving the session at 20:00.*

**Amendment from Andreas Bagehorn:** Change the amount in the resolution text to € 180

**Voting:** 2 Yes / 6 No / 1 Abstention

**rejected**

- **Paul** suggests that we postpone this.
- **Marcus** asks the members of the Department of Internal Affairs whether the item marked with an asterisk will remain on the agenda despite the postponement. They confirm that it will.
- **Kat** adds that she has already discussed this with the Department of Internal Affairs and that they have found a specific passage that confirms this.

**Point of Order from Klara Wilde:** Postponement

**no objection, Point of Order accepted**

## **IOTA 05 → 05 | 20:03 | Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-KOM-015-2025\_26 – Canva Pro Annual Subscription FSRs (Kat Schmidt)**

### **Motion Text**

Hello everyone,

While preparing the release of funds for the FSR-Communication, we were unsure whether two FSRs could share the same account, so we initially budgeted for one subscription per FSR. I will provide an updated financial plan during the meeting that also takes into account the feedback received from the FSRs by that time.

On February 18, 2026, the FSR Committee voted 16-0-1 in favor of releasing the funds, with a total approved amount of € 2 250.

You can find all further details in the project description. The financial plan has already been updated.

Best regards  
The Executive Board

### **Discussion**

- This discussion has already taken place under the previous IOTA.

**Amendment from Andreas Bagehorn:** Change the total amount to € 450

**Voting:** - Yes / - No / - Abstention

**Postponement**

**Point of Order from Niklas Menge:** Postponement

**no objection, Point of Order accepted**

## **IOTA 06 → 06 | 20:06 | Discussion & Decision: Collaboration Studo (Executive Board)**

### **Motion Text**

Hello everyone,

On Wednesday, we had a meeting with a representative from Studo.

The meeting was constructive and convinced us that a partnership would be beneficial for us. Currently, 6 100 students at FSU already use the Studo Campus app regularly.

We would be provided with a newsroom, which would allow us to better promote our student body; among other things, we hope this would lead to an increase in voter turnout.

One of the most important points: This partnership would cost us nothing.

You can find the features and services of the Campus App in the attachment, along with the presentation that was shown to us. FSU is not currently a partner of Studo; the FSU logo is used for illustrative purposes only.

We expect to receive further details regarding the proposed partnership in the coming days. We will forward these to all (c)MoStuCo members immediately.

Best regards,

The Executive Board

### **Discussion**

- **Klara** presents the motion. She explains that, unfortunately, the contract only arrived recently.
- **Niklas** explains that we're welcome to promote it for free, but that he personally doesn't use Studo.
- **Klara** explains that Studo can be customized for universities if the university enters into a contract with Studo. We would get our own news feed and would be able to test it for a whole year to see how well it works for us.
- **Stefan** also wonders who actually uses Studo, but doesn't see a problem with the contract either.
- **Klara** explains that, as defined by Studo, "regular users" are students who log in at least once a month.
- **Kat** suggests adding another sentence to the text of the resolution that refers to the contract.
- **Niklas** asks if anyone is actually interested in this collaboration.
- **Klara and Marcus** outline various features that the Studo tools could offer, such as expanded outreach, integrated surveys, and so on. However, Klara points out that she's not sure who would implement these features, since the public relations department is already understaffed.
- **Marcus** adds that there could also be accounts for the individual student department councils.

**Klara** clarifies that this is only the case if the university decides to enter into a contract with Studo.

- **Klara** asks whether the text of the resolution should be clarified in any way at this point.

**Amendment from Kat Schmidt:** Add a second sentence to the resolution text: The terms and conditions of this cooperation are defined by the present contract.

**Draft Resolution:** The Student Council of the FSU Jena resolves to enter into a collaboration with the Student & Campus Services GmbH, provided that no additional costs are incurred by the student body. The terms and conditions of this collaboration are defined by the present contract.

**Voting:** 7 Yes / 0 No / 4 Abstention

**accepted**

## **IOTA 07 → 07 | 20:18 | Discussion & Decision: More Matrix Messages with MoStuCo (Karl Weber)**

### **Motion Text**

Dear cMoStuCo and MoStuCo,

Wouldn't it be great to chat via instant messenger instead of always writing an email just to clarify something quickly?

Since potentially confidential information is exchanged through this medium, I would suggest using a space on the university's Matrix server ([chat.uni-jena.de](https://chat.uni-jena.de)) for this purpose. At FSR PAF, we switched three months ago, and everyone—especially PAFLove—is very happy.

The major advantages of Matrix ([matrix.org](https://matrix.org) | <https://github.com/matrix-org>) are that the entire service runs on the university's servers. Additionally, chats are end-to-end encrypted.

Best regards

Karl Weber

### **Discussion**

- **Willi** explains that the PAF and part of the FMI-FSRs have already successfully made the switch. It's working great for them.
- **Marcus** reads the text prepared by Katharina:

Dear StuCo, the idea of streamlining communication within the committee and making it faster is, in principle, understandable. None of us enjoys writing long emails just to clarify a quick question. Nevertheless, I believe the proposed switch to an additional messaging app is problematic, and I'd like to briefly explain why this proposal has more drawbacks than benefits.

First of all, for many people, another messaging app means an additional burden. Most student volunteers already use multiple communication channels—such as WhatsApp, Telegram, Signal, Discord, and, of course, email. Another messaging app increases the mental load: you have to set it up, check it regularly, and manage it. Especially when it comes to volunteer work, which we do in our free time, not everyone can or wants to devote this extra capacity. The real danger is that the app will simply be forgotten or ignored. This was also discussed in the FSR-Communication, and in the end, they did not agree on Matrix as a communication platform.

This raises the question of how much real added value this offers compared to email. Email is by no means particularly cumbersome these days: messages are quick to write, easy to search, well-structured, and just as suitable for brief follow-ups as they are for longer discussions. In my opinion, the advantage of "direct messages" is overestimated here. Furthermore, most students check their university email regularly anyway—regardless of Student Council work

or volunteer activities. Direct messages, on the other hand, can easily get lost—especially if they end up in a messaging app that isn't used daily. The supposedly faster communication can thus backfire.

Another key issue is the motivation of those involved. It is already a challenge to get students excited about participating in the StuCo. An additional communication channel does not make volunteering more attractive, but rather more time-consuming. Less motivated members would likely not fully embrace the transition—with the result that information is scattered, only a few members are fully informed or participate in discussions, and, when in doubt, people end up having to send parallel emails again.

It is also important to consider the student body as a whole: The desire for Matrix seems to come primarily from individual student departments—particularly PAF and FMI. In other faculties, Matrix has so far seen little to no adoption. However, if only a portion of those involved actively uses the messenger, the actual goal—better and faster communication—is not achieved. Communication only works well when it is supported by as many people as possible. For a central body such as the StuCo, solutions are needed that are widely accepted and do not merely work well for a tech-savvy subgroup.

In summary: As compelling as data protection, university servers, and encryption may sound, a communication solution must above all be accessible, inclusive, and practical to use. Until it is certain that a messenger like Matrix is actually used by the vast majority of people, the drawbacks will outweigh the benefits, and that does not help the committee or the volunteers.

- **Andreas** addresses each point: The current Telegram group also meant that MoStuCo members (e.g., himself) had to download a new messaging app. In the long run, Matrix also just makes sense; someone should simply set a good example here. Just because the Student Council finds it sensible doesn't mean the StuCo has to follow suit. The initial setup isn't any more complicated or time-consuming than with Discord; once set up, Matrix works just like any other messenger.
- **Niklas** adds that you can also set up email notifications through Matrix. This would make it particularly easy for people who check their email anyway.
- **Marcus** mentions that the transition didn't work out internally within the Executive Board/Finances either.
- **Klara** explains that it probably has just been forgotten.
- **Tom** explains that it was very simple and that we didn't move just because nobody bothered to take care of it.
- **Willi** explains that was a month ago. In the weeks that followed, everyone was asking, "Have people already moved over? Am I missing out on something?"
- **Lilou** explains that a discussion is difficult mainly because there is no standard. Establishing a standard always involves a certain amount of effort.
- **Ansgar** explains that you don't need to create an account on Matrix. So that makes it particularly accessible as well.

**Point of Order from Marcus Hansen:** Non-consideration

**Objection** from **Willi Kröning:** We need to set a good example. Ignoring the program won't make it go away.

**Voting:** 4 Yes / 6 No / 1 Abstention

**rejected**

**Point of Order from Maurice Reitzig:** Postponement

**Objection** from **Willi Kröning:** Karl will get over not having been there for this historic moment.

**Voting:** 6 Yes / 5 No / 0 Abstention

**accepted**

**Personal declaration on the vote**

**Title:** The matrix is degenerating!

**Declaring person:** Willi Kröning

**Reading aloud:** own presentation

**Received:** only verbally

**Declaration:** [*epically recited*] And so todays beacon of hope fades away!

## IOTA 08 → 08 | 20:36 | Reports

### Marcus reports from the executive board

- We held an extraordinary board meeting earlier and determined that four IOTA were urgent. We also noted three dormant reports.
- The amendments to the orders adopted at the penultimate session were announced.
- We have signed an amendment to the employment contract with our management, Peter Wiemuth. Klara explains that this was done due to a change in his responsibilities (primarily resulting from the purchase of the more expensive version of Crewmeister, which can handle additional tasks).
- Marcus notes that we have also received various other emails containing information and invitations to events. For example, an event on conscientious objection, one on eco-socialism, one on democracy education organized by the FES, and an event on education policy organized by the fzs in Leipzig, which we had already mentioned. Marcus is available to answer any questions.

### Willi tells an anecdote from his life

- Willi successfully put off his exam by making tea boxes out of cardboard. The plan is to maybe print a 3D model of them in the future. Willi invites everyone present to join him for tea in the finance office.
- For reasons unknown, **Klara** points out that there is no need to kill time today to ensure a quorum.
- **Marcus** confusingly explains that we won't reach a quorum today.

### Willi reports from finances

- The cost of FZS supporting membership will increase from € 500 to € 1 000 in the future. However, we must keep this in mind, especially for the next budget planning.
- Willi would also like to point out that, when considering the supplemental budget, we should keep in mind that various other expenses may also increase. Specifically, this already applies to our event liability insurance.
- Willi reports that the finances are currently working on finalizing the old annual financial statements. It is very likely that the Q1 2021 financial statements will be presented at the next Student Council session.
- **Marcus** adds that the contact person at the Diversity Fund apparently didn't know that the student body wanted to contribute financially. Stefan asks how much money we have in that fund. Marcus explains that it's € 1 000.
- **Kat** asks whether it makes sense to close out Q1 2021 when we still have previous financial statements pending—couldn't it be that, while processing the 2019 and 2020 statements, we'll notice that payments are still missing or there are discrepancies, and that this financial statement will therefore need to be revised as well? Willi explains that due to the special circumstances (COVID-19, liquidity crunch) and the brevity of this interim quarter, not much

has happened in most accounts, and he therefore does not foresee any major discrepancies arising. However, he is not yet seeking an exculpation; this should actually only happen after the annual financial statements have been finalized.

*Clara Höpfner is leaving the session at 20:49.*

## IOTA 09 → 09 | 20:49 | Determination of the Quorum and Discussion & Decision of the Agenda

### Determination of a quorum

- Of the 35 elected MoStuCo members, 12 have suspended their mandates. This leaves 23 MoStuCo members eligible to vote.
- A quorum is reached when 12 MdStuRa members eligible to vote are present. A two-thirds majority is achieved with 16 yes votes from the voting MoStuCo members present.
- There are 10 voting MoStuCo members present; the body is therefore **not quorate**. A two-thirds majority is **not possible**.

### Preliminary Agenda

TOP 1 \*Discussion & Decision: Trust Person (Executive Board)

TOP 2 \*Discussion & Election: IT/System Administration small (Executive Board)

TOP 3 \*Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-STURA-028-2025\_26 – ard Drive Upgrade for Server Infrastructure (Willi Kröning)

TOP 4 \*Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-STURA-029-2025\_26 – Canva Pro Annual Subscription Departments (Kat Schmidt)

TOP 5 \*Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-KOM-015-2025\_26 – Canva Pro Annual Subscription FSRs (Kat Schmidt)

TOP 6 \*Discussion & Decision: Collaboration Studo (Executive Board)

TOP 7 \*Discussion & Decision: More Matrix Messages with MoStuCo (Karl Weber)

TOP 8 Reports

TOP 9 Determination of the Quorum and Discussion & Decision of the Agenda

TOP 10 4. Reading & Decision: Amendments about Employment Contracts (Internal Affairs Department and Management)

TOP 11 Discussion & Decision: Ballot Vote Semester Ticket (Department SemTiX)

TOP 12 Discussion & Decision: Cease-and-desist letter Volunteer Reception (Executive Board)

TOP 13 Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-STURA-030-2025\_26 – Legal proceedings Cease-and-desist letter (Executive Board)

TOP 14 Discussion & Decision: Cease-and-desist letter Frieta (Executive Board)

TOP 15 Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-STURA-031-2025\_26 – Legal proceedings Cease-and-desist letter (Executive Board)

TOP 16 Discussion: Next Session

TOP 17 Miscellaneous

TOP 18 Discussion: Session Colour

- At a board meeting, the board determined that two releases of funds were urgent; the board proposes adding these as NEW IOTA 12 and NEW IOTA 13.
- The agenda was not adopted due to the lack of a quorum.

## **IOTA 12 → 10 | 20:51 | Next Session**

### **Discussion**

- Klara suggests next week.
- There are no objections.



## **IOTA 13 → 11 | 20:52 | Miscellaneous**

- There is nothing further.

## **IOTA 14 → 12 | 20:53 | Session Colour**

### **Discussion**

- The session colour for this session will once again be chosen by the protocol.
- Willi suggests PAF Orange again. The protocol refuses PAF Orange.
- Stefan suggests lilac-pale blue.
- Tom suggests LEIFiophysik-purple.
- Andreas suggests Fri(e)da-Caramel.

 *We wish everyone who has read this far the best of luck during exam season.*  
*We hope this little break from procrastination was both informative and entertaining.* 

**Jena, April 5, 2026**

---

**Klara Wilde**  
*Session Leadership*

---

**Kat Schmidt, Marcus Hansen**  
*Protocol*

### **Begriffserklärung**

- StuCo: Student Council
- FSU: Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena
- EAH: Ernst-Abbe-Hochschule Jena
- MoStuCo: Member(s) of the Student Council
- cMoStuCo: consultant Member(s) of the Student Council
- IOTA: Item on the Agenda
- StuBei: Student Advisory Board (of the City of Jena)
- StuWe: Studierendenwerk
- FSR: Student Department Council
- GO: Order of the Student Council
- FinO: Financial Order of the Constituted Student Body
- CZS: Carl-Zeiss-Straße
- UHG: University main building (Fürstengraben 1)
- EAP: Ernst-Abbe-Platz
- CRJ: Campusradio Jena