



FRIEDRICH-SCHILLER-
UNIVERSITÄT
JENA



Public Record

9th Session | StuCo | Term 2025/26

27th January 2026 | 18:22 - 19:37 | SR 114 | Carl-Zeiss-Straße 3

DISCLAIMER: This translated record is not legally binding and just for informational purposes. For the legally binding document see the german version.

present MoStuCo: Andreas Bagehorn, Jil Diercks, Katharina Gabriel, Marcus Hansen (from 18:32), Clara Höpfner, John Kaube, Stefan Huber, Willi Kröning (from 18:29), Niklas Menge, Robert Pohle, Maurice Reitzing, Reiner Sandmann (til 19:40), Nele Wagner, Max Weber, Klara Wilde, Christoph zur Mühlen (til 19:30)

excused MoStuCo: Chiara Daskiewitsch, Jan Haedicke, Bennet Hartung, Stefan Hubert, Levke Jansen, Leonard Schönfeld, Paul Weiß

unexcused MoStuCo: Antonia Zahn

dormant MoStuCo: Nikolai Demisch, Aenna Fink, Carla Franke, Jan Hillebrandt, Jonah Hohlfeld, Smila Kreuzberg, Luisa Queck, Linda Raschke, Hannah Schier, Luise Stroisch, Henning Sylla, Lenard Thost, Götz Wagner

present cMoStuCo: none

Guests: Luis Ballandt (til 19:00), Lilou Gläß (from 18:30), Ansgar Gude, Horst Gerhard Reglich (from 18:32)

Executive Board: Chiara Daskiewitsch, Marcus Hansen, Klara Wilde

IOTA 01 → 01 | 18:18 | Reports

Klara reports from the Executive Board

- Unfortunately, Marcus and Chiara are unable to attend today due to scheduling conflicts. Therefore, other attendees will need to help writing the record.
- The next meeting with the university leadership will take place on February 5, 2026. If you have any topic suggestions for the meeting, please let us know by Thursday.
- Last week, we had a meeting with the Vice President for University Community and Engagement, Prof. Dr. Kracke. Among other things, we discussed how the StuCo and student engagement can be made more visible by the university. We also talked about the Frei(t)raum. This led to the idea of redesigning and renovating the space on a donation basis. We welcome your ideas and input on this.
- Katharina from the fzs visited us last week and told us about the fzs's structure and its activities. Among other things, she introduced us to the working groups (Information Technology; Sustainability and Ecology; Structures, Regulations, and Bylaws; Inclusion; Teacher Education; East) and committees (Finance, Intersectional Feminism, University Financing/Structure, International Affairs, Student Body/Political Mandate, Social Policy, Studies and Teaching, Political Education). If any of this interests you, feel free to join in!
- On April 11, 2026, an alliance meeting will be held in Leipzig to prepare for action against LFM's budget cut policies. If you're interested, please feel free to contact us.
- There are new session forms for amendments and personal declarations. These will be sent to all MoStuCo and cMoStuCo via email. Please provide feedback on these by the next session.

Katharina talks about her bachelor's thesis

- After four months, she has finally received her grade for her bachelor's thesis.
- The committee congratulates her.

IOTA 02 → 02 | 18:27 | Determination of the Quorum and Discussion & Decision of the Agenda

Determination of a quorum

- Of the 36 elected MdStuRa members, 13 have suspended their mandates. This leaves 23 MdStuRa members eligible to vote.
- A quorum is reached when 12 MdStuRa members eligible to vote are present. A two-thirds majority is achieved with 16 yes votes from the voting MdStuRa members present.
- There are 12 voting MdStuRa members present; the body is therefore **quorate**. A two-thirds majority is **not possible**.

Preliminary Agenda

TOP 1 Reports

TOP 2 Determination of the Quorum and Discussion & Decision of the Agenda

TOP 3 1. Reading: 2026_27 Budget (Finances)

TOP 4 Discussion & Decision: E-Invoices (Klara Wilde)

TOP 5 Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-KOM-013-2025_26 – KlaTa FSR PoWu/SoKu (FSR PoWi)

TOP 6 Discussion & Decision: Funding Application FA-003-2025_26 – East German Regional Debating Championships (Jena Debating Society)

TOP 7 Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-SPORT-009-2025_26 – DHM Volleyball (Sports Department)

TOP 8 2. Reading & Decision: Amendments about Employment Contracts (Internal Affairs Department and Management)

TOP 9 Discussion & Decision: Notes on the Data Agreement (Kat Schmidt)

TOP 10 1. Reading: Amendments about Elections (Internal Affairs Department)

TOP 11 Discussion: Personal Declarations (Executive Board)

TOP 12 Discussion: Next Session

TOP 13 Miscellaneous

TOP 14 Discussion: Session Colour

Discussion

- There are no motions regarding the agenda.

Willi Kröning is entering the session at 18:29.

Draft Resolution: The Student Council of the FSU Jena approves the agenda as presented.

Voting: 13 Yes / 0 No / 0 Abstention

accepted

Approved agenda

- In accordance with the provisional agenda

IOTA 03 → 03 | 18:29 | 1. Reading: 2026_27 Budget (Finance)

Motion Text

Moin,

let's move on to the second round of the budget plan. Since we unfortunately didn't have a quorum during the first vote, we'll try again. There are no changes to the version that was approved at the last session.

LG Nele

Discussion

- **Nele** presents the IOTA. Since there were no questions about the budget plan last time, she has no further comments.
- No questions

Lilou Gläß is entering the session at 18:30.

Point of Order from Willi Kröning: End of reading

no objection, Point of Order accepted

IOTA 04 → 04 | 18:30 | Discussion & Decision: E-Invoices (Klara Wilde)

Motion Text

Hello everyone,

we, too, would like to comply with the e-invoicing requirement in the future. Starting in 2025, we are actually required to be able to receive e-invoices, and as of this year, to issue them as well. Unfortunately, this is not currently the case, which is why I have submitted this motion.

At the last session, we discussed that a resolution would be more appropriate than a release of funds. Nevertheless, I have prepared a project description and comparative quotes so that you can prepare for the session as thoroughly as possible. The relevant budget title has already been increased in the supplemental budget to cover the new software. You will find all further details in the appendix to the public session materials.

Best regards

Klara

Discussion

- Klara presents the motion.

Marcus Hansen is entering the session at 18:32.

Horst Gerhard Reglich is entering the session at 18:32.

- Willi notes that we are legally required to issue e-invoices.
- Klara explains, however, that we are not legally required to use this specific software.
- No further questions

Draft Resolution: The Student Council of the FSU Jena approves € 220.00 for an annual subscription to Lexware "Faktura + Auftrag Basis" under budget title A.09.01.3.2, "Maintenance of Accounting Software".

Voting: 14 Yes / 0 No / 0 Abstention

accepted

IOTA 05 → 05 | 18:33 | Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-KOM-013-2025_26 – Klara FSR PoWu/SoKu (FSR PoWi)

Motion Text

Hello everyone,

The Political Science Student Department Council (FSR PoWi) has submitted a funding request for a study retreat in the amount of € 1 200. The FSR-Communication issued a positive recommendation on this matter at its 6th meeting on January 21, 2026 (18/0/0). A positive review from the Finances is not yet available.

Best regards

The Executive Board

Discussion

- **Willi** presents the motion as speaker of the FSR-Communication. The youth hostel's original offer has since been withdrawn. Therefore, new comparative offers are needed. However, it is assumed that this will only result in lower costs.
- **Klara** notes that this could also cause the conference dates to be postponed. However, the dates are part of the resolution text. She asks whether the text of the resolution should be amended accordingly.
- **Willi** is in favor of deleting this part of the resolution text.
- **Niklas** criticizes that the release of funds is not proper and would like to postpone this agenda item and only pass the resolution once everything has been finalized and properly submitted to the finances. He finds the comparative quotes particularly problematic, arguing that these venues no longer have any available space.
- **Nele** has not reviewed this release of funds. However, she also finds the comparative quotes odd and believes that these issues would have been noted during the review. Furthermore, follow-up inquiries by phone are not transparent. In general, the release of funds is not ideal, and it is good that new comparative quotes are expected.
- **Niklas** notes that there are still several sessions to come before April, and since the budget allocation is currently still subject to change, it makes no sense to approve it now.
- **Jil** explains that a postponement is very stressful for the FSR and creates uncertainty.
- **Niklas** explains that it is unfortunate when things are adjusted after the decision has been made, especially since there is still time until April.
- **Marcus** agrees with Jil. For planning purposes, it is always better for FSR-members if decisions are made early on. He suggests adding "after a positive review by the Finances" to the resolution text.
- **Niklas** explains that it is problematic if things change after the fact, as the StuCo would then no longer be able to consider the comparative quotes. We need the current data first; otherwise, the FSR has no certainty, and we are merely shifting the problem to the Finances.

- **Nele** asks whether drafting the motion is the responsibility of the StuCo or rather that of the Finances.
- **Jil** emphasizes that the FSR-Communication has already endorsed the motion. Therefore, she sees no problem with the resolution.
- **Niklas** explains that the FSR-Communication meets less frequently and is therefore under greater time pressure to make decisions. However, the StuCo will still have several sessions before the closed-door session. The funding approval is incomplete at this point. So if we approve it in this form, the question arises as to whether resolutions can generally be passed in the future without comparative quotes being available.

Amendment from Marcus Hansen: Delete “April 24–26, 2026” Add “subject to a positive financial review.”

no objection, accepted

- **Willi** would like to point out that we still do not have a budget and that a budget freeze could occur. In that case, we would each be allowed to use one-twelfth of the FSR-Communication fund—which amounts to only € 6 000—for expenses that cannot be postponed. Approving the resolution could therefore pose a problem.

Point of Order from Niklas Menge: Postponement

objection from **Jil Diercks:** The motion can be approved with Marcus’s amendment. There will be no major changes to the content.

Voting: 7 Yes / 6 No / 1 Abstention

accepted

IOTA 06 → 06 | 18:50 | Discussion & Decision: Funding Application FA-03-2025_26 – East German Regional Debating Championships (Jena Debating Society)

Motion Text

Hello everyone,

The Jena Debate Society (Debattiergesellschaft Jena e.V.) has submitted a funding application in the amount of €400 for public relations efforts related to the East German Regional Debate Championship, which will take place from April 11 to April 12, 2026.

The financial application has been approved by finances. The final accounting will take place within this fiscal year.

Further details can be found in the proposal included in the public session materials.

Best regards

The Executive Board

Discussion

- **Luis** from the Jena Debate Society presents the motion. The goal is to fund promotional materials that are scheduled to be printed in March so that they can be accounted for within this fiscal year.
- **Niklas** asks what kind of flyers these are exactly, since the unit price is very high.
- **Luis** explains that the exact details have not yet been finalized.
- **Nele** adds that there is still just enough money available in the budget title, with an overdraft of €30. In addition, the aim is to circumvent the issue with the new budget by settling the accounts in March.
- **Luis** notes that the early settlement will likely result in lower costs than planned, since not all materials can be purchased in March.
- **Niklas** has no intention of jeopardizing the project by submitting an amendment.

Draft Resolution: The Student Council of the FSU Jena Approves funding application FA-003-2025_26 from the Jena Debate Society (Debattiergesellschaft Jena e.V.) in the amount of €400 for public relations activities related to the East German Regional Debate Championships from April 11 to April 12, 2026.

Voting: 12 Yes / 0 No / 2 Abstention

accepted

IOTA 07 → 07 | 18:58 | Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-SPORT-009-2025_26 – DHM Volleyball (Sports Department)

Motion Text

Hello everyone,

The Sports Department has submitted a request for funding to cover the registration fees for a volleyball team participating in the German University Championships (round-robin tournament) from April 1 to June 30, 2026.

You can find all further details in the public session materials.

Best regards

The Executive Board

Discussion

- **Nele** presents the motion. It remains difficult due to the lack of a budget plan.

Point of Order from Willi Kröning: Postponement

no objection, Point of Order accepted

IOTA 08 → 08 | 19:00 | 2. Reading & Decision:

Amendments about Employment Contracts (Internal Affairs Department and Management)

Motion Text

Dear all,

In collaboration with management and the legal department, changes to the regulations regarding employment contracts and personnel have been discussed over the past few months. You will find the results in the attachment. First, there are two sets of amendments (financial order and order of the StuCo) that are to be formally adopted. We have also attached a side-by-side comparison of the old and new versions to better illustrate the changes.

Best regards

The Internal Affairs Department

Discussion

- **Niklas** presents the motion. At the last meeting, there was a need for discussion regarding the selection committee. Peter (Management) sent a new draft to the Internal Affairs Department today. However, the department did not have time to review it thoroughly and therefore recommends that the changes be decided upon at the next meeting.
In terms of content, §9 (3) sentence 1 was reworded (“A selection committee appointed by the Executive Board conducts the selection of applicants and may conduct interviews.”) and a new fourth sentence (“Not all members of the selection committee are required to participate in the interviews; at least one member of the Executive Board and one other member of the committee must be present.”) has been added.
- No further questions

Point of Order from Willi Kröning: End of the reading

no objection, Point of Order accepted

IOTA 09 → 09 | 19:03 | Discussion & Decision: Notes on the Data Agreement (Kat Schmidt)

Motion Text

Hello everyone,

At its 6th meeting on January 21, 2026, the FSR-Communication approved the following motion (19/0/0).

Best regards
The Executive Board

Motion text from the FSR-Communication meeting:

As briefly mentioned at the last meeting, I spoke with our data protection officer, Anja, about creating a document that we can make available to the FSRs (and potentially also the departments) to provide them with a little more legal protection when setting up freshman groups and similar initiatives. The idea would be to show this document to the freshmen, which would also include the link to join the group. Since the link is only distributed within this document, there is a certain degree of assurance that those joining have taken note of the data protection information. It also explicitly relieves the student body of legal liability.

Together with Anja, I have therefore drafted the attached proposal. I would like to put this up for discussion at the FSR-Communication meeting. If the committee is satisfied with the proposal and gives it a positive vote, I would

- a) compile a list of the providers for whom I need to create the document,
- b) then customize the document for each provider (i.e., insert the provider's name and link) and make it available in a folder in the cloud,
- c) and, of course, add additional providers later upon request from FSRs.

This way, all structures can independently access the document, insert their sign-up link or QR code, and use it in the future.

Discussion

- **Klara** presents the motion.
- **Andreas** does not see the value of the resolution.
- **Niklas** explains that there are also guidelines and data protection recommendations from the StuCo. Why shouldn't the new notes be added there? He also asks what is meant by "making available".
- **Willi** notes the relevance of the template. The StuCo's data protection guidelines need a major overhaul anyway. This will be a lengthy process, and a concrete solution is needed for the new freshman groups starting in April.

- **Klara** explains that the idea is for FSRs to receive the template as a .docx file for various providers. There, they can add the links to their groups. The template is then sent to the freshmen in .pdf format.
- **Niklas** asks whether the .pdf format is mandatory, or whether the notice can also appear above the link on a website.
- **Willi** explains that the notice must simply be clearly visible above the link.

Draft Resolution: The Student Council of the FSU Jena decides to use the present document “Guidelines on Data Processing” in the context of creating groups on social media and messaging services, and for this reason to make it available to all units.

Voting: 11 Yes / 0 No / 3 Abstention

accepted

IOTA 10 → 10 | 19:10 | 1. Reading: Amendments about Election Regulations (Internal Affairs Department)

Motion Text

Dear all,

In coordination with the University's Election Office and Legal Office, we have drafted amendments to the order of the StuCo and election order. In particular, changes have been made regarding the public nature of the elections. Public access is always a sensitive issue, as various university buildings are accessible only to the university community, and election results should also be made available only to the university community. For this reason, numerous provisions in the election regulations have been amended. Additionally, when the financial regulations were amended regarding the financial officers of the FSRe, the election regulations were overlooked. We would therefore like to address this issue at this time.

During the last election, there was also a minor issue regarding the number of seats in the StuCo. Therefore, the "Composition" section of the order of the StuCo will be slightly amended here to establish a specific cutoff date for determining student enrollment figures.

Best regards
The Internal Affairs Department

Discussion

- **Andreas**, as a head of internal affairs department, presents the motion. Essentially, the motion proposes replacing the term "public" with "university-public" wherever it appears in the context of elections. Additionally, there is an adjustment related to the previous consolidation of budget and treasury functions within FSRs into the role of financial officers.

Point of Order from Willi Kröning: Conclusion of the first reading

no objection, Point of Order accepted

IOTA 11 → 11 | 19:12 | Discussion: Personal Statements (Executive Board)

Motion Text

Hello everyone,

During the last meeting, several personal declarations were submitted. Following the meeting, a discussion arose between a committee member and us regarding where personal declarations should be listed in the record under an agenda item.

In this context, we also addressed the general procedure for handling personal declarations. The Order of the StuCo stipulates that personal declarations must be presented by the person submitting them.

The relevant form has been updated accordingly.

One question that remains open concerns the placement of personal declarations in the records: Should they be listed at the end of the respective agenda item, even if they were read aloud during the discussion, or should they appear at the point in the minutes where they were introduced?

We have gathered here today to clarify this question together.

GaLieGrü

The Executive Board

Discussion

- **Klara** presents the motion. Personal declarations seem to be somewhat of a trend this term. The form for personal declarations has been updated. The committee's past handling of them differs from Marcus' and Klara's reading of the Order of the StuCo. As session leadership, Klara will no longer allow submissions at the end of the session.
- **Andreas** explains that he has already spoken with the board. He advocates for adherence to the Order of the StuCo. He does not think it is appropriate for personal declarations to appear in the records at the end of an agenda item if they were presented earlier. This was handled differently in the record of the last session.
- **Niklas** explains that the committee can handle it however it wants. He has used personal declarations so far to ensure his opinion appears verbatim in the record, not as an additional speaking contribution.
- **Marcus** explains that personal declarations should always be read aloud or presented in person. This allows one to respond if nonsense is being spoken.
- **Jil** explains that personal declarations were originally intended to allow someone to express their views when they feel hesitant or overlooked.
- **Klara** understands her point and, as session leadership, will continue to read the declarations aloud on behalf of the individuals in such cases. During this term, however, she did not feel that this was the reason for the readings.

Personal statement

title: Personal declarations belong at the end.

declaring person: Marcus Hansen

reading aloud: Yes

received: 19:22

declaration: Personal declarations belong at the end. Period. Especially in the record.

IOTA 12 → 12 | 19:23 | Next Session

Discussion

- **Niklas** suggests a meeting on February 3, since exams are coming up.
- **Andreas** agrees with Niklas.
- **Marcus** prefers February 10, since it will be difficult to prepare the meeting materials [already] this week.
- **Klara** explains that we can see if we can get the meeting materials ready by Thursday. However, this makes planning more difficult for the rest of the committee.
- The committee agrees on a meeting on February 10.

IOTA 13 → 13 | 19:25 | Miscellaneous

Regarding attendance statistics

- **Robert** asks questions about the attendance statistics. He suspects that some of the formulas might not be entirely correct.
- **Klara** explains that she has been waiting for feedback on the attendance statistics for weeks. She hasn't received any yet. However, she will check again herself to see if she can find the error.

Regarding the meeting with State Secretary Teichert

- **Willi** explains that he and Marcus were in Erfurt for a meeting with representatives of the various student councils and State Secretary Teichert. A lot was discussed, and State Secretary Teichert has promised to improve communication in the future to prevent rumors. Future communication will not go through the university leaderships. Students are to be more involved. The problem seems to be the size of the room.

Regarding KTS call for applications

- **Horst** would like to remind everyone about the KTS calls for applications for spokespersons, chief delegates, and deputy delegates, which remain open until February 9, 2026. In addition, applications are also being accepted for the Administrative Board of the StuWe.

Maurice Reitzing is entering the session at 19:30.

IOTA 14 → 14 | 19:31 | Session Colour

Discussion

- **Willi:** PAF Orange
- **Robert:** Klara's new hair colour (neon orange)
- **Niklas:** Surprise colour 183/54/225
- **Katharina:** Full Carrot and CFP (cyan fluorescent protein)
- **Andreas:** Fri(e)da Caramel
- **Marcus:** Sternburg Red
- **Horst:** EAH Green
- **Nele:** Auripigment As2S3

Christoph zur Mühlen is leaving the session at 19:33.

Point of Order from Klara: Opinion Gathering on the session colour

objection from **Andreas:** At the last session, it became clear that the committee does not want a democratic debate on session colours. Therefore, there should be no vote on this matter.

Voting: 8 Yes / 3 No / 1 Abstention



accepted

Kat Schmidt is entering the session at 19:34.

Opinions are gathered about which colour should be used for the current session.

Result: 0 PAF Orange / 4 Klara's Hair Colour / 5 Surprise Colour 183 54 225 / 5 Full Carrot / 4 CFP / 6 Fri(e)da Caramel / 5 Sternburg Red / 8 EAH Green / 2 Auripigment

→ **The session color will be EAH Green. (Best regards to our neighboring StuCo)**

 *We wish everyone who has read this far the best of luck during exam season.
We hope this little procrastination-break was both informative and entertaining.* 

Jena, April 2, 2026

Klara Wilde
Session Leadership

Nele Wagner, Andreas Bagehorn
Protocol

Begriffserklärung

- StuCo: Student Council
- FSU: Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena
- EAH: Ernst-Abbe-Hochschule Jena
- MoStuCo: Member(s) of the Student Council
- cMoStuCo: consultant Member(s) of the Student Council
- IOTA: Item on the Agenda
- StuBei: Student Advisory Board (of the City of Jena)
- StuWe: Studierendenwerk
- FSR: Student Department Council
- GO: Order of the Student Council
- FinO: Financial Order of the Constituted Student Body
- CZS: Carl-Zeiss-Straße
- UHG: University main building (Fürstengraben 1)
- EAP: Ernst-Abbe-Platz
- CRJ: Campusradio Jena